



MINUTES OF MEETING

WHAKATANE DISTRICT COUNCIL EXTRAORDINARY MEETING HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, CIVIC CENTRE, COMMERCE STREET, WHAKATANE, ON WEDNESDAY, 21 MAY 2008 COMMENCING AT 9:00 AM

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor C G H Holmes Deputy Mayor G I Hanlen, Councillors C Chambers, A A Bonne, W B Clark, S Jarrett, G V Johnston, I J Shearer, R N Orr and J Te Kurapa

IN ATTENDANCE: D A Turner (Chief Executive), D C Bewley (Director Environment and Policy), C Ball (Senior Policy Analyst), and C B Hair (Administration Officer Policy)

APOLOGIES: An apology was received and sustained on behalf of Councillor E R Byrne

1.0 ANNOUNCEMENTS

His Worship the Mayor welcomed Councillors and the public to the meeting and advised the submitters that they could speak on individual submissions for five minutes and for ten minutes if they represented an organisation or multiple submitters. He requested that Councillors seek questions of clarification only from the submitters.

2.0 DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN 2008/09 SUBMISSIONS 27.1.3, 27.1.3.3, 7.3.1

2.1 John Hohapata-Oke, Creative Eastbay Te Taurapa a Toi: 135: 2.3.1

Refer to pages 1-9 of the agenda and tabled document 9A-B.

Mr Hohapata-Oke spoke on behalf of Creative Eastbay Te Taurapa a Toi and stated that last year they had applied for funding from all three Councils and had received no funding from the Whakatane District Council and \$2,000 from the Opotiki District Council. He asked that all the Councils in the Eastern Bay of Plenty work together for the promotion of the arts. He stated that they had engaged Kay Boreham as their Co-ordinator and were seeking \$10,000 from the Whakatane District Council to assist with the funding of this position.

Mr Hohapata-Oke stated that Creative New Zealand was no longer funding them under the particular scheme however they would be applying for funding under another scheme but the results of this application were unknown at present. He stated that they were not asking for a lot and asked that the Council provide either money or other equivalent services in kind. In response to questioning as to what he meant by payment "in kind" Mr Hohapata-Oke stated that he thought resourcing may come from current Council frameworks such as the Whakatane and District Museum and Gallery Board. He stated that they currently did not have a building and tried to keep their costs low. He stated that they held their monthly meetings at REAP but would welcome the use of Council facilities.

2.2 Meghan Cleverly, Surf Life Saving BOP Inc: 7: 2.3.1

Refer to pages 10-12 of the agenda.

Ms Cleverly spoke to the submission and requested that the Whakatane District Council allocate a sum of \$49,000 to Surf Life Saving Bay of Plenty Inc to deliver Regional Lifeguard programmes in the 2008/09 season to Ohope Beach, Ohope Camping Ground and Thornton Beach. She stated that they looked forward to continuing to work in partnership with the Whakatane District Council.

2.3 Barry Cutfield and Steve Everitt, Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club: 4: 2.3.1

Refer to pages 49-92 of the agenda.

Mr Cutfield and Mr Everitt spoke to the submission on behalf of the Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club. Mr Cutfield thanked the Council for the \$50,000 they had received for ground floor refurbishment which was undertaken before the March 2008 National Championships were held at the Club. In their previous submission they had indicated they would request further funding for Stage 2 of the building project over two years but now wanted to do the work in one financial year. He set out the reasons for wanting to do the project in one year and stated that they had a 75th jubilee happening in Labour weekend and they wanted the club rooms to be up to scratch. The Club was also required to spend monies obtained through Trusts within 12 months of receiving it and this needed to be spent by November and thirdly they had a keen team of volunteers and they wanted to tap that enthusiasm now and fourthly the costs would only increase if they delayed the work for a year.

Mr Everitt outlined Stage 2 which included weatherproofing the upstairs fixing the roof, replacing window frames and dealing with asbestos tiles. He stated that they would tackle the refurbishment of the inside of the building once the outside was completed for obvious reasons. He stated they wanted to create a space that could be hired out for functions such as weddings or birthday parties and which would allow the Club to get regular revenue and be self sustaining. He stated that they wanted a building that members could be proud of and which would attract new members to the Club. He stated that the cost of the project was set out in section 5 of their application and they were seeking \$116,000 (exclusive of GST) from the Council.

In response to questioning Mr Cutfield advised they had between 130-140 members. He confirmed that if they got the funding from the Council they would be debt free. He advised they had not done any projections of income from hiring out the venue. In response to questioning as to the problem with the removal of the asbestos cladding Mr Everitt stated they it would have to be treated correctly and their costings had covered that treatment. Mr Everitt advised that the public toilets required \$7,000 expenditure to enable wheelchair access to cubicles and the toilets were open to the public all the time.

In response to questioning as to whether the costings were up to date, Mr Cutfield stated that he was confident that the figures were correct as they had inflation adjusted them and their experience of completing the Stage 1 work had seen them come in 5% under budget. Mr Everitt clarified that they were seeking \$116,000 plus GST from the Council. Mr Everitt was asked if they would use the Council as a guarantor for a loan if they did not receive all the funds requested and he advised that he would be nervous about committing the Club to any loan repayments.

2.4 Rogan Hales and Julia Stevenson-Renwick, RNZSPCA Whakatane: 137: 2.3.1

Refer to pages 13-38 of the agenda.

Ms Hales, Secretary and Ms Stevenson-Renwick, President spoke on behalf of the Whakatane Branch of the RNZSPCA. Ms Hales stated that everyone knew what the SPCA did and they were one of the most respected charities in New Zealand who operated an essential service 24 hours a day, seven days a week without government funding. She stated that they employed two inspectors and were training a third. She stated that while the inspectors were paid for a total of 28 hours a week they worked much longer hours without pay. An office worker was also paid for 9 hours a week.

Ms Hales outlined the role and work of the inspectors who could legally go onto any property and uplift animals. She stated that the wage bill was significant and while they did appreciate the rates relief and the grants each year from the Council they did need to have the grant increased in order to run their operation properly. She stated that Opotiki District Council gave a grant of \$12,000 to the RSPCA and she believed Gisborne District Council gave a similar amount.

Ms Stevenson-Renwick advised that their services overlapped with the Dog Control section of the Whakatane District Council and they looked after puppies up to three months of age. She stated that all dogs were de-sexed, registered and micro-chipped before they left the RSPCA. She stated they undertook various initiatives such as education in schools and on 3 July 2008 Norm Hewitt would be coming to Whakatane to spread the message that kindness and empathy towards animals translated into the same treatment towards humans. She stated that research had shown a strong correlation between animal abuse and family violence. She stated that all members of the community were welcomed into their organisation and shown around their facilities.

Ms Hales stated that they had received a grant of \$2,000 from the Whakatane District Council to trap feral cats. She clarified that they were seeking \$20,000 towards wages, van expenses and running their centre.

2.5 Mark Jenkins, Whakatane Squash Club: 2, 10.4.11, 2.3.1

Refer to pages 40-43 of the agenda.

Mr Jenkins spoke on behalf of the Whakatane Squash Club and stated that this was the fourth time he had presented this submission to the Council and he thanked the Council for the non-cash grant of \$11,000 which they received last year following their submission. He stated that they had already been billed for half of the new harbour lease rental of \$16,000 and he hoped that this was an administrative error.

Mr Jenkins advised that the Club was seeking the following:

1. Permission from the Harbour Board/Whakatane District Council to freehold the land at Pohutu Street at its assessed market value
2. An extension of the non-cash grant of \$11,000 (as received in 2007) to cover the increase in the ground rent from \$5,625 (plus GST) to \$16,250 (plus GST) until such time as the freeholding has been achieved
3. A contribution to the freeholding cost of \$150,000

4. An undertaking to guarantee a loan for the Club

Mr Jenkins stated that they had planned to do some refurbishment work such as replacing the roof and electrical wiring and they were poised and ready to go however they needed to hear from the Council about the freeholding decision so they could put it all in the same applications to various Trusts.

Mr Jenkins stated that if the Club had to pay the increased ground rental it would mean that out of a \$200 senior membership fee, \$119 of it would go to the Whakatane District Council and this was not sustainable. He stated they were negotiating for a Club Manager and had a planned upgrade and fundraising plan however this was on hold until a decision on freeholding could be made. In response to questioning Mr Jenkins stated that they wanted to know how much fundraising the Club would need to do, how much it could afford to borrow etc and let their members know what was happening. He stated that they wanted to do one application to various Trusts for funding for both refurbishment and freeholding because they felt one application was better rather than two or three smaller ones and he noted that with some of the Trusts they would not allow a further application for two or three years.

Mr Jenkins stated that they could afford to pay a loan back over 20 years or so at \$5,600 per year which was the previous ground rental which they knew they could afford.

2.6 Hugh Lovelock: 73

Refer to pages 369-370 of the agenda and tabled document 370A-B.

Mr Lovelock read his tabled submission. In response to questioning as to where he would suggest the Council seek funding for the Whakatane War Memorial Hall and he stated he believed the government would look favourably on any funding application. Mr Lovelock stated that he favoured the second bridge to be located at the end of McAllister Street over to Piripai as he believed the development in Piripai would require an alternative route into the CBD and it would also provide route security. Mr Lovelock stated that he did not think there was a need for a chapel to be attached to the crematorium.

2.7 Tangiora Tawhara, Hinepukohurangi Trust: 63: 20.20.1

Refer to pages 364 of the agenda and tabled document 364A-D.

Ms Tawhara spoke to the submission on behalf of Hinepukohurangi Trust and read her tabled submission (refer to tabled document 364A-D).

Ms Tawhara stated that nine marae in Ruatahuna had been approved for new water supplies at \$100,000 per marae. Ms Tawhara requested that the Ruatahuna water supply be fully maintained and repaired by the residents. She requested that reimbursement be made to ratepayers who have continued to pay water rates since 2000. She further requested that the Council acknowledge Māori Multiplied owned freehold land as non-rateable and she asked that any monies generated by the Ruatahuna community under the auspices of the Whakatane District Council be directly resourced by Ruatahuna.

Ms Tawhara stated that their ten year plan was to have their own water and waste management services in Ruatahuna and that in twenty years time Ruatahuna would be a self sustaining community.

In response to questioning Ms Tawhara advised that they were still working with the Ministry on the details for the water supplies to the marae. She added that the survey of community water needs assessment was given to each household, approximately 65-70 households, and was conducted by Hinepukohurangi Trust.

Ms Tawhara was asked to confirm the 2000 date in her request for reimbursement of water rates and she stated that it was probably not correct and she would like the date researched as to when the Council first came to Ruatahuna to talk about it and she stated she couldn't find those minutes so had guesstimated the date.

In response to questioning as to whether she was also referring to Council roads under the Ruatahuna organisational structure chart and she stated that they do have their own roading people and they would be looking at sub-contracting with the Council to do work in the Ruatahuna area.

His Worship the Mayor advised that he had attended a meeting of the Murupara Community Board in Ruatahuna two months ago which addressed the issue of the Ruatahuna water supply in some detail. He stated that it was explained at that meeting that \$800 per year was spent by the Council on the resource consent and inspection costs for the scheme. Ms Tawhara stated that some residents were paying water rates and others were not and she could not understand why they were paying the Council for water.

His Worship the Mayor thanked Ms Tawhara and supporters for travelling from Ruatahuna.

2.8 Christine Larsen: 21: 24.12.3

Refer to pages 365-368 of the agenda

Ms Larsen spoke to her submission and asked that the Council move from monitoring of contaminated sites and research to actively planning and providing for the decontamination of the Mataatua Reserve. She stated that there were examples of contamination being cleaned up in other areas of New Zealand such as the Mapua site in Nelson with funding from the government contamination site mediation fund. Ms Larsen stated that we were building amenities on top of contaminated toxic waste sites and we needed to remediate the sites before beautifying Ms Larsen stated that everyone knew dioxin was nasty and it was continuing to leach into the Whakatane River every time it rained heavily or flooded.

Ms Larsen was asked if she was aware of the detailed research reports on the Mataatua Reserve undertaken by Environment Bay of Plenty and she stated that she was aware of the reports but was dissatisfied with the outcome and did not believe it was safe or acceptable for the community.

2.9 Neil Yeates, Barbara Brown, Angela Clayton and Lynley Moore:10,99,91,82: 16.6.7

Refer to pages 281, 293-302, 379-380 of the agenda

Mr Yeates advised that there were 16 properties affected by the dust from Kope Drain and Jones road. The roads were busier with a number of different activities being undertaken from a childcare facility, a caravan business to horse and stock farms. He stated that dust was a major problem for these houses and businesses and for Paroa School and Whakatane Growers who ran a hydroponic operation as the dust travelled. He stated that dust particles couldn't be seen and travelled long distances. He stated dust particles penetrated eyes, affected asthmatic people and studies had shown that residents living on dusty roads had higher incidences of infections, school absenteeism and hospital admissions.

Mr Yeats stated that the road was established in 1937 and there used to be a bridge across Kope Drain Road until it was removed in the 1960's and the road was reformed. He stated that Waste Management would not travel up the road to collect wheelie bins as the road was too narrow and they had to trailer their rubbish bins to Paroa Road for collection.

Mrs Brown stated that she had lived on Kope Drain Road for 18 years and had been trying to get the road sealed for most of that time. She stated that there were road counters on the road right now and the road had recently been graded so the corrugations had improved.

Mrs Brown stated that the Council resolved in 2004 to link Kope Drain and Jones Road on the priority list as there was an economy of scale in sealing both roads at the same time. She asked that in terms of assessing the roads that both road counts be added together as that was a fairer method of establishing traffic volume as the dust from both roads affected all properties. She stated that there were 11 houses on 1600 m of road so it made sense to include them all when allocating priority points. She referred to the map attached to her submission which showed the concentration of houses along the roads. Mrs Brown highlighted the changes in ownership of properties since the last assessment in 2006 which would make a difference to their assessment criteria. She stated that there were two home based businesses a child care and a nutrition and skin care business, also Whakatane Growers had to treat their water held in tanks due to the potential infection from the dust caused by the roads which was a further cost to them. She requested that they get extra points for horticulture as they were graded for farming use only but not horticulture.

Mrs Brown asked the Council to consider that the Awakeri cycle track would be running past the end of the road and the sports grounds on Paroa School were just 240 m from the road. She asked the Council to study the maps and see the merits of adding the two road counts together, including all the houses which front the roads and take into consideration land use, home based businesses and the expansion of Whakatane Growers and the effect on Paroa School. She stated that the dust was particularly bad in the last summer with the drought conditions.

Ms Moore stated that she was disappointed the roads had slipped down the seal extension list. She stated that during the drought the dust was so thick they couldn't open their windows and when it did rain the roads were slippery. She stated that when the roads were graded the situation improved for a few days but then the pot holes soon came back. She asked that the Council consider putting the road into the top twelve to be reviewed.

Ms Clayton stated that she lived on Kope Drain Road and was a regular attendee at the annual plan submission meetings. She found it hard to understand how their road was put behind forestry roads on the seal extension list.

She strongly asked the Council to approve that Kope Drain and Jones Roads be included in the twelve roads for review. She also hoped that they could get correct statistics for these roads as promised. She stated that the properties were concentrated along the road however the school was not counted and Whakatane Growers was not counted as being affected by the dust. She asked that the Council consider not just the houses that have driveways onto the road but the other houses in the vicinity which were affected by the dust.

In response to questioning she advised that the child care centre was a home based occupation and that in the last six months two detours from the State Highway had been made on the roads which created a horrendous dust problem.

In response to questioning as to which forestry roads she was referring to she stated Sister Annie Road and Cemetery Roads had no people living on them and were ahead of them on the list and Burt Road had four houses.

2.10 Aporina Chapman and Tui Edwards, Eastern Bay of Plenty Physical Activity and Nutrition Group EBANG: 119: 11.1.1

Refer to pages 314-316 of the agenda and tabled document 316A-E.

Ms Chapman read the tabled submission on behalf of EBANG (refer to tabled document 316A-B) and Ms Edwards read the submission on tabled document 316C-D. They requested that toilet facilities for Landing road river users be given priority and that a community rate for hiring fields and recreational facilities for non profit organisations be developed.

In response to questioning as to what ideas she had to reduce the bureaucratic process Ms Edwards suggested that there be one application form as there was a lot of copying and unnecessary doubling up of paperwork. She stated that they charged an entrance fee of \$5 per paddler for the waka ama regatta which was used to pay their expenses and for prizes.

2.11 Johan Groeveveld: 60: 16.1.1.1

Refer to pages 318-320 of the agenda.

Mr Groeveveld spoke to his submission and stated that more people were cycling these days and he believed that a cycle/footbridge across the Whakatane River between Piripai and the CBD could be a fantastic idea. He stated that the Landing Road Bridge made people on bikes feel unsafe. Mr Groeveveld stated that he had estimated the savings in terms of car trips and kilometres saved and stated that it made sense in terms of economics and environmental benefits, even if only 10% of the population made use of it there would be substantial savings. He stated that overseas it was being done and people in New Zealand were looking for alternative modes of transport. Mr Groeveveld stated that he thought the best place for the bridge would be from either the yacht club or McAlister Street and that Bunyan Road could be extended from Piripai however he would leave it up to the Council to work out the best spot. In response to questioning as to whether a clip on to the Landing Road bridge would be sufficient he stated that it would be better than what was currently there however the distance maybe too long for people to bike.

2.12 Anthony Clyde, Electric Bikes New Zealand Ltd: 68: 16.1.1.1

Refer to pages 317 of the agenda.

Mr Clyde stated that he supplied e bikes around the country. He congratulated the Council on its Walking and Cycling Strategy.

He stated that the trends in Europe were towards e-cycling. He asked the Council to implement the infrastructure now rather than be forced into it in 10 years time when New Zealand caught up with the trend. He stated that he liked Commerce Street with its cycle lane however generally he felt like an imposter on the roads. He would like to emphasise the importance of dedicated cycle/walkways. He stated that Whakatane was lucky compared to a lot of other towns and cities because of its geography and it would be easy to make it the leading cycle-friendly town in New Zealand. He stated the goal of the Council should be to increase the percentage of people on bikes. He believed there was momentum there and the Council could obtain government funding for a vision of a sustainable town. He stated that with the trends in higher oil prices and reduction of carbon dioxide omissions it would soon be legislated for councils to achieve sustainable transport modes.

Mr Clyde stated that on a practical note it was about what could be afforded so he asked that the Council target King Street and Landing Road and do a similar thing as for Commerce Street. He also asked that they finish the Warren Cole walkway along the stop bank to the Landing Road bridge. He stated that these were initial steps that would not be too expensive. He also requested railings on both side of Landing Road bridge as it was dangerous when two bikes crossed. He also suggested a community bike leasing scheme be implemented. This would involve the Council building up a body of bikes and leasing them cheaply to lower income families to get people out on bikes. He also suggested more cycle parking as there was not a lot around. He offered to be on any working party that the Council set up to promote these types of activities.

In response to questioning Mr Clyde outlined what an electric bike was and stated that it was legally a push bike with a motor below 300 watts and it functioned like a normal bike until you put the throttle on such as going up a hill. He stated that it was suitable for people who were in rehabilitation, for people cycling together at different levels of fitness and even for serious cyclists training. It would be suitable for people wanting to commute over the hill from Ohope.

2.13 Linda Conning, Eastern Bay of Plenty Branch of the Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Inc: 3: 26.1.7, 25.4.4, 2.3.6

Refer to pages 361-363 of the agenda.

Ms Conning spoke on behalf of the Eastern Bay of Plenty Branch of the Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Inc and stated that they were concerned that the Council's programmes were not looking at the environmental well-being of the district as it was one of the four well-beings the Local Government Act 2002 tasked the Council with providing.

She requested that the Council provide funding for the enforcement of the Beaches Bylaw. She stated that vehicle activity on the beaches in the western part of the district was chronic, persistent and causing ongoing damage. She stated that groups such as Forest and Bird and Coast care were unable to achieve any conservation gains despite their efforts due to the damage caused by vehicles on the beaches. She stated that they had made the same submission to Environment Bay of Plenty and suggested that the Environmental Enhancement Fund be used to provide funding for actions to enforce the beaches bylaw such as managing access points, blitzes, fines and security cameras for parking areas.

She noted that Australia had achieved success and if they could effect a cultural change then so could we. She asked that the Council defer expenditure for the new Tarawera River mouth road of \$75,000 and focus on expenditure to enforce the beaches bylaw.

She stated that the bylaws were the Council's responsibility as well as coastal protection and dune lands restoration under the biodiversity requirements of the Resource Management Act 1991 and they both needed funding. She stated that the long term buffer to coastal erosion was the building up of the natural dunes.

Ms Conning understood that the Thornton area was a special case study in the Rural Review monitoring project. She requested that the Council include additional funds for the ecological monitoring of the Kanuka Thornton species which was a special species of kanuka that grew only in the Whakatane District and mostly grown on the part of the coastal area which was undergoing a lot of subdivision. She stated that it was the District's equivalent to the polar bear and was not currently part of the study.

Ms Conning stated that Forest and Bird strongly objected to the Preservation Incentive Fund not being funded this year. She stated that they had written to the Chief Executive in January 2008 expressing their concern and had not received a response. They believed that this Fund should be used to facilitate the protection of the threatened kanuka at Thornton. She stated that they were willing to work alongside the Council to see how they could assist.

Ms Conning advised that last year the Council responded to their submission about the development of The Heads reserve and advised that there would be public consultation on any plans prior to formalising plans. She stated that it was not clear whether the dredging from the harbour would be deposited on The Heads reserve and she wanted that clarified. She commented that it would be difficult to create a community reserve if it was to be covered in dredging material.

In response to questioning Ms Conning stated that while the issue of dune restoration was a large issue the Council had to start somewhere and focus on the area of highest ecological values for wildlife. She stated that Forest and Bird had invited a person from Australia who had shown that it could be done and they would be speaking at their Annual General Meeting in three weeks and she invited all Councillors to attend. She stated that prior to the four wheel drive vehicles being cheaply imported into the country 15 years ago, fishermen and women all walked to their fishing spots.

2.14 Rob Lyford

Refer to pages 633-634 of the agenda.

Mr Lyford asked the Council to stop the rates from increasing excessively. He stated that other Council's found a 5% increase unacceptable. He asked the Council to change their budgeting process and begin with a budgeted figure plus inflation and stick to that figure rather than have a wish list of projects and then add the budget to fund the wish list. He stated that councils were in a privileged position in that they could extract money from people, unlike private business. In response to questioning as to where he would see the Council making savings he stated that that was up to the Council to make those decisions.

Attendance

His Worship the Mayor left the meeting and Deputy Mayor chaired the meeting from 1:30 pm.

2.15 Gavin Dennis: 103: 24.7.12

Refer to page 220 of the agenda.

Mr Dennis stated that he opposed Option 6 of the Hazard Mitigation Policy and asked that the Council adopt Option 5.

Mr Dennis stated that he was shocked at how uninformed the people of Matata were about the consequences of the Hazard Mitigation Policy and how little they understood about the Policy. He stated that when he explained to people that on top of the rates rise of 9.6% there would also be hazard mitigation funding for some people up to \$1300 they were shocked, scared and tearful.

Mr Dennis stated that for people on a pension they would be paying a quarter of their income in rates. He stated that there were places in Auckland paying fewer rates than Matata and people were struggling now to pay rates let alone with the addition of the hazard mitigation rates.

Mr Dennis stated that he believed that one of the strengths of small communities was the percentage of permanent residents and he stated that Matata could not afford to lose residents. He stated that it would be hard to sell houses in Matata because people would not want to move in because of the high rates.

Mr Dennis stated that Matata was part of the district and the residents were district residents. They had begun to think they were not liked by Whakatane. He stated that every part of the district was susceptible to disaster from debris flows, floods, slippage, earthquakes and volcanoes. He stated that if a rain bomb came down on Mokorua Gorge those residents would be at risk of debris flows like those that occurred at Matata. Mr Dennis stated that it was decent and proper to spread costs of the disaster across the District. He stated that all parts of the District should look after each other.

Mr Dennis stated that the social impact of dividing Matata into catchments was divisive for the community. He stated that some people were paying \$300 and a person behind them was paying \$1300 for hazard mitigation and they were asking why this was happening. Mr Dennis stated that it wasn't the residents' fault that the Waitepuru Stream diverted itself down Pollen Street and now those residents had to pay more than those residents in Mair Street when it was an Act of God that it did not go down Mair Street. He stated that the unfortunate spin-off of the Hazard Mitigation Policy was that it was pitting communities against each other and dividing the community.

Mr Dennis urged the Council to adopt Option 5. He asked them to act as District Councillors. He stated that if we help each other and share the load when the next disaster comes it will make it easier to handle.

2.16 Anne Dennis: 103: 24.7.12

Refer to page 219 of the agenda.

Mrs Dennis stated that the Council had stated on page 17 of the Review of the Hazard Mitigation Policy that one of the advantages of Option 6 was that *“individuals face the consequences of living in risky situations”* and she took offence to that statement.

Mrs Dennis stated that when they had applied to build on the section they had to get permits and relied on the advice of the Council and not once were they told they were living in a risky situation. She stated that the same goes for the other residents of Matata, especially those people living near the subway. They had been warned about tsunami and sea level rise but not debris flows.

Mrs Dennis stated that the Council had sold the adjoining section to their neighbours and gave them permission to raise the level of their section. The outcome of this decision was that it created a consequence for herself and her husband in that their house and section was flooded when water came down the stream.

Mrs Dennis stated that Pollen Street was originally a lot lower than it was now and the flood path would have protected houses however the effect of the Council raising the road was that the debris flowed into sections. This was not a consequence of them choosing to live there.

Mrs Dennis stated that Option 6 was unfair and she asked that the Council adopt Option 5 which would spread the costs of the hazard mitigation over the whole District. She stated that she went round knocking on neighbours' doors and explained the Hazard Mitigation Policy and she stated that people had no idea of the effect of this Policy on their rates and people were shocked and asked how they would pay for it. She stated the people in Matata could not afford the rates increases. She asked that the Council be brave enough to change the Policy and spread it over the entire District so that we could support each other.

2.17 Robert Miller: 24.7.12

Refer to pages of the agenda.

Mr Miller spoke to his submission and stated that he lived in Arawa Street, Matata since 1980 although he had been paying rates since 1966. He was retired and on a fixed income. He stated that since the flooding his rates had gone up from \$1300 to \$2310 and would go up even higher.

Mr Miller stated that he was concerned that the rates would increase to pay for the water reticulation upgrades and meters and for the proposed sewerage scheme, even though that would be subsidised by the government.

Mr Miller stated that he was concerned that they would be paying for the mitigation works for the next 30 years. He stated that he was concerned with the targeted rating. He stated that because he was on the Waimea Stream he would pay more than others one section further back. He stated that because there were so few people on the Stream they were paying way more than others. He stated that the economic downturn in the economy would also have an effect on investments and he was very concerned.

In response to questioning Mr Miller stated that while it would be better to spread the cost over the whole of Matata although he would prefer that it was spread across the District.

2.18 Pat Dixon, Matata Lagoon Action Group: 125: 24.7.12

Refer to pages 151-152 of the agenda.

Mrs Dixon spoke on behalf of the Matata Lagoon Action Group and stated that the number of submissions from the Matata community proved that they were united in their wish for the Council to adopt Option 5 and spread the costs of disaster works over the District.

Mrs Dixon stated that while Matata had its share of natural disasters over the last 100 years they also happened randomly in other parts of the District. Mrs Dixon stated that heavy rainfall and natural erosion were random and could happen in any stream and river in the District. Mrs Dixon stated that the Council needed to stand behind the decisions it made years ago. She stated that it was natural justice that all share the costs of mitigation as happened with earthquakes.

Attendance

The Mayor joined the meeting at 2:15 pm and chaired the meeting from this point.

2.19 Michael Mischefski: 103: 24.7.12

Refer to page 245 of the agenda.

Mr Mischefski stated that he was a retiree who had lived in Matata for 10 years and in that time the rates had gone up 50%. He opposed Option 6 and asked that the Council choose Option 5 and spread the costs over the district. Mr Mischefski stated that it was not fair that his neighbours were paying \$1000 more than him for the same services. He stated that the people in Edgecumbe did not have to pay for earthquake damage in 1987. He stated that the District could have a range of disasters and he asked who would pay the costs of those.

2.20 Mark and Deborah Hofert: 123: 24.7.12

Refer to pages 156-158 of the agenda.

Mr Hofert stated that they were short term residents of Matata and they were lucky in that they had the income to pay for their rates bill increasing from \$1800 to \$3000 however there were lots of people in Matata who could not manage this increase.

Mr Hofert stated that they did understand the problem for the Council and that the costs of operations and wages was not getting cheaper and that ratepayers all wanted their bills to go down and no matter what option they chose they would be criticised for it. Mr Hofert asked that the Council see through the fog of options and think in terms of their hearts and ask themselves "what is the right thing to do?".

Mr Hofert stated that people went to Matata because it was cheap and people couldn't afford to live elsewhere. He stated that many lived hand to mouth and a lot of them were not aware of how much the disaster mitigation works were going to cost and when it was explained to them they were shocked and upset and did not know where they would find the money. He stated that people were saying they would have to sell up and live somewhere cheaper. He stated that it was those people who were worst hit in the flood who were ending up paying the most and they were facing a second disaster.

Mr Hofert wanted to challenge the Council's key philosophies. He stated that the idea of user pays does not apply to this situation as this was not about services.

He stated that user pays implies some element of choice like using the swimming pool. He stated that the people have no choice about the works proposed.

Mr Hofert stated that the Council was elected by us for our benefit. He stated that Matata can't pay for the cost of the recovery and Option 6 would be a disaster for Matata. He asked that the Council to consider Option 5 to spread the cost widely and he asked that the Council show responsible leadership and show that you care. He asked that the Council make sensible engineering choices and help people to recover.

Mrs Hofert stated that she was disturbed by what she saw when she went door to door. She wished that she could have taken the Councillors with her to get them to see the human face of the "benefit and balance" that the Council speaks about. She stated there were a lot of pensioners in Matata and they were going to hurt. She asked that the Councillors really think about the consequences of Option 6. She hoped the Council would make the right decision.

2.21 Val Baker: 103: 24.7.12

Refer to pages 163 of the agenda.

Mr Baker stated that the people in Matata helped other communities in their time of need and he supported Option 5. Mr Baker asked where the Council got its rating philosophy from which was so incompatible with Option 5.

2.22 Sariah Ratford: 103: 24.7.12

Refer to pages 162 of the agenda.

Miss Ratford stated that she was in her last year at Matata Public School. She asked the Council to support Option 5 and spread the costs of the disaster over the whole district. Miss Ratford stated that her parents would not be able to afford the cost of her education needs as the cost of taking the bus from Matata to Trident was \$500 per annum in addition to all the other costs and extra curricula fees. She stated that Option 5 was the best option for her because her parents could look at sending her to Trident High School because that is the high school she wanted to attend.

2.23 Joanna Boonen: 103: 24.7.12

Refer to page 160 of the agenda.

Miss Boonen stated that she was in Year 8 at Matata Public School and she asked that the Council adopt Option 5. She stated that the increasing rates would mean that people in Matata struggled to afford to pay a mortgage, school costs, clothes, and other household goods. She stated that Option 6 would mean those families that were struggling already would be in more financial trouble, especially with rising petrol bills.

Miss Boonen stated that the different rates allocations in Matata were financially divisive and morally unfair. She strongly believed that Option 5 should be put in place.

2.24 Narelle Gordan:103: 24.7.12

Refer to page 214 of the agenda.

Ms Gordan stated she strongly opposed Option 6 of the Hazard Mitigation Policy and supported Option 5.

She stated that the majority of the people cannot afford to pay for Option 6. She stated that the disaster works were not a general improvement and not something the community has asked for. She was pleased the works were being done and she realised that someone had to pay but targeted rates for hazard mitigation was wrong and the Matata community could not afford to provide these solutions on their own.

Ms Gordan stated that as a property owner affected by 2005 flood debris flow she had only been back in her property for six months. She had to wait for two years. She stated that the valuation for her property had increased however this did not flow through to cash in her hand. She stated that she was paying more in rates than her father who owned a house in Henderson Street in Whakatane. Ms Gordon stated that she had originally moved to Matata 12 years ago because she could not afford a house in Whakatane. She was on a fixed income and with rising petrol prices she was finding it hard.

Ms Gordan stated that she realised the Council was under pressure to keep the rates down but hiding increases by calling them targeted rates was not the way to go. She believed that the Council did not need to spend \$400,000 on giving The Heads a facelift. She asked the Council to go back to Environment Bay of Plenty and the government and ask for more money for the mitigation works.

2.25 Ed Campion, Sustainable Matata: 121: 24.7.12

Refer to pages 153-155 of the agenda and tabled document 155A-E.

Mr Campion spoke on behalf of Sustainable Matata and read the tabled submission (refer to tabled document 155A-E).

Mr Campion was asked if he believed this was the majority view of the people in Matata in regard to deferring the lagoon consent and he stated he was speaking on behalf of Sustainable Matata only.

2.26 Keith Wicks: 103: 24.7.12

Refer to pages 185 of the agenda.

Mr Wicks stated that he supported Option 5. Mr Wicks stated that he had a problem with the Council's Hazard Mitigation Policy list of advantages and disadvantages for Options 5 and 6. He stated that because Option 5 was not aligned with the Council's rating philosophy did that automatically eliminate Option 5? He believed the statement that "Individuals face the consequences of living in risky situations" was contentious as few people knew the risk when buying properties and the Whakatane District Council had an obligation to spell the risk out to people.

Mr Wicks stated that the Council seemed to forget that people had already suffered from the original debris flow and should not be singled out for more suffering.

Mr Wicks stated that he believed the Council's philosophy was based on political and not humanitarian ideas. He asked that the Council base their decision on fairness.

2.27 Bill Whalley:103: 24.7.12

Refer to pages 273 of the agenda.

Mr Whalley stated that he supported Option 5 as the only option for Matata as he believed the other options would spell the end for Matata. He stated that people could not afford to pay an extra \$1400 in their rates. Mr Whalley wondered why the projects had to be done.

2.28 Graeme Bagnall: 126: 24.7.12

Refer to pages 392 of the agenda.

Mr Bagnall stated that what the Council was proposing for Matata was not appropriate and he supported the Matata community in calling for Option 5. Mr Bagnall stated that he disagreed strongly with the hazard mitigation plan for Awatarariki Stream Debris Structure and Te Awa O te Atua Lagoon restoration works. He stated that the plans for the Awatarariki were flawed and unsustainable as there was an inexhaustible supply of debris from the catchments and he believed the net structure would not address the issue of vegetation. Mr Bagnall stated that the proposed works for the lagoon were unsustainable and the solution was to put the stream out to sea.

Mr Bagnall stated that Option 5 would be of benefit in that it would ensure a cohesive community across the whole of the Whakatane District. People would feel that they belonged. To single out Matata, which has a large proportion of people on fixed incomes, felt that the Council was trying to break up the community and change the fact of Matata by destroying the community cohesiveness which has developed there.

Mr Bagnall stated that he did not remember discussing the Council's rating philosophy and he asked where it came from and why it had not been publicly out for debate. Mr Bagnall stated that he took issue with the statement that the residents received the most benefit from the works. He stated that in 2005 the greatest priority after making sure people were safe was the opening of the state highway and the railway network and the residents came third. Mr Bagnall stated that in terms of user pays, the biggest users and beneficiaries of the works were Ontrack and Transit New Zealand and the wider community who travelled through Matata.

He disagreed with the statement that individuals should face the cost of living in risky situations. Mr Bagnall stated that he didn't buy in Matata thinking he was living in a risky situation because nothing was said about living in a debris flow path.

He stated that it would be a tragedy if Matata was torn apart and became a radically different community from the one that lives there now.

In response to questioning as to whether a survey of residents about the proposed sewerage scheme would get a similar response today, Mr Bagnall stated that it depends on the amount of information given with the survey and the pros and cons of the scheme. He believed the Council would find that most were not keen.

The meeting adjourned at 3:04 pm and resumed at 9:00 am on Thursday, 22 May 2008 in the Council Chambers, Civic Centre, Commerce Street, Whakatane.

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor C G H Holmes Deputy Mayor G I Hanlen,
Councillors C Chambers, A A Bonne, W B Clark, S Jarrett, G V
Johnston, I J Shearer, R N Orr and J Te Kurapa

IN ATTENDANCE: D A Turner (Chief Executive), D C Bewley (Director Environment and Policy), C Ball (Senior Policy Analyst), and C B Hair (Administration Officer Policy)

APOLOGIES: An apology was received and sustained on behalf of Councillor E R Byrne and for lateness on behalf of His Worship the Mayor C G H Holmes

The Deputy Mayor chaired the meeting from 9:00 am.

2.29 Tamzin Blair: 9: 20.9.1

Refer to pages 382 of the agenda and tabled document 382A-T.

Ms Blair congratulated the Council on a great town. She stated that she was unhappy with the salty water problem which occurred earlier in the year and had rung the Council to find out what was happening. She was pleased to hear that the Council was looking into an artesian water supply or moving the intake structure further upstream and she would support either of these options.

Ms Blair stated that during the summer months the water had a funny taste due to the high algae content. She was unsure the priority given by the Council to improving water quality so she had started a petition which read:

"I am not happy with the position of Whakatane's water supply intake on the edge of the tidal estuary and ask that the Whakatane District Council either move the intake upstream, or find an alternative, non-contaminated water supply".

She handed over a petition with 350 signatures (refer to tabled document 382A-T). She stated that she had collected the signatures in a week and mostly in the first day and if she had more time and resources she was sure she could have collected a lot more signatures. She stated that this showed the Council this was a major issue for ratepayers.

Attendance

The Mayor joined the meeting at 9:07 am and chaired the meeting from this point.

2.30 Rebecca Hunter: 54: 20.9.1

Refer to pages 383-384 of the agenda.

Ms Hunter stated that she fully supported Ms Blair's submission. Ms Hunter stated that she had a BSc and a Masters in Environmental and Marine Science from Auckland University. She was concerned with the state of the Whakatane River and how polluted it was and believed it was inappropriate to continue using the Whakatane River as a source of water given its ongoing problems. She stated that not everyone had the ability to install a water filter.

Attendance

Councillor Chambers joined the meeting at 9:10 am.

Ms Hunter wondered if there was a known artesian source of water close to town where people could go and freely fill up their bottles for the purpose of drinking. She stated that this was no longer possible at Braemar Springs.

Ms Hunter requested that water from the Whakatane River be tested for PCPs and dioxin. Ms Hunter stated she was concerned with the level of dioxin within the Whakatane River catchment area from previous timber mill activity and noted that Environment Bay of Plenty had admitted they did not have strong and accurate record of all waste dumps, including two upstream from the water intake area.

Ms Hunter stated that dioxin stayed in the environment and stuck to settlement which was fine when the river was clear however when there was high sediment in the water there would also be dioxin in the river. She believed that the last test for PCPs was undertaken in 1998 and that it was one sample on one date. She could not find a historical record of testing although she had not undertaken an exhaustive search on the matter. She was concerned there was no good record of dioxin and PCP testing.

Ms Hunter asked the Council to consider the option of buying bulk water tanks for residents to use to collect rainwater for drinking purposes and to run a public survey on who would be interested in installing them. She believed the Council could undertake maintenance and clean gutters etc.

In response to questioning Ms Hunter stated that she understood Environment Bay of Plenty had data available and undertook a testing regime mainly on PCPs and not dioxin.

2.31 Neal Yeates: 25: 20.9.1

Refer to pages 387 of the agenda and tabled document 387A.

Mr Yeates spoke to his submission and referred to the plan outlining water reticulation for Jones and Kope Drain Roads, which was fed from the Whakatane town water supply (refer to tabled document 387A).

Mr Yeates requested a 100 mm water main be installed for Jones and Kope Drain Roads. He stated that at present there were 15 houses feeding from a 20 mm main which resulted in water flows so low that you cannot flush the toilet and run the tap at the same time. Mr Yeates stated that the modelling work currently undertaken by the Council on the Whakatane water scheme had shown that this area was a high priority due to lack of flow, poor pipe work and no hydrants, however, due to budget restraints the priority had slipped to three years.

Mr Yeates stated that the Council's Engineering Code of Practice states that the maximum number of properties that can be connected to a 25 mm pipe was three and on the plan there were 18 connections and the rest of the pipeline was 32 mm.

Mr Yeates stated that the Council had been monitoring the pressures over the last 12 months and they were mostly below 200 kpa and the minimum was 300 kpa. Mr Yeates asked that the Council put more weighting on budgeting to upgrade this area from Stage 2 of the Hub to Paroa School and out to Jones and Kope Drain Road.

In response to questioning as to why Paroa School had not submitted about this matter, Mr Yeates stated that they had put submissions to the Council in the past but due to changes in their administration they had neglected to do so this year.

Mr Yeates wondered how they got their building warrant given that their fire fighting main came off a 25 mm pipe.

In response to questioning regarding the hydroponics company and their use of water, Mr Yeates advised that they were located on the corner of Paroa and Jones Roads and had four large tanks which they filled from a 32 mm line and they could fill up 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. He stated that when they turned on there was no pressure at the end of the reticulation.

Mr Yeates stated that all this was to do with the size of the pipe, they needed a 100 mm pipe, same as in town.

Mr Yeates clarified that the Council had taken over the line 30-40 years ago and that the farmers in Kope Drain Road had put the pipe through and hooked up to the Council system and the Council had taken it over in the 1970's.

Mr Yeates advised that he had only lived in the area for two years however he had been working on the pipelines since 1980's and the problem had been known since then.

2.32 Bruce Coppel: 56: 21.10.1

Refer to page 389 of the agenda.

Mr Coppel stated that he believed the Council should use a floating barge type water take structure, similar to the one use by Fonterra which takes the water from the top of the river. It would require some protection upstream so that it would not be taken out during a flood situation. He stated that the barge could be multi pumped so that one pump would continue to work while the other was being serviced. He stated that Fonterra successfully used this system as they had salt water intrusion up the Rangitaiki River. Another alternative suggested by Mr Coppel was to use the Board Mills intake which was further up the river.

Mr Coppel requested that that the Edgecumbe sewer system, especially the sewer pump and manhole cover on the Rata Avenue/Bridge Street corner, be properly repaired to stop the discharging of raw sewerage into the kerbside gutter every time there was substantial rain. Mr Coppel stated that the system worked well with light rain but anything over 20 mm an hour meant that the stormwater poured into the sewer and the manhole cover was visibly lifted and raw sewerage was spewed out. He stated that this had been a problem for some time and while the Council had tried various solutions, such as bolting down the manhole it made no difference and the manhole was blown off. He asked the Council to imagine the pressure and the volumes inside the system that would blow off a manhole cover. Mr Coppel stated that this became a health issue as contaminated sewerage sat in the roadside gutter for up to 4-5 days with pedestrians walking through it. He stated that it was not cleaned up within an hour and he had to call the Council emergency number on numerous occasions. He stated that the smell was disgusting.

Mr Coppel requested that the Council install cameras into the sewer pipes to identify where the stormwater was getting into the system.

In response to questioning as to what the average response time would be Mr Coppel stated that the last time it happened on a Friday afternoon and the Council did not respond until Wednesday of the following week.

He stated that his neighbour had a video of the manhole physically being lifted out. He stated that he had to tell kids not to play in the puddles as they contained raw sewerage.

In response to questioning as to whether this was a localised infiltration problem or whether it was indicative of the general state of the Edgecumbe sewerage system, Mr Coppell stated that to his knowledge the issues did relate to the town system. He noted that when there were dedicated Council workers in Edgecumbe they would clean out gully traps and issues were taken care of immediately.

2.33 Ted Hipkiss: 31

Refer to pages 399 of the agenda.

Mr Hipkiss stated that he was opposed to the increase in user charges in the Environmental Planning area. He believed the only way to avoid the trend of a declining population was to encourage development and investment and the Council was not doing this by increasing user charges. He stated that the Council did not provide a quality service and could not justify raising the costs to 100%.

Mr Hipkiss questioned the timing of increasing staff resources in the Environmental Planning area. He questioned how the Council could charge development contributions which were based on demand resulting from growth when there was zero growth. Mr Hipkiss gave an example of a property in Ruatoki which was charged \$7,711 for a second dwelling. He stated that he did not believe this was fair in an area of no growth such as Ruatoki.

Mr Hipkiss queried what the \$300,000 was for under Town Vision. He asked if it was for the purchase of buildings.

Mr Hipkiss stated that he did not believe the Council should be spending \$1.2 million on a crematorium as this was a commercial enterprise and had nothing to do with the Council.

Mr Hipkiss queried the conflicting costs for the Warren Cole cycleway and the Airport Runway Reseal project.

Mr Hipkiss stated that the Council does not provide an air transport service so cannot determine the reliability of such. He believed that increasing the size of the terminal was unsustainable in the no-growth situation.

In response to questioning as to whether the reduction in development contributions should be picked up by the ratepayer, Mr Hipkiss stated that he was saying an increase in population was a public good and should be recognised as such and the cost of development should not be increased.

2.34 Pat Doran – Friends of the Library: 41: 2.6.3

Refer to pages 407-409 and 411-413 of the agenda.

Mrs Doran read the submissions from the Friends of the Library who supported the dropping of the \$5 fee.

In response to questioning as to whether membership numbers had improved following the drop which occurred when the fee was first introduced, Mrs Doran advised that the numbers had improved marginally but were not back up to where they were prior to the introduction of the fee. Mrs Doran clarified that there was a great deal of resistance to the introduction of the library user fee.

Mrs Doran stated that the Friends of the Library believed there was insufficient room with the present facility and they urged the Council to extend the library on its current site. They suggested expanding into the garden space out to the footpath. She stated that in the future if the library did move out to an arts and culture centre the Council could use the additional space for office space. They believed an interim solution was required as a new venue maybe 10 years or more away.

Mrs Doran referred to the new Papamoa library which was a satellite library that was a wonderful facility and asked the Councillors to compare that with the current Whakatane library and asked that they consider giving Whakatane a facility to be proud of. Mrs Doran stated that the museum could be developed separately. In response to questioning as to whether it was the view of the Friends of the Library that the museum be developed separately she stated that in the future maybe the facilities could be developed together but not in the interim.

2.35 Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga o Ngāti Awa: 17

Refer to pages 354-360 of the agenda.

Mr Gardiner spoke on behalf of Te Runanga o Ngāti Awa and stated that he would highlight four main points from the submission:

1. The Council had yet to bring through the planning documents of the Council the incorporation of statutory acknowledgements from the Ngāti Awa Settlement Act in 2005
2. The Council amend the amend fees and charges to incorporate the cost of consultation with Ngāti Awa who currently spends \$200,000 doing the Council's job commenting on resource consents. He stated that it was the job of the Council to provide for capacity for Māori to contribute to decision making processes. He stated that the advantage to a streamlined process would be to remove the view that iwi cause delays in the consent process. He stated that it was unrealistic and unreasonable for them to continue to pay.
3. The Council should allocate and apply 50% of rates received on Māori land use to a Māori Land Development project run in conjunction with iwi authorities in the district. He believed this was a win-win situation as the economic improvement of Māori land would show significant flow on effects to the districts economy.
4. The Council proposals for sale or transfer of land within Ngāti Awa's area of interest should recognise that such land is confiscated land and the Council should be offering it back to Ngāti Awa in the first instance.

In response to questioning as to the effect that the pre-eminent position of offering land to Ngāti Awa would have on other iwi in the district, Mr Gardiner stated that this clearly only relates to Ngāti Awa in areas where Ngāti Awa has tangata whenua status. He clarified that he did believe this would want to be duplicated by other iwi.

In response to questioning as to whether this request was founded on anything other than moral obligation, Mr Gardiner stated that the first right of refusal of crown properties was set out in treaty settlements and this request was recognition of the Council's role in treaty relationship areas.

Mr Gardiner clarified that in terms of Resource Management Act 1991 fees and charges they wanted the charges for Ngāti Awa's time incorporated into core processes and in doing so charging becomes much less of an issue.

2.36 Lenny Jenkins: 130: 11.7.2

Refer to pages 420 of the agenda.

Lenny Jenkins spoke to his submission and stated that the petition had 82 signatures collected from people at Ohope. He asked the Council to consider upgrading the skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve, Ohope, as the current one was cracked and mainly used by bikers. He stated that he believed the skateboard park when upgraded would be well used by locals and holiday makers. He stated that at the moment the skaters in Ohope had to travel into Whakatane.

In response to questioning as to what type of design he would like for the skateboard park at Maraetotara, Lenny Jenkins stated that he would like to see a similar one to that at Whakatane.

He clarified that the current skateboard park was not easy to do down because of its design and you couldn't get enough speed up, there was a hump in the middle and it was bumpy which made it hard to ride. In response to questioning as to whether the old one could be added on to, he stated that a lot of bikers used it and he was not sure what the bikers would say if the Council got rid of it.

2.37 David Dowd: 45

Refer to pages 649-652 of the agenda and tabled document 649A-C.

Mr Dowd read his tabled submission (refer to tabled document 649A-C).

2.38 Jack Hourigan: 37: 24.7.12

Refer to pages 344-347 of the agenda and tabled document 347A-C.

Mr Hourigan read his tabled submission (refer to tabled document 347A-C).

2.39 Allan and Ann Whyte: 13:

Refer to pages 618-621 of the agenda.

Mr Whyte stated that as a manager of the paper machine at Norske Skog he had to manage a budget which did not increase every year and he understood what it was like to defer projects and like ratepayers he had to live within his income and he was concerned that the Council was not following this practice. He read out the article in the Byways explaining the rates increase of 9.7%. He asked that the Council get the rates increase down to less than 5%.

Mr Whyte stated that the rates increase was too great and he read his submission on pages 618, 619, 620 and 621 of the agenda.

2.40 Ida Te Pou: 69: 2.1.11

Refer to pages 451 of the agenda.

Mrs Te Pou spoke on behalf of Manutaki Youth and Whanau Development Trust and asked to see young people more involved in decision making. She requested that there be more youth forums to train youth.

In response to questioning as to what other strategies she would suggest Mrs Te Pou suggested organising forums where youth shared with their peers their strengths in various areas of their lives such as entertainment, sport, academic or career. She also suggested that festivals be organised by youth for youth.

It was suggested that Mrs Te Pou present her thoughts on youth to the Taneatua Community Board.

2.41 Ida Rose: 59

Refer to pages 102-106 of the agenda and tabled document 106A-C.

Mrs Rose read her tabled submission (refer to tabled document 106A-C).

2.42 Arthur Brett Kelly: 93: 24.7.12

Refer to pages 348 of the agenda.

Mr Brett Kelly spoke to his submission and stated that he believed the cost of disaster mitigation works should be more evenly shared across the District. He stated that in the past the Whakatane District Council had paid for disaster works out of the general rate and he believed the pain for communities would be lessened if it was shared across the District.

Mr Brett Kelly submitted that the costs of stormwater and sewerage works at Otawairere/Cliff Rd should not be charged to West End residents.

Attendance

Councillor Hanlen left the meeting at 1:00 pm

2.43 Vladimir Archipow: 124

Refer to pages 555 of the agenda.

Mr Archipow spoke to his submission and stated that the proposed rate for Edgumbe was excessive. He stated that the Mayor of Lower Hutt City Council had spoken to Whakatane ratepayers recently and stated that Lower Hutt City had kept their rates down to 5% and he noted that across the country rates were been kept to this level.

Mr Archipow supported the Edgumbe-Tarawera Community Board submission. He stated that if the Council pursued this rates rise for Edgumbe there would be a rates revolt. Mr Archipow stated that the Edgumbe community contained a lot of elderly people, had a high unemployment rate and agricultural worker were lowly paid.

He believed there would be social implications such as family violence and kids on streets as a result of the rates increase as this would put families under more pressure.

He stated he felt shock and dismay when he heard about the 40% rates rise and this was followed by anger. He asked the Council to consider long term loans to spread the cost over time and not hit this bunch of ratepayers hard.

Mr Archipow asked the Council to downsize its wish list as this was not Auckland or Rotorua. Mr Archipow stated that this kind of rates rise was totally unacceptable and he implored the Council to revisit it seriously.

2.44 Graeme Hendl: 124

Refer to pages 579 of the agenda.

Mr Hendl supported the previous speaker and stated that the earthquake had damaged the assets in Edgumbe and he believed the money paid by the government had not been spent on fixing the infrastructure and had been channelled somewhere else and now the residents of Edgumbe were having to pay for the work.

Mr Hendl referred to his own subdivision where he was forced to put in a flood pump scheme. He stated that none of his water was going into the area the Council was talking about.

Mr Hendl was asked if he had any evidence to support his statement that the money destined for Edgumbe had been "sidetracked" and he stated that the Council's records would show what happened to the money and it should be on record where it was siphoned off to.

2.45 John Davis: 124

Refer to pages 595 of the agenda.

Mr Davis stated that the residents of Edgumbe were still paying for the damage caused by the earthquake 20 years ago. Mr Davis stated that the Council was still looking for finance to repair the damage.

Mr Davis stated that the \$400 or 40% rates increase posed a problem for him as his superannuation increased by only \$6 and he asked the Council to think about what they could afford. Mr Davis stated that he was surprised to hear a member of the public being asked where the money for the earthquake repairs had gone and he would like to have seen the repairs taken place 20 years ago. Mr Davis stated there was a need for something to be done now.

Mr Davis stated that he could not afford to come in to Whakatane to appreciate the beautification programmes.

2.46 Melinda Rangiaho: 124

Refer to pages 542 of the agenda.

Mrs Rangiaho stated that she lived in Titoki Place, Edgumbe and on behalf of the 21 people who lived in her street she asked why the rates were going up by 40%.

She stated that she attended Edgecumbe Playcentre and a lot of the parents were not aware that their rates were about to increase by 40%. She stated that she could not afford an extra \$162 per quarter. Mrs Rangiaho stated that her husband had gone to Australia to work. She stated that she used the walkways and facilities in Edgecumbe and they were disgusting and she stated that there was no way the Council was spending money on Titoki Place in Edgecumbe. She stated that the footpaths were bad, the trees were not pruned and the roads were not swept. Mrs Rangiaho stated that Titoki Place has experienced flooding because the leaves were filling the gutters and were not cleaned away.

Mrs Rangiaho stated that she was paying more rates in Edgecumbe than her parents were in Whakatane and if the Council raised the rates by 40% she would be paying over \$2,000 pa in rates and she could not afford that.

Mrs Rangiaho stated that she was happy to get a better pump and happy to pay a 5% increase in rates but she was not happy to pay 40% because she could not afford it and the same went for the other families in her street.

Mrs Rangiaho was asked to elaborate on the walkway and she stated that the Puriri Crescent/Titoki Place walkway went to the park, which was not maintained, there were old swings which filled with water when it rained and broken bottles and rubbish. She noted that when she went to Whakatane the parks were tidy. She asked why, when she was paying \$1700 in rates, should she have to take a bag and clean up the glass because she had a two year old.

2.47 Evan Harvey: 134

Refer to pages 456-458 of the agenda.

Mr Harvey spoke to his submission and stated that he was horrified when he saw the rates rise for Edgecumbe was proposed to be 40%. He asked the Council to consider the morality of doing this. He stated that the people in Edgecumbe were expected to pay high rates for abysmal service.

Mr Harvey spoke about the health hazard of sewerage spilling out onto roads and drains during heavy downpours. He stated that the roads and footpaths were not maintained well and were not up to standard. Mr Harvey spoke about the Edgecumbe Domain which he believed was worse than before the works were completed and he had put in complaints to the Council about this. He stated that no one he spoke to knew about the proposed rates increase.

Mr Harvey stated that it was time for the Council to get things right and it was not good enough to have walkways and footpaths that needed repair. He stated that he could not take his granddaughter to the playground in Edgecumbe as the equipment was not maintained. He asked that the money collected from Edgecumbe be put back into the Edgecumbe community and not into the Whakatane community.

2.48 Patricia Tolley: 76: 24.7.12

Refer to pages 401-402 of the agenda.

Mrs Tolley spoke to her submission and requested that the Council obtain an old plan of the West End Road. She stated that the sea used to go up to the cliff face. She asked the Council to get rid of the tree. She stated that she was not happy with the idea of West End people paying for Otarawairere sewerage.

Mrs Tolley asked what the Council was putting in the water as it was turning the grouting in her bathroom tiles yellow and giving her eczema.

2.49 Liz Walker: 124

Refer to pages 488-489 of the agenda.

Mrs Walker stated that the previous speakers on Edgecumbe covered how people were feeling. She stated that the Council had to work with communities to enhance the four well-beings, social, cultural, economic and environmental. She stated that the Edgecumbe community was not a well off community. She stated that the challenge of leadership was to set difficult goals based on the values you wish to operate under and she asked that the Councillors keep people in the forefront of their decisions.

2.50 Bruce Coppell: 124

Refer to page 389 of the agenda.

Mr Coppell asked why he was paying more in rates per household in Edgecumbe than his father who had a section in Lord Cobham Ave in Whakatane. Mr Coppell stated that he had already mentioned to the Council that there used to be Council workers who did repairs in Edgecumbe but this had all changed after the earthquake. He stated that there was lots of stuff wrong that was not fixed. Mr Coppell stated that he felt he got poor value for his rates.

2.51 Wendy Stoddart: 124

Ms Stoddart stated that she had to travel to work everyday from Edgecumbe to Whakatane and with the mortgage rates and petrol price rises she was concerned that the rates would also increase dramatically. She could not see how the Council could justify such an increase.

The meeting adjourned at 1:51 pm and resumed at 9:00 am on Friday, 23 May 2008, in the Council Chambers, Civic Centre, Commerce Street, Whakatane

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor C G H Holmes, Deputy Mayor G I Hanlen, Councillors C Chambers, A A Bonne, W B Clark, S Jarrett, G V Johnston, I J Shearer, R N Orr and J Te Kurapa

IN ATTENDANCE: D A Turner (Chief Executive), D C Bewley (Director Environment and Policy), C Ball (Senior Policy Analyst) and C B Hair (Administration Officer Policy)

APOLOGIES: An apology was received and sustained on behalf of Councillor E R Byrne

The Deputy Mayor chaired the meeting.

2.52 Environment Bay of Plenty – Bill Bayfield, and Phillip Sherry: 36

Refer to pages 397-398 of the agenda.

Councillor Phillip Sherry spoke to the submission on behalf of Environment Bay of Plenty and stated that Environment Bay of Plenty reinforced their support for the newly formed Toi EDA and looked forward to an ongoing working relationship.

Councillor Sherry stated that in terms of the remediation of the Minginui waste site, Environment Bay of Plenty supports the Council in funding a solution and suggests that the Whakatane District Council takes advantage of the Ministry for the Environment funding available through Environment Bay of Plenty.

Councillor Sherry stated that Environment Bay of Plenty was interested in freeholding the harbour lease hold land at their site at Quay Street and welcomed discussions with the Whakatane District Council about acquiring the land. He noted that the Council had indicated they would consider the sale of harbour lease hold land on a case by case basis.

In response to questioning as to the estimated costs of a transfer station Mr Bayfield stated that there was \$120,000 available from the fund however the Council would have to provide funding as well. Mr Bayfield clarified that the application to the Ministry for the Environment for cleaning up the Minginui site was made some years ago and the money became available in July 2007.

Councillor Sherry highlighted the uncertainty over funding availability in the future from the Ministry for the Environment and requested that the councils work closely together to ensure that the project was completed while funding was available.

Attendance

His Worship the Mayor joined the meeting at 9:15 am and chaired the meeting from this point.

2.53 Murupara Community Board: 70

Refer to pages 376-377 of the agenda.

Board Member Barry Doney spoke on behalf of the Murupara Community Board and stated that they would like to see Cemetery Road in Galatea sealed. He stated that the dust was shocking when there was a large funeral and when wet the place turned to mud. He stated that the community felt that they should offer the last bit of dignity to a person at the end of their life. He stated that in the past the community, together with the Council, had got all the roads in Galatea sealed and this was the last piece left unsealed.

In response to questioning Board Member Doney stated that the cemetery serviced the communities in Murupara, Te Whaiti, Minginui, Waiohau and Galatea and that both Maori and European people were buried in the cemetery. He clarified that providing a parking area would be ideal but was not necessary.

Board Member Doney stated that the Murupara Community Board were objecting to the big increase in fees and charges and asked that the Council do so prudently. He gave an example of himself being charged twice for a licence to occupy. He stated he was charged \$350 to move a fence outwards and another \$250 for an agreement which he thought was being charged twice for the same job.

2.54 George Chambers, Whakatane Community Board: 83

Refer to pages 624 of the agenda.

Board Members Chambers spoke to the submission on behalf of the Whakatane Community Board and asked the Council to defer half of the amount of the depreciation until the following year and he asked that the Council defer the arts and culture centre project. He also asked that the Council divest itself of responsibility for urban and community halls. He explained his experience in the Western Bay of Plenty where this had occurred and stated that only one hall had since closed but that hall had been in a bad state of repair. He stated that the Council had provided a grant to bring the halls up to standard before handing them over to the community to run.

In response to questioning Board Member Chambers stated that he believed all components of the arts and culture centre could be deferred including the library.

He clarified that he never mentioned the word “sell” in relation to halls, rather the halls would be “gifted” to the community once brought up to standard and it was the community’s responsibility from then on to upkeep the hall and use it and they had done this successfully in the Western Bay of Plenty.

2.55 Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board: 101

Refer to pages 628-631 of the agenda.

Chris Dohrman, Chairperson, Ohope Beach Community Board spoke on behalf of the Board and stated that the Board objected to the 9.74% rates increase which they believed was unsustainable given the numerous increases in the last few years. He stated that the Board desired power undergrounding in Pohutukawa Avenue and could not understand why the Council had decided not to fund undergrounding of power lines especially when it was being funded three ways. He asked that the Council put an effort into the tsunami warning system in coastal areas and wanted the Council to consult the Ohope Beach Community Board before divesting any Council owned land at Port Ohope. He stated that the Board supported the UAC at \$325 and would support an increase to the roading UAC.

Board Member Dohrman stated that they believed the acquisition of land at West End Road was not provided for in the budget and he questioned why this was the case and stated that the Board believed it would be a shame to stop after so many years. He asked that a contribution be made by Otarawairere and Brown and Cliff Road residents to connect to the Ohope waste water system and this should be included in the fees and charges schedule.

In regard to the Hazard Mitigation Policy, Board Member Dohrman stated that the Ohope Beach Community Board supported Option 3 which was the option adopted for Awatapu, where the community pays 50% and the district the other 50%. He stated that the purpose of community boards was to unite communities and they believed the current option 6 was divisive and they did not believe it was in the best interests of their community.

2.56 John Hauwaho – Youth Council: 105

Refer to pages 452-454 of the agenda.

Mr Hauwaho spoke on behalf of the Youth Council and stated that they believed that the Council could improve the public transport system. He stated that the Youth Council supported initiatives to reduce the carbon footprint such as increasing cycleways and supporting bike users and thought that a bike hire system could be investigated by the Council.

Mr Hauwaho stated that the Youth Council believed that a clip on to the Landing Road bridge and the Te Teko bridge would enable young people to keep jumping into the river but would provide a safer jumping option as well as being used as a walkway and cycle way.

Mr Hauwaho stated that the Youth Council supported the idea of pay for parking in the future and they understood that there were a lot of submissions against this idea.

Mr Hauwaho stated that they believed there was a need to provide better resources in the library and museum on Gallipoli and the Treaty of Waitangi which were core subjects that students found difficult to obtain information. He stated that the current library was not welcoming for students who did not currently want to come and study there and it was important that study rooms be provided to enable students to study together outside of school and homes. He stated that education was important and getting better educated people in the area was of benefit to us.

Mr Hauwaho stated that the Youth Council supported the development of a skate board park in Edgecumbe together with a graffiti wall which would discourage graffiti around Edgecumbe township.

Mr Hauwaho stated that the Youth Council undertook a survey and found that not many youths knew what was available at the Youth Centre. He asked that the Council promote the activities at the Youth Centre.

In response to questioning Mr Hauwaho stated that the Youth Council did not have a preferred skateboard park design and had not discussed this with the Edgecumbe-Tarawera Community Board but when doing research they would go to schools and seek people's opinions. He was not aware there was a similar project requested at Ohope.

In response to questioning as to how he would suggest the Council promote the Youth Centre, Mr Hauwaho stated that he would suggest a Blue Light disco or dance be held.

In response to questioning Mr Hauwaho stated that he had no evidence that the graffiti wall reduced tagging but he did believe that this happened and he would be communicating with other youth councils on how their graffiti walls were used. He stated that the term "graffiti wall" was a preferred term as they saw graffiti as an art form and people should be able to express themselves in a place without being branded a criminal.

Mr Hauwaho confirmed that he understood the bridges were on state highways and under the control of Transit New Zealand.

2.57 Clive McIndoe, Edgecumbe-Tarawera Community Board: 66

Refer to pages 390-391 of the agenda and tabled document 391A.

Board Member Clive McIndoe spoke to the submission on behalf of the Edgecumbe-Tarawera Community Board and referred to the plan of the Edgecumbe Waste Water Scheme (refer to tabled document 391A). He stated that there was a constriction in pump station No 9 where a 250 mm pipe was constricted into a 150 mm pipe and the Board had questioned the wisdom of this 12 years ago and the constriction was still occurring and causing problems. He stated that pump station No 4 was inundated with stormwater during heavy rain which then overflowed onto residential properties. He stated that under normal circumstances the waste water system worked well however when it rained heavily it did not work well and became a major health hazard.

He stated that the lateral lines were videoed and where there was a break and leak this was fixed however there were still large volumes of stormwater entering the system and he believed this came from downpipes from residential properties being discharged into the stormwater system.

Board Member McIndoe stated that the town's deciduous trees, the liquidambar, lost their leaves and their nuts at the same time as the heavy rains fell and this combination became an effective blocker of stormwater grates and caused severe road flooding onto residential properties which then entered the sewerage system. He stated that the rural drains which took stormwater away from Edgecumbe were not kept cleaned and contributed to the problem. Board Member McIndoe stated that the Council had to prove that the monies allocated after the 1987 earthquake for fixing the waste water system was actually spent. He stated that the maintenance issue had not been addressed since the earthquake and now the Council was proposing to put an expensive "plaster on an oozing sore". He stated there was no guarantee the expenditure on pump station No 9 would fix the problem. He stated it was unsatisfactory that raw sewerage was ending up on residential sections and ratepayers were left to clean the mess up for themselves.

Board Member McIndoe was asked if he had any details that he could provide on where the monies relating to the earthquake were or were not spent and he stated that he had not been on the community board at that time however the issue keeps being raised and needed to be settled.

In response to questioning as to whether the proposed flood mitigation plans would alleviate the problem caused by heavy rainfalls he stated that probably it would however the large volumes of water entering the system had to be caused by more than one or two peoples gully traps discharging directly into the system.

In response to questioning Board Member McIndoe stated that the grass in the drains reduced the flow by up to 50% and he believed it was necessary to change the contract conditions and having the drains cleaned regularly.

2.58 Evan Harvey, Edgecumbe-Tarawera Community Board: 128

Refer to pages 612-613 of the agenda.

Mr Harvey read the submission on behalf of the Edgecumbe-Tarawera Community Board on pages 612-613 of the agenda and expressed their disapproval of the proposed rates rise of 40% for Edgecumbe.

In response to questioning as to whether the Edgecumbe-Tarawera Community Board was suggesting that work be deferred in Edgecumbe, Mr Harvey stated that where work was to be done they suggested it be paid by way of loan so it could be spread over a longer term rather than hammering ratepayers now.

2.59 Taneatua Community Board – Dave Kyle: 48: 11.10.2

Refer to page 437 of the agenda and tabled document 437A.

Mr Kyle spoke to the submission on behalf of the Taneatua Community Board and referred to the map outlining their proposal for car parking on Mitchell Park (refer to tabled document 437A).

He stated that the area around Mitchell Park and the Rugby field were well used and at times there could be 100 cars along the side of the road. He stated that this was the main road to Ruatoki and Opourio Valley and there was a lot of traffic, including logging trucks now that the forests were being harvested as well as dairy tankers.

Mr Kyle stated that the idea was to prevent people parking on the side of the road by installing bollards on the western side of the road and by putting in kerb and channelling and a footpath. The Taneatua Community Board was suggesting that the speed limit be reduced from 100 kph to 50 kph from the Tuhoe Street intersection to the bridge. Mr Kyle stated that it was appalling that such a well use park did not have a toilet block.

In response to questioning as to where the project was in terms of the Council system Mr Kyle stated that after a visit by staff they had drawn up the proposed plan.

In response to questioning Mr Kyle stated that they would envisage the project progressing in stages. He believed that a short term solution would be to form a car park with a grader and hard fill it with crusher dust. Mr Kyle stated that in time they would like to see the car park sealed and with the large amount of people who used the park they would also need toilets.

Mr Kyle was asked if the Taneatua Community Board would consider contributing to the carpark through their discretionary fund. He stated that they had not included a discretionary fund amount of \$5,000 in the 2008/09 budget as the rates were so high and they had \$8,000 accrued in the fund. He stated that while they would consider using this money towards the project he believed it was a road safety issue that needed to be addressed by the Council.

2.60 Gavin Dennis, Edgecumbe-Tarawera Community Board: 136: 24.7.12

Refer to page 133 of the agenda.

Board Member Dennis spoke on behalf of the Edgecumbe-Tarawera Community Board regarding the disaster mitigation policy. He stated that the Council had already heard from the Matata community and the Edgecumbe community about how they could not afford the cost of the disaster mitigation works and how they would face financial hardship as a result of the Council's policy. He stated that such large increases in rates would be a disaster for these communities.

He asked that the Council consider that we were one district and he asked that they not divide the district by rating them differently. He asked that the Council adopt Option 5 of the Hazard Mitigation Policy.

2.61 Plains Water Supply Special Committee – Wayne Checkley: 139: 20.12.1.1., 7.3.1, 27.1.7

Refer to page 388 of the agenda and tabled document 388A-B.

Wayne Checkley, Chairperson of the Plains Water Supply Special Committee read the submission on behalf of the Committee (refer to tabled document 388A-B). He stated that the Committee had recommended in their submission last year that the capital works programme totalling \$1.084 million for the development of the Paul Road bores be deferred for two years, however it had only been deferred for one year and they were again asking the Council to defer this expenditure until the testing of the bores was completed, government funding could be sought and any synergies with supplying water for the Whakatane township were investigated.

Mr Checkley stated that the detailed volume figures were still not available and this had made it difficult to recommend a budget.

Mr Checkley stated that somehow the policies for water connections to the Plains water supply rules and conditions relating to water entitlements had changed over time and needed to be clearly identified and correct entitlements and rules and conditions set out for both the staff and the public. Mr Checkley stated that he was concerned with aspects of the documentation of the scheme's assets and stated that some pipes were listed as being older than the scheme itself which he did not think was possible and this affected the Asset Management Plans listing pipe replacement dates.

2.62 Nigel Billings, Federated Farmers BOP: 53: 9.1.1

Refer to pages 335-339 of the agenda.

Mr Billings spoke on behalf of Federated Farmers and complimented the Council on the transparency of Whakatane District Council's Draft Annual Plan which he stated was easy to understand and while there was a lot of differentials and targeted rates this was presented in a clear way.

Mr Billings supported the Council's efforts to introduce user pay tools and agreed with the use of targeted rates and stated that the least equitable systems were the simplest.

Mr Billings stated that Federated Farmers supported the Council's approach to the rating system using capital value rather than land value as the basis of the general rate. He stated that Federated Farmers had raised a technical point around the 30% cap and it was their view that there was more room within the allowable cap and that the Council was only using 4.54% of the maximum allowable cap.

Mr Billings stated that Federated Farmers were disappointed with the government's rate inquiry which they described as a big and laborious study into local government rating and it was their opinion that the government had left a system based on property taxes to fund a broader mandate. He stated that Federated Farmers was lobbying the government for changes such as getting the GST pool to fund local government on a pro-rata basis.

In response to questioning as to how confident was he that the Council had got the interpretation of the 30% cap wrong, Mr Billings stated that it was not a criticism, rather they wanted clarification on how the calculation was done.

He stated that the uniform charges did not cover the whole district and therefore should not count in the 30% cap. He stated that they wanted staff to think about this issue.

2.63 Michaela Frew: 78: 13.5.8

Refer to pages 435 of the agenda and tabled document 435A-D.

Ms Frew read her tabled submission (refer to tabled document 435A-B) and tabled a petition signed by 36 people that stated “We the undersigned believe that the Council should ensure there are plenty of safe areas for children and adults to swim and dive and play in the river” (refer to tabled document 435C-D).

In response to questioning as to whether she agreed with the new plans for the swimming area, Ms Frew stated that she was not happy with them as she would like to see toddlers use the area as well.

2.64 Clark Jaram, speak on behalf of Karen Herewini: 78: 13.5.8

Mr Jaram stated that Ms Herewini was unable to attend today because of bereavement and he would like to speak on her behalf. Mr Jaram supported Ms Frew and stated that there had been better behaviour from the boaties during the last summer. He stated that the expenditure of \$80,000 for the pool was not great compared to other harbour expenditure and for the large numbers of people who used the river to swim.

2.65 Clark Jaram and Ruth Gerzon, Coalition for Community and Environment (CCE): 79

Refer to page 645 of the agenda and tabled document 645A-B.

Ms Gerzon stated that the CCE had been set up 15 months ago and she referred to the pamphlet which set out their mission and vision (refer to tabled document 645A-B). Ms Gerzon stated that they believed the Wairaka and The Heads community was under threat and the environment was being desecrated due to the pollution of the river, soaring rates and the plans for inappropriate high rise developments. She stated that they had been galvanised by the issues resulting from the Mirador development. She stated they were a core group of 10-12 people and were working with other organisations on similar issues. Their key issue was about consultation. She stated that the Annual plan process was not the appropriate way to consult with Māori and other members of the community. She stated that CCE believed that regular community forums throughout the year could be held to talk to people in an informal way, specifically people who were absent from these deliberations who may not have the skills, ability or information to be heard in this environment. She had noticed how expensive and time consuming it was for the Council when things went wrong and she believed that if consultation happened at the beginning there would not be such a backlash.

Ms Gerzon stated that for example someone had spent a lot of time drawing up a plan for the beautification of The Heads and then the Council went out to the community for consultation when the Council could have asked the people at Wairaka for an onsite meeting at the beginning and asked them what they wanted and then they could have gone away and drawn up the plans.

Mr Clark stated that true consultation took place with hapu groups. He noted that the Memorandum of Understanding between the Iwi Liaison Committee and the Council mentioned iwi only, not hapu. He stated that he had asked Jeremy Gardiner, Chief Executive, Te Runanga o Ngāti Awa, what the Runanga's position was in the Wairaka area and he said their position was the position of Ngati Hokopu. Mr Clark stated that there was confusion over Manu Whenua and stated that confusion came in on both sides. He stated that if the Council got the consultation right most of the problems would disappear.

Mr Clark stated that in terms of Maori consultation it was easy for the Council to wander down the road and undertake informal consultation and they could also arrange things more formally. Mr Clark stated that the present process cost time and money and did not work with people who wanted to engage with the Council. He said the Council had these deadlines and the submitters were working people with their own obligations to their maraes who then had to try to get the hapu and marae together to form an opinion to get the submission in on time so they could be part of the process and this was a real problem for them. He asked that they get together and clarify the consultation process.

In response to questioning as to whether there was agreement between Ngati Hokopu and Te Runanga o Ngāti Awa over the proposed Cultural Centre in Wairaka, Mr Clark stated that they had not yet reached agreement.

In response to questioning as to the swimming facilities Mr Clark stated that they had been shocked to see the changes as they had never agreed to the paddling pool being destroyed and had not agreed with the second plan.

2.66 Ruth Gerzon: 80

Refer to pages 429 of the agenda.

Ms Gerzon spoke to her submission and stated that she understood the legislation prevented the Council from using harbour funds from being used on general expenditure such as improving basic infrastructure and disaster mitigation and she asked that the Council begin to explore this and begin a discussion on whether or not this should be changed.

2.67 Iwi Liaison Committee, George Johnston: 88

Refer to pages 94-95 of the agenda.

Councillor Johnston spoke to the submission on behalf of the Iwi Liaison Committee.

3.0 DELIBERATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS: 27.1.3.3

3.1 Submission Summaries and Management Comments Annual Plan 2008/09

Refer to Volume 2 document "Submission Summaries and Management Comments Annual Plan 2008/09" which was tabled at the meeting.

Refer to decisions on submissions which are attached to the minutes.

Further information was requested on a range of topics. Refer to tabled documents 652A-DO.

The meeting adjourned at 1:28 pm and resumed at 1:00 pm on Monday, 26 May 2008 in the Council Chambers, Civic Centre, Commerce Street, Whakatane.

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor C G H Holmes, Deputy Mayor G I Hanlen, Councillors C Chambers, A A Bonne, W B Clark, S Jarrett, G V Johnston, I J Shearer and R N Orr

IN ATTENDANCE: D A Turner (Chief Executive), D C Bewley (Director Environment and Policy), H Read (Director Works and Services), H Barnes (Chief Financial Officer), C Ball (Senior Policy Analyst) and C B Hair (Administration Officer Policy)

APOLOGIES: Apologies were received and sustained on behalf of Councillors E R Byrne and J Te Kurapa

3.2 Late Submissions

Refer to tabled document 652A-J.

RESOLVED:

THAT the late tabled submissions contained in the agenda and the submission by Awakeri War Memorial Hall Committee and the submissions referred from the Town Vision process be accepted and considered by the Council.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

3.3 Taneatua By-pass State Highway 2: 17.5.2

RESOLVED:

THAT the Council requests Transit New Zealand investigates the use of the railway line as a bypass route around the Taneatua Township for the State Highway.

Shearer/His Worship the Mayor

CARRIED

Attendance

Councillor Shearer left the meeting at 4:30 pm

3.4 Edgecumbe Annual Plan Issues: 19.18.1, 21.10.1, 1.5.1, 7.3.1

Refer to tabled document 652K-Q.

The Chief Executive spoke to the tabled document and assured the Council that the waste water system was repaired after the 1987 earthquake over a period of years and that infiltration issues pre-dated the earthquake.

The Chief Executive covered the waste water scheme including both past and future actions to address the problems. She stated that the issues relating to stormwater ponding and flooding were detailed in a business case which was currently before the government. She outlined the reasons for the increase in Edgecumbe rates by 40.10% and outlined proposed changes to the draft budget to reduce the overall impact to approximately 28.6%.

Refer to clause 3.5 for continuation.

The meeting adjourned at 4:58 pm and resumed at 9:00 am on Tuesday, 27 May 2008 in the Council Chambers, Civic Centre, Commerce Street, Whakatane

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor C G H Holmes, Deputy Mayor G I Hanlen, Councillors C Chambers, A A Bonne, W B Clark, S Jarrett, G V Johnston, I J Shearer, R N Orr and J Te Kurapa

IN ATTENDANCE: D A Turner (Chief Executive), D C Bewley (Director Environment and Policy), H Read (Director Works and Services), H Barnes (Chief Financial Officer), C Ball (Senior Policy Analyst), G Lewer (Manager Community Regulation), W Vullings (Strategic Policy Analyst) and C B Hair (Administration Officer Policy)

APOLOGIES: An apology was received and sustained on behalf of Councillor E R Byrne

3.5 Edgcumbe Annual Plan Issues: 19.18.1, 21.10.1, 1.5.1, 7.3.1

Refer to tabled document 652K-Q.

His Worship the Mayor commented that it was a “myth” that money from the government was not spent on repairing the Edgcumbe waste water system following the 1987 earthquake and there had been no evidence provided by submitters that this occurred. He stated that he did not believe it would be a worthwhile exercise to get a staff member to spend a substantial amount of time in the archives to prove the Council did not misappropriate funding in 1987.

The Chief Executive spoke to the tabled report “Edgcumbe Annual Plan Issues” (refer to tabled document 652K-Q) which outlined the issues facing Edgcumbe and the reasons for the rates increase and outlined proposed changes to the budget to reduce the rate requirement in Edgcumbe from 40% to approximately 28%. She stated that there had been a reluctance historically to look at the waste water system holistically and to spend money on long term solutions. This had resulted in a piece meal approach to the problem over the past 20 years. She stated that staff were now proposing to fully understand all the problems and to solve them in a holistic manner. She stated that staff were investigating access to other funding sources as it could be argued that the works were disaster and health related.

RESOLVED:

THAT in regard to the Edgcumbe waste water services the Council approves

1. a loan of \$232,000 over a period of 25 years to fund the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve
2. the adjustment of rates requirements using connections rather than assessments
3. a \$20,000 reduction on waste water operations, as the capital works already completed have removed the need to use hire equipment

4. staff investigating the ability to access other funds such as the Local Authority Protection Programme Fund (LAPP) and Environment Bay of Plenty for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$434.87 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council have further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in rates. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

His Worship the Mayor/Clark

CARRIED

Attendance

Councillor Johnston left the meeting at 1:00 pm.

3.6 Community Funding Submissions: 2.3.1

Refer to tabled document 652R-AS of the agenda.

Attendance

The Manager Community Regulation joined the meeting at 1:12 pm.

The Manager Community Regulation advised that the Opotiki District Council did not have a dog pound of its own and contracted that service out to the SPCA which accounted for \$12,000 pa. Similarly services were contracted out in Gisborne.

The Manager Community Regulation in response to questioning advised that they had a demarcation that any dogs over three months were handed over to the dog control division of Council including strays. He stated in his opinion the sum of \$2,000 was adequate.

Attendance

The Strategic Policy Analyst joined the meeting at 1:16 pm.

The Manager Community Regulation confirmed that any increase in allocation to the SPCA would mean an increase in dog control fees. He stated that the legislation was specific in that the money from the dog control account could only be spent on dog welfare and not feral cat eradication.

Attendance

The Chief Executive joined and the Manager Community Regulation left the meeting at 1:22 pm.

Attendance

The Strategic Policy Analyst left the meeting at 1:40 pm.

Refer to clause 5.25 for continuation of this item.

Attendance

His Worship the Mayor left the meeting at 2:03 pm and the Deputy Mayor chaired the meeting from this point. His Worship the Mayor joined the meeting at 4:21 pm and chaired the meeting from this point.

The meeting adjourned at 4:31 pm and resumed on Tuesday, 3 June 2008 at 9:00 am in the Council Chambers, Civic Centre, Commerce Street, Whakatane

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor C G H Holmes, Deputy Mayor G I Hanlen, Councillors C Chambers, A A Bonne, W B Clark, S Jarrett, G V Johnston, I J Shearer, R N Orr and J Te Kurapa

IN ATTENDANCE: D A Turner (Chief Executive), D C Bewley (Director Environment and Policy), H Read (Director Works and Services), H Barnes (Chief Financial Officer), C Ball (Senior Policy Analyst), P R Chapman (Manager Community Facilities), M Taylor (Manager Transportation), W Vullings (Strategic Policy Analyst) and C B Hair (Administration Officer Policy)

APOLOGIES: An apology was received and sustained on behalf of Councillor E R Byrne

4.0 RUGBY WORLD CUP 2011: 3.1.5

Nigel Cass through a power point presentation outlined the Rugby World Cup 2011 (refer to tabled document 1A-K). He stated that the Council would need to determine by the end of October 2008 if they wished to host a world cup rugby team. He stated that the teams would require commercial accommodation for up to 50 people for a five week period. He stated that the cost of hosting a team was \$100,000 per week. He stated that there would be advantages in hosting a team including media coverage, financial benefits of supporters and generally it was a great opportunity to promote the district and its tourism opportunities to a wide audience. He stated that Whakatane had a history of hosting the Sevens team and had the rugby facilities.

He stated that he was in contact with the Manager Marketing and Economic Development who was working regionally to promote the event.

The meeting adjourned at 9:30 am and resumed at 9:44 am.

5.0 DELIBERATIONS CONTINUED:

5.1 Town Vision – Budget \$300,000: 24.8.8

Refer to tabled document 652AT.

The Manager Community Facilities referred to the breakdown of costs for the Town Vision in the draft Annual Plan Budget for 2008/09 as follows:

Town Vision Consultancy Costs – Draft Annual Plan Budget \$300,000

Item	Description	Amount
Traffic	Opus report - end of July /August	\$15,000 - \$20,000
Development Group (TVDG)	Establishment	\$20,000 - \$40,000
Integration with District Plan	Advice, planning and begin process	\$120,000 - \$140,000
Property Consultancy Costs	Legal, valuation, advice	\$20,000
Property holding costs	holding costs	\$25,000
Urban Design	Update plans, advice to TVDG	\$20,000 - \$25,000
Internal costs	Management, printing, consultation etc	\$30,000

Attendance

The Manager Community Facilities joined the meeting at 9:54 am.

5.2 Multi Sport Indoor Events Centre/War Memorial Hall Conference Venue: 10.2.14, 10.8.25

Refer to tabled document 652AT-AV.

The Manager Community Facilities outlined the resolutions and decisions of the Council in regard to the Multi-sport events centre and advised that the LTCCP included a sum of \$4.6 million in the 2010/11 year for the Multi-sport event centre.

She advised that the Council had resolved to consider the APR Consultants Report “Whakatane War Memorial Hall Reception Lounge and Foyer Modification Study” as part of the overall study of the options for the Library and Museum, Multi Sport Centre and Whakatane War Memorial Hall.

The Chief Executive advised that the Council would be considering the arts and culture centre at its workshop on 11 June 2008 and it was agreed to defer the resolutions in regards to the arts and culture centre until 12 June 2008.

5.3 Divestment of Council Land: 10.7.1

Refer to tabled document 652AV-AW.

The Manager Community Facilities outlined the property reviews undertaken by the Council since 1999. She stated that a sum of \$40,000 was provided for in 2007/08 to progress the sale of individual properties identified as surplus to requirements and advised that \$40,000 remained and could be carried over to 2008/09.

Attendance

Councillor Johnston left the meeting at 10:30 am.

5.4 Community Halls: 10.2.1

Refer to tabled document 652AW-AX.

The Manager Community Facilities advised that the Council had signalled that it wanted to review its association with community halls and she outlined the background to this decision. She advised that a further report would be presented to the Council shortly.

5.5 Freeholding of Harbour Leasehold Land: 10.7.1, 10.4.11

Refer to tabled document 652AX-AY.

The Manager Community Facilities outlined the history of the Council's decisions regarding the freeholding of harbour leasehold land.

Staff were requested to present a report outlining the arguments for and against the freeholding of harbour leasehold land. It was noted that the moratorium had effectively being lifted as the Town Vision Plan had being adopted by the Council and therefore the Council had a framework against which to make decisions.

The Chief Executive advised that the Council now needed to decide whether to restrict freeholding opportunities to those projects and options associated with the Town Vision Plan or whether there were other situations where freeholding may be appropriate and how it should deal with those situations. The Chief Executive stated that it was not recommended that the Council sell any property through the Annual Plan process.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Whakatane Squash Club, the RSA and Environment Bay of Plenty be advised that the Council would soon be considering the issue of freeholding harbour leasehold land and that staff would be presenting a report outlining the arguments for and against the freeholding of harbour leasehold land.

Reason for Decision:

The Council is aware that the moratorium on the freeholding of any harbour leased land has restricted the option of freeholding the sites for the Whakatane Squash Club, the RSA and Environment Bay of Plenty and other lease holders. The Council will soon be considering the issue of freeholding harbour leasehold land and will need to decide whether to restrict freeholding opportunities to those projects and options associated with the Town Vision Plan or whether there were other situations where freeholding may be appropriate and how it should deal with those situations.

His Worship the Mayor/Hanlen

CARRIED

5.6 Follow Up Actions and Reports Required to Finalise the Annual Plan 2008/09: 27.1.3

Refer to tabled document 652AZ-BJ.

5.7 Skateboard Park Maraetotara Reserve: 11.7.2

Refer to tabled document 652AZ.

RESOLVED:

1. **THAT** a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the development of the skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund, subject to the approval of the Ohope Beach Community Board; and
2. **THAT** the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

His Worship the Mayor/Hanlen

CARRIED

Refer to clause 5.31.2 for continuation of this item.

5.8 Development Contributions: Lysaght Developments Submission: 27.1.1.7

Refer to tabled document 652BA.

RESOLVED:

1. **THAT** the submission from Lysaght Developments questioning why the Council is continuing to charge a development levy of around \$11,000 rather than \$4,000 on new houses in Coastlands in light of the High Court Judicial Review Proceedings against the Council be received and the Council advises that until the review of the Development Contributions is complete, the current policy has continued to be used for developments at Coastlands. Under the current policy, the amount of the development contribution is reduced as land was vested as part of the subdivision of Coastlands (no reserves contribution is charged). A contribution of \$10,164 (inclusive of GST) applies at Coastlands, instead of \$12,515 (inclusive of GST) in most other urban locations. For Omega Place, no further contribution is charged when a house is built as the amount was fully paid at the time of subdivision. One error was made several months ago for a house being built in Omega Place; the money was immediately refunded once the error was highlighted; and

2. **THAT** the submitter Lysaght Developments be advised that the underlying philosophy of the policy is that new growth from additional houses, new lots and business development results in a cost to the community for new or additional assets or assets of increased capacity, and as a consequence the Council incurs capital expenditure. This also includes capital expenditure the Council has already incurred in anticipation of growth. The capital expenditure must be for community facilities, which are network infrastructure (wastewater, water, stormwater, roading) community infrastructure and reserves. This is a separate and distinct contribution from any localised effect arising from a subdivision or development. The role of the Council in relation to these district wide services is set out in Section 9.0 of the Development Contribution Policy.

Chambers/Hanlen

CARRIED

5.9 Matata Sewerage Scheme: 21.14.1

Refer to tabled document 652BA.

The Director Works and Services outlined the previous Council resolutions. He advised that Council resolved on June 2006 to include Matata Wastewater Scheme in 2008/09 as a capital project in the LTCCP 2006-2016. The Council resolved on 15 March 2006 that the Ministry of Health be advised that the Council wished for Matata to be included in the Sanitary Water Subsidy Scheme in 2008/09. He advised that the Council decided to postpone a decision about the Matata Sewerage Scheme until 2008 because of disaster mitigation issues faced by the Matata community. He advised that the Government had given an assurance the Council will be able to apply in 2008 and be eligible for the same subsidy rate if a decision to proceed was made at that time.

RESOLVED:

THAT funding for the Matata wastewater (sewerage) scheme be retained in the budget 2008/09 and that community consultation be reported to the Council in the next financial year.

Reason for Decision:

The Council has requested that community consultation be reported to the Council during 2008/09. The Council recognises that an options study for waste water disposal is underway to implement the proposed waste water supply scheme in Matata and that cost estimates will need to be prepared before consulting the Matata community. The Council advises that Government's Sanitary Works Subsidy Scheme has allocated \$3.7 million as subsidy for the project.

The Council decided to postpone a decision about the Matata Sewerage Scheme until 2008 because of disaster mitigation issues faced by the Matata community. The Government had given an assurance that the Council will be able to apply in 2008 and be eligible for the same subsidy rate if a decision to proceed was made at that time.

Clark/Chambers

CARRIED

5.10 Te Teko Roundabout: 17.5.2

Refer to tabled document 652BB.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Council defers the Te Teko roundabout project for a year and decides as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan (LTCCP) 2009-2019 whether or not to keep the project in the LTCCP.

Reason for Decision:

The Council did not signal the removal of the Te Teko Roundabout project in the draft Annual Plan 2008/09 and there were no submissions asking for its removal therefore the Council believes that it is a transparent and fair approach to defer the project for a year and decide as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan (LTCCP) 2009-2019 whether or not to keep project in the LTCCP.

His Worship the Mayor/Hanlen

CARRIED

5.11 Warren Cole Walkway: 11.6.18

RESOLVED:

THAT the Council advises submitters that although the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway is budgeted to cost \$416,000 to build at least \$228,000 or 55% is being subsidised by Land Transport New Zealand (LTNZ), with the balance of \$188,000 or 45% from Harbour Fund reserves. The Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway which originally had been budgeted under the Parks and Reserves activity has been moved to Transportation activities following the Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy last year.

Reason for Decision:

The Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy made it possible for the Council to qualify and apply for funding from Land Transport New Zealand, saving ratepayers money.

The remainder of the cost of the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway will be funded from the Harbour Fund reserve which means that there is no rating impact from proceeding with this project.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

5.12 Federated Farmers – 30% cap: 9.1.1

Refer to tabled document 652BC.

The Chief Financial Officer advised that she had received a legal opinion from Brookfields which agreed with the method that the Council was currently applying to targeted rates. She advised that the legislation regarding this was slightly open to interpretation and Federated Farmers were adamant that their interpretation was correct and that a targeted rate that is set differently for different categories of rating units or does not apply to all rating units does not come under the 30% cap.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Council makes no changes to the 30% cap in the 2008/09 financial year.

Reason for Decision:

The Council have investigated the interpretation of the 30% rating cap and have received legal advice from Brookfields which agreed with the method that the Council was currently applying to targeted rates. The Council will continue to consider the legal interpretation of the legislation applying to targeted rates presented by Federated Farmers during the Rating Review in the 2008/09 year, for implementation with the next Long Term Council Community Plan.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

5.13 Mataatua Reserve: 11.6.6, 24.12.3

Refer to tabled document 652BD.

RESOLVED:

THAT the submitter Christine Larsen be thanked for her submission and that the Council advises that the Council has accepted the site management plan as the best mechanism to manage the contaminated wood waste at Mataatua Reserve.

Reason for Decision:

The Council advises the submitter that Sinclair Knight Merz Report, Whakatane Woodwaste Hydrogeological Investigations 8 March 2006, found that all elevated parameters measured at this site will be entering the Whakatane River. However, the dilution of the groundwater with the surface water upon reaching the river will significantly reduce dioxin concentration and may reduce levels below guidelines values. Assuming that the contaminant load occurs along the entire 600m length of the woodwaste alongside the Whakatane River, then the total dioxin contaminant load to the river would be 2.4×10^{-10} Kg TEQ/day.

The guideline values are as below:

WHAKATANE DISTRICT COUNCIL—EXTRAORDINARY MEETING: 21 MAY 2008, 22 MAY 2008, 23 MAY 2008, 26 MAY 2008, 27 MAY 2008, 3 JUNE 2008, 5 JUNE 2008 AND 12 JUNE 2008

Site	Groundwater Velocity (m/day)	Travel time to receptor (days)	Groundwater Discharge (m ³ /day/m ²)	Contaminant load (mg TEQ/day/m ²)	Cross-sectional area of waste (m ²)	Contaminant load (kg TEQ/day)
25- Mataatua Reserve	0.60	9	0.050	4.0 x 10 ⁻⁷	600	2.4 x 10 ⁻¹⁰

Guideline Values for Dioxins.

Media	Dioxin	Ranking	Basis of protection	Landuse/purpose	Guideline Value	Guideline document
Surface soil	HpCDD/OCDD	NZ risk based	Human health	Oral Dermal Combined	0.078 ng TEQ/g 1.2ng TEQ/g 0.074 ng TEQ/g	Cavanagh, 2003 ¹
	PCDD/PCDR	NZ risk based	Human health	Residential Parkland & Recreational Industrial (unpaved) Industrial (paved) Maintenance	63 pg TEQ/g 120 pg TEQ/g 740 pg TEQ/g 3700 pg TEQ/g 1500 pg TEQ/g	Salcor ²
	TCDD	Int. risk-based	Human health	Industrial Residential	16 pg TEQ/g 3.0 pg TEQ/g	US EPA, 2002 ³
	PCDD/PCDF	Int. risk-based	Human health & toxicology	Residential/Parkland Industrial Commercial Agricultural	4 pg TEQ/g 4 pg TEQ/g 4 pg TEQ/g	CCME, 2002 (Canadian)
	PCDD/PCDF	Int. risk-based	Human health & toxicology	Residential	1000pg TEQ/g	Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning & the Environment, 2000 ⁴ (Dutch)
Ground Water	HpCDD/OCDD	NZ risk based	Human health & toxicology	Drinking water	0.0075 ng TEQ/L	Cavanagh, 2003
	PCDD/PCDF	Int. risk-based	Human health & toxicology	Drinking water	1 pg TEQ/L	Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning & the Environment, 2000 ⁴ (Dutch)

Notes: ¹These levels were specifically derived for the GRM report; ²Health Investigation Levels summarised in GRM, 2004a; ³Preliminary remediation goal; ⁴Indicative level for residential purposes.

The report recommends no further groundwater monitoring for the site. Instead it supports Regional Council's aquatic habitat and biota monitoring results in order to assess if there is any deleterious effects on the Whakatane River's aquatic ecosystem. Environment Bay of Plenty has been asked for the latest version of the Site Management Plan for the site and for any recent biota and aquatic sampling. A copy of the Site Management Plan for Mataatua Reserve will be sent to the submitter.

Hanlen/Jarrett

CARRIED

5.14 Rationalising forms for use Council Facilities: Eastern Bay Activity and Nutrition Group (EBANG): 11.1.1

Refer to tabled document 652BE.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Council thank the submitter EBANG for their submission regarding rationalising forms for community groups using Council facilities and advises that staff will be undertaking the following actions:

- amalgamating the harbour, reserve hire, mobile stage and road closure forms and include contact numbers and positions where appropriate
- information pack put together to include the above updated mega form plus the additional information needed for liquor licensing and food -to be held by Parks Admin and also at the info centre and customer services
- the possibility of an interactive form on the web site to be investigated that will eliminate repetition of details to different council departments
- the maximum turn around time for traffic management plans with Opus to be confirmed
- debrief with the R4 race organisers.

Staff will be revisiting the process in six months to determine further improvements

Reason for Decision:

The Council accepted the submitter's comments that there was a need to rationalise the process for community groups using Council facilities.

Chambers/Jarrett

CARRIED

5.15 Rates for Plains Water Supply: Plains Water Supply Special Committee: 20.12.1.1, 7.3.1

Refer to tabled document 652BE and tabled document 388A-B.

RESOLVED:

1. **THAT** the Council accepts the recommendation of the Plains Water Supply Special Committee and adopts the rates as set out below to be included within the Draft Annual plan for 2008/09 based on production figures of 2 million cubic metres

Plains	\$130	Per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit connected and metered
	27 cents	Per cubic metre of all water supplied to each rating unit connected and metered
Awakeri	\$190	Per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit connected and metered
	40 cents	Per cubic metre of all water supplied in each rating unit connected and metered
The Council sets an additional targeted rate for any excess water consumed that is over and above the purchased entitlement for each property connection to these water supplies. An overuse targeted rates is set for the excess volume consumed over and above the purchased entitlement of \$1 per cubic metre (including GST).		

2. **THAT** a sum of \$306,000 be included in the budget for 2008/09 for the production testing of the Paul Road Bores (increased from \$250,000) and
3. **THAT** the capital works programme as outlined on page 115 of the Draft Annual Plan 2008/09 for Paul Road Bores totalling \$1.084 million be deferred to 2009/2010 financial year.

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council accepted the Plains Water Supply Special Committee recommendation to increase the UACs by \$30 and the water by metre rate by 2 cents per cubic metre and the excess water to 50 cents per cubic metre based on production figures of 2 million cubic metres. The Council was mindful of taking a conservative estimate of water production as actual figures of water sold were unavailable.
2. The Council has included a sum of \$306,000 for the testing of the Paul Road Bores for production testing and has agreed to defer the capital works programme of \$1.084 million in 2008/09 for the following reasons:
 - testing of the Paul Road bores will be continuing in 2008/09
 - government funding will need to be sought
 - investigations into supplying water for Whakatane township are continuing

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

5.16 Fees and Charges for Plains Water Supply: Plains Water Supply Special Committee: 20.12.1.1, 27.1.7

Refer to tabled document 652BE and tabled document 388A-B.

RESOLVED:

1. **THAT** the rules and conditions for plains water connections (including all fees and charges and policies) be collated by staff and presented to the Plains Water Supply Special Committee for approval.
2. **THAT** the Council adopts the Plains Water Supply Committee recommendation that no new connections of water in the Awakeri extension be granted unless approved by the Chairperson of the Plains Water Supply Committee and a Councillor from the Edgecumbe/Tarawera ward and that the Delegations Register be amended accordingly.

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council accepted that the Plains Water Supply Committee needs to clearly identify the policies for water connections to the Plains water supply.
2. The Council recognises the fragmented and complicated nature of the fees and charges for the separate areas of the Plains scheme (original Braemar scheme and Awakeri extension) and wishes to have these collated into one document which is easily accessible to both the staff and the public.
3. The Council accepted the Plains Water Supply Committee concerns that the design criteria for the Awakeri extension has been exceeded by 50% and the practice of continuing to grant domestic connections is further exacerbating the existing problems with the scheme such as low water pressure and an inability for commercial businesses to connect.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

5.17 Plains Water Supply: Plains Water Supply Special Committee: 20.12.1.1, 27.1.7

Refer to tabled document 652BE and tabled document 388A-B.

RESOLVED:

THAT the verbal submission from the Plains Water Supply Special Committee expressing concern with the documentation of the scheme's assets (some pipes were listed as being older than the scheme itself) be received and the submitter be advised that the staff were aware that pipes from older schemes were used in the construction of the original Braemar scheme which would account for the fact that some pipes are listed as older than the scheme. The Council advises that the Hansen system recording assets is being reviewed.

Reason for Decision:

The Council thanks the submitter for their concerns and advises that the Hansen system for recording assets is being reviewed.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

5.18 Youth Centre: 20.12.1.1, 27.1.7

Refer to tabled document 652BF.

The Manager Community Facilities advised that the gym (The Rock Pit) was independent of the Youth Centre and was leased to a community organisation run by the Police.

Staff were requested to investigate the youth programmes undertaken by the YMCA in Gisborne as they ran the Council's programmes for youth and provided an integrated youth service.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Youth Council be advised that the Council have suggested that Ngāti Awa Social and Health Services Trust (NASH) be invited to make a presentation to the Youth Council which would also be an opportunity for input from youth direct to NASH about types of programmes being run out of the centre and whether these are meeting the needs of young people.

Reason for Decision:

The Council advises that (NASH) occupy the Youth Centre through a Memorandum of Understanding. The purpose of the agreement (which began in 2001) is to co-ordinate the use of the Youth Centre in promoting youth programmes which contribute to strengthening the social and health services in our community. NASH uses the facility for their own programmes and purposes for no charge, on the basis that it is used for youth-orientated recreation, leisure and health activities. (NASH covers cleaning and other operational costs associated with occupying the building).

The youth centre is available for public hire directly from NASH who sends the details regularly through to staff for invoicing.

Te Kurapa/Chambers

CARRIED

5.19 Whakatane Town Entranceway Project: 24.9.4

Refer to tabled document 652BF and tabled document 652BK-BN.

The Director Environment and Policy tabled the Council resolution on 29 August 2007 in relation to the Town Entranceway Project and the concept plans (refer to tabled document 652BK-BN):

“That staff prepare a consultation package including a timeline for consultation, material to be used in consultation, a proposed plan for implementation of the concept and an outline of how funding is to be secured”.

The Director Environment and Policy advised that the Council had agreed with the concept plans in principle and staff were currently working on the actions as outlined in the above resolution.

5.20 Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club: 2.3.1

Refer to tabled document 652BO-BR.

The Director Works and Services tabled a report “Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club Inc Submission to the 2008/09 Annual Plan” (refer to tabled document 652BO-BR).

In response to questioning staff advised that the Surf Lifesaving Club was situated on both recreational reserve and road reserve. In response to questioning the Director Environment and Policy advised that subdividers cash in lieu funds could be used for the public benefit component of the upgrade such as the public toilets and the car parking.

RESOLVED:

1. **THAT** \$116,000 plus GST be granted to the Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club Inc in 2008/09 towards the cost of Stage 2 of the renovations of the clubrooms to be funded as follows:
 - (a) \$84,000 from the Harbour Fund
 - (b) \$32,000 from the Ohope Subdividers Cash-in-Lieu fund for the public portion of the project subject to the approval of the Ohope Beach Community Board.

2. **THAT** staff determine if the Whakatane Surf Life Saving Club Inc constitution can be altered to ensure that in the event of the Club going into recess the constitution would find in favour of the Whakatane District Council.

Reasons for Decision:

The Council supported in principle the clubroom renovations in the Long-term Council Community Plan and funded \$50,000 in 2007/08 for Stage 1. The Council have agreed to support the request of the Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club for a further \$116,000 plus GST towards the cost of Stage 2 of the renovations of the clubrooms to enable the project to be completed in one year.

His Worship the Mayor/Bonne

CARRIED

Refer to clause 5.31.1 for response from Ohope Beach Community Board.

Attendance

The Manager Transportation joined the meeting at 1:00 pm.

5.21 Landing Road Bridge: 17.3.1

Refer to tabled document 652BS-BT.

Staff were asked to consider the cost of undertaking a Scoping and Options Report for the entire Whakatane township, not just the Landing Road bridge area to ensure a long term integrated solution to the traffic issues. It was felt that the Whakatane District Transportation Study provided an overview but did not provide the level of detail required.

Refer to clause 5.29 for continuation of this item.

5.22 Streetlight Undergrounding Programme: 16.6.3

Refer to tabled document 652BT and BW.

RESOLVED:

1. **THAT** the Council does not include funding for the Streetlight Undergrounding programme in the Annual Plan for 2008/09; and

2. **THAT** staff make all efforts to obtain appropriate funding from the East Bay Energy Trust for the funding of the Street lighting Underground programme; and
3. **THAT** the staff pursues a change in the cost sharing arrangements and negotiates with all parties to extend this to include funding from Telecom. for broadband capacity.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that the undergrounding of street lighting was a public benefit that should be paid for by East Bay Energy Trust (as it met the criteria of their Trust Deed) and Horizon Energy. The Council believes that Telecom should be invited to participate because of the benefits this would bring to the extension of their broadband capacity.

Shearer/Hanlen

CARRIED

5.23 Seal Extension: 16.6.7

Refer to tabled document 652BU and CA-CC.

RESOLVED:

1. **THAT** the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. **THAT** the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that they have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

1. The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.
2. The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.
3. The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

5.24 Footpath Policy and Budget: 16.6.9

Refer to tabled document 652BU and BX-BZ.

In response to questioning as to how footpath extensions were decided the Manager Transportation advised that there was a policy on footpath and berm maintenance and renewal but not on extension.

RESOLVED:

THAT the tabled information on Footpath Policy and Budget be received and staff develop a policy on footpath extensions for consideration by the Council.

Reason for Decision:

The Council recognises there is a policy on footpath and berm maintenance renewal however there is a need to develop a policy on footpath extensions.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Attendance

The Manager Transportation left and the Strategic Policy Analyst joined the meeting at 1:56 pm.

5.25 Community Funding Submissions: 2.3.1

Refer to tabled document 652R-AS and CD.

5.25.1 Whakatane Squash Club: 3790/0010/000-L

The Strategic Policy Analyst tabled information about squash clubs in the District (refer to tabled document 652CD) and advised that the Marist Rugby and Sports Club paid a reserve lease of \$500 pa, the Edgecumbe Squash Club paid a reserve lease of \$100 pa and the Taneatua Squash Club site was owned by the Club. He stated that there were no other sports clubs or community organisations who leased commercial harbour land. He stated that the Coastguard and the Port Ohope Yacht Club lease harbour reserve land at levels commensurate with reserve leases.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Council allocates a one off grant to the Whakatane Squash Club Inc of \$11,000 in 2008/09 towards their harbour lease to be funded from the Harbour Fund.

Reason for Decision:

1. The Council recognises the negative financial impact that the increase in ground rents would have on the Whakatane Squash Club and wishes to provide relief for the 2008/09 financial year in order to provide time for the club to develop business plans for the future.

2. The Council is currently reviewing the way in which it provides support and funding to various community and sporting groups in the Whakatane district.

Hanlen/Bonne

CARRIED

5.25.2 Annual Grants: 2.3.1

RESOLVED:

1. **THAT** the sum of \$2,000 be provided for annual grants relating to dog control activities to be funded by the Dog Control account.
2. **THAT** the sum of \$50,000 be provided for the annual grants budget for 2008/09 for general applications to be funded by the general rates and the Council refers all other annual plan submissions requesting financial assistance to the annual grants process which opens in July 2008.

Reasons for Decision:

The Council referred the submissions requesting monies to the annual grants process. These applications are to be considered alongside other applications. The Council is currently reviewing the way in which it provides support and funding to various community and sporting groups in the Whakatane district.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

RESOLVED:

THAT the sum of \$60,000 be provided for water safety related annual grant applications to be provided by the Harbour Fund.

Reasons for Decision:

The Council referred the submissions requesting monies to the annual grants process. These applications are to be considered alongside other applications. The Council is currently reviewing the way in which it provides support and funding to various community and sporting groups in the Whakatane district.

Bonne/Chambers

CARRIED

Attendance

The Strategic Policy Analyst left the meeting at 2:13 pm.

5.26 Arts and Culture Centre: Development Project: 2.6.9

Refer to tabled document 652CE.

The Director Works and Services spoke to the tabled document which outlined the cost breakdown of \$500,000 for Stage 2 and 3.

It was noted that the matter was scheduled to be discussed by the Council at its workshop on 11 June 2008. The matter was deferred until 12 June 2008.

Refer to clause 5.38 for continuation of this item.

5.27 Hazard Mitigation Policy: 24.7.12

Attendance

The Senior Strategic Policy Analyst joined the meeting at 3:25 pm.

Refer to tabled document 652CF-CJ.

A MOTION WAS PROPOSED:

MOVED: HIS WORSHIP THE MAYOR

SECONDED: COUNCILLOR HANLEN

THAT the Council adopts an option for the Disaster Mitigation Policy that merges catchments and communities, the cap to \$1,250 or 75% (whichever is the lesser) and the remaining 25% to be spread over the District, subject to the following changes:

- The cost of the works in Otarawairere to be split 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

A DIVISION WAS CALLED:

FOR	AGAINST
HIS WORSHIP THE MAYOR HOLMES	COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS
DEPUTY MAYOR HANLEN	COUNCILLOR BONNE
COUNCILLOR ORR	COUNCILLOR CLARK
	COUNCILLOR JARRETT
	COUNCILLOR SHEARER
	COUNCILLOR TE KURAPA

COUNCILLORS JOHNSTON AND BYRNE WERE ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.

A FURTHER MOTION WAS PROPOSED:

MOVED: COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS

SECONDED: COUNCILLOR BONNE

THAT the Council adopts Option 3 of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% up to a cap of \$250) and District (50% or remainder) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3 ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.

2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
2. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A DIVISION WAS CALLED

FOR	AGAINST
COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS	HIS WORSHIP THE MAYOR HOLMES
COUNCILLOR BONNE	DEPUTY MAYOR HANLEN
COUNCILLOR CLARK	COUNCILLOR ORR
COUNCILLOR JARRETT	
COUNCILLOR SHEARER	
COUNCILLOR TE KURAPA	

COUNCILLORS JOHNSTON AND BYRNE WERE ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND CARRIED.

Staff were requested to provide information at the next meeting to enable the Council to determine whether the District proportion of the policy should be funded via a Uniform Annual Charge, as opposed to a targeted rate on capital value.

Refer to clauses 5.28 and 5.34 for continuation of item.

The meeting adjourned at 4:36 pm and resumed at 1:00 pm on Thursday, 5 June 2008 in the Council Chambers, Civic Centre, Commerce Street, Whakatane

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor C G H Holmes, Councillors C Chambers, A A Bonne, W B Clark, S Jarrett, I J Shearer, R N Orr and J Te Kurapa

IN ATTENDANCE: D A Turner (Chief Executive), D C Bewley (Director Environment and Policy), H Read (Director Works and Services), H Barnes (Chief Financial Officer), D Gatland (Director Corporate Services), J Gardyne (Senior Strategic Policy Analyst), C Ball (Senior Policy Analyst), and C B Hair (Administration Officer Policy)

APOLOGIES: Apologies were received and sustained on behalf of Deputy Mayor G I Hanlen and Councillors G V Johnston and E R Byrne

5.28 Hazard Mitigation Policy: 24.7.12

Refer to tabled document 652CK-CM.

The Senior Strategic Policy Analyst spoke to the tabled documents (refer to tabled document 652CK) which outlined the funding of the district proportion of the Hazard Mitigation Policy under Option 3 with a UAC of \$45.06 and with the rate in the dollar as requested by the Council.

The Chief Financial Officer tabled the documents which outlined the rating impact with the Hazard Mitigation Policy based on a UAC of \$45.06 and also the impact on the rates if funding district wide was based on a rate in the dollar (refer to tabled document 652CL-CM).

The Chief Financial Officer advised that if the Council adopted a UAC for the district proportion of the Hazard Mitigation Policy the cap for targeted rates would be 29.6%.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Council fund the district wide proportion of the Hazard Mitigation Policy by way of Uniform Annual Charge.

His Worship the Mayor/Clark

CARRIED

5.29 Landing Road Bridge and Roundabout Investigations Report: 17.3.1, 16.3.7, 16.1.1.1

Refer to tabled document 652CN.

RESOLVED:

1. **THAT** the Council defer the Landing Road Intersection and Capacity Improvements Projects at a cost of \$525,300 until 2009/10; and
2. **THAT** the Council include the sum of \$110,000 in 2008/09 Annual Plan to be funded by way of loan as Council's contribution towards a wider scoped investigation report expected to cost \$250,000 (\$50,000 Transit New Zealand and \$90,000 from Land Transport New Zealand) for the development of a long term roading network plan for the town and district including:
 - (a) capacity improvements to the Landing Road bridge and roundabout
 - (b) possibility of Transit New Zealand constructing a replacement bridge for the existing Peketahi Road/Rail Bridge, and relocating the new bridge to the sound end of Whakatane township
 - (c) arterial feeders from the town boundaries into the business and retail centres
 - (d) any other future improvements likely to be required to the towns roading network

Reason for Decision:

The Council have extended the investigation and study on the Landing Road Bridge project to include all the future improvements likely to be required to the Whakatane town roading network. The Council believes that a joint study with Transit New Zealand is appropriate. The Council recognises that the District Transportation Study provided a higher level framework and further refinement and detailed investigation work was now required.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

5.30 Staff Submissions

Refer to tabled document 652CO-CT.

5.30.1 Whakatane Water Supply: Salinity Issues: 20.9.1

Refer to tabled document 652CO.

RESOLVED:

THAT a sum of \$130,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Whakatane Water Supply to be funded by way of loan:

- to establish a pilot bore to identify an alternative water source for Whakatane (\$80,000)
- for intake investigation and design (\$50,000)

Reason for Decision:

The Council is aware that the recent incident of high salinity in the Whakatane and Ohope drinking water has prompted the need to urgently look at alternative options for providing high quality water. An initial report has been compiled outlining the findings of a desk top study carried out to identify possible ground water sources close to Whakatane. The report recommends that further investigations be progressed towards establishing a pilot bore closer to Whakatane. The Council recognises that if a suitable option is not found, the Council will need to shift the water treatment plant intake point further upstream.

Chambers/Jarrett

CARRIED

5.30.2 Ohope waste water – Discharge consent: 21.9.1

Refer to tabled document 652CO-CP.

RESOLVED:

THAT a sum of \$125,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan to cover the Ohope waste water treatment investigations to be funded by way of loan.

Reason for Decision:

The Council has increased the budget from \$75,000 to \$125,000 to identify appropriate disposal options for Ohope waste water in preparation for lodging a new resource consent application prior to the expiry of the current discharge consent on 31 July 2010.

Bonne/Chambers

CARRIED

5.30.3 Audit Costs: 7.3.1

Refer to tabled document 652CP.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Audit Costs for the Long-term Council Community Plan be spread over three years and that the budget for the 2008/09 year be decreased by \$15,000.

Reason for Decision:

The Council received notice that audit costs in 2008/09 are expected to increase by 75% due to the audit of the Long-term Council Community Plan 2009-2019.

The Council has resolved to spread the cost of the audit for the LTCCP over three years which equates to \$115,000 per year which is less than the \$130,000 currently budgeted.

Te Kurapa/Clark

CARRIED

5.30.4 Road Legalisation: 7.3.1

Refer to tabled document 652CP.

RESOLVED:

THAT a sum of \$20,000 for land purchase costs associated with road legalisation be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan to be funded from unsubsidised roading.

Reason for Decision:

The Opus contract includes administrative and legal costs of processing road legalisation but does not include the cost of any land purchases required. Land purchase costs are an integral part of the costs incurred, generally as a result of subdivision consents and is required to be funded.

Shearer/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

5.30.5 Streetlight Switching Charges: 16.6.3

Refer to tabled document 652CQ.

RESOLVED:

THAT a sum of \$12,719 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan to fund the streetlight switching charge as Horizon Energy Distribution Ltd are applying a maintenance charge to be funding by subsidised roading.

Reason for Decision:

The Council is required to fund \$23,126 (\$11.50 per annum per streetlight (2,011 streetlights) and it is expected that the Council will receive subsidy from Land Transport New Zealand towards the cost.

His Worship the Mayor/Clark

CARRIED

5.30.6 Stormwater System – Area past The Hub: 19.16.1

Refer to tabled document 652CQ.

RESOLVED:

THAT a sum of \$25,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the operating expense for a transformer to support The Hub extension stormwater system to be funded by Whakatane land drainage.

Reason for Decision:

The Council is being charged a maximum demand charge by Horizon Energy Distribution Ltd for the provision of a transformer to service the Council's pumps for land drainage for the newly subdivided land past The Hub .

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

5.30.7 Airport Master Plan Implementation Costs: 12.3.4

Refer to tabled document 652CR.

RESOLVED:

1. **THAT** a sum of \$360,000 be included in 2008/09 Annual Plan to purchase two parcels of land totalling approximately 9 ha to be funded by way of loan with interest costs to be funded by way of leases and any deficits to be funded by way of reserves; and
2. **THAT** the Joint Venture Partner (Ministry of Transport) be approached for half the costs of the land purchases; and
3. **THAT** a sum of \$50,000 be included in 2008/09 Annual Plan to cover the costs associated with a variation to the District Plan and designation of the land in line with the Airport Master Plan to be funded by way of reserves.

Reason for Decision:

The Council approves the land acquisition required for the expansion of the General Aviation hanger garage and for the future expansion of the airport carpark and associated facilities as identified in the Airport Master Plan.

His Worship the Mayor/Jarrett

CARRIED

5.30.8 Town Vision Implementation – Land Purchase Costs: 24.8.8

Refer to tabled document 652CS.

RESOLVED:

THAT a sum of \$840,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan to purchase two properties towards the implementation of the Town Vision Plan to be funded from the Harbour Property Account.

Reason for Decision:

The Council as part of the process of adopting the Town Vision Plan resolved to purchase two properties. The Town Vision decision making process was completed after the publication of the draft Annual Plan and no budgetary provision was included for property purchases.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

5.30.9 Mill Road Upgrade: 17.3.1

Refer to tabled document 652CS.

RESOLVED:

THAT a sum of \$76,093 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan to be loan funded for the development of Mill Road for the Council's contribution to the work.

Reason for Decision:

The Council is required to contribute to the Mill Road upgrade and recognises that the costs will also be funded by developer contributions and Land Transport New Zealand subsidy.

His Worship the Mayor/Orr

CARRIED

5.30.10 Edgecumbe Domain Public Toilets: 11.12.1, 10.4.9

Refer to tabled document 652CS.

RESOLVED:

THAT an additional sum of \$77,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan to fund toilets at the Edgecumbe Domain to be funded by way of loan.

Reason for Decision:

The Council recognises that the revised cost estimate for Edgecumbe Domain public toilets from \$113,000 to \$190,000 was due to a change in design and costs for the project relating to the treatment of the effluent and the inability to connect to the Edgecumbe sewerage scheme.

Clark/Bonne

CARRIED

5.30.11 Minor Changes: 7.3.1

Refer to tabled document 652CT.

The Chief Executive outlined a number of minor changes to the 2008/09 Draft Annual Plan which resulted in a decrease of \$22,539.

5.31 Ohope Beach Community Board – Resolutions

Refer to tabled document 652CU-CV.

5.31.1 Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club: 2.3.1

Refer to tabled document 652BO-BR and 652CU.

The Ohope Beach Community Board considered the Council's resolutions at their meeting on 3 June 2008 and approved the funding of \$32,000 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account towards the cost of the public toilet and car parking facilities in association with the upgrade of the Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club Inc, subject to a detailed car parking layout plan being provided to the Board.

RESOLVED:

THAT the sum of \$32,000 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account be approved towards the cost of the public toilet and car parking facilities in association with the upgrade of the Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club Inc, subject to a detailed car parking layout plan being provided to the Ohope Beach Community Board.

Reasons for Decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$32,000 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to a detailed car parking layout plan being provided to the Ohope Beach Community Board.

His Worship the Mayor/Bonne

CARRIED

5.31.2 Skateboard Park, Maraetotara Reserve: 11.7.2

Refer to tabled document 652AZ and 652CU-CV.

The Ohope Beach Community Board considered the Council's resolutions at their meeting on 3 June 2008 and approved funding of \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account for the development of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve being included in the budget for 2008/09 subject to certain conditions. The money was to be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 up to an amount of \$50,473.

RESOLVED:

1. **THAT** a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. **THAT** the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

5.32 Capital Works Resolutions and Carry Forwards for 2008/09 Annual Plan: 7.3.1, 27.1.3

Refer to tabled document 652CW-DG.

The Chief Executive outlined the capital works projects to be carried forward from 2006/07 to 2008/09.

Attendance

Councillor Te Kurapa left the meeting at 4:00 pm.

5.33 Cancellation of meetings: 1.2.1

RESOLVED:

THAT the Extraordinary Council meetings scheduled for Monday, 9 June 2008 and Tuesday, 10 June 2008 be cancelled and the Bylaws Review be continued on Monday, 16 June 2008.

Chambers/Jarrett

CARRIED

5.34 Hazard Mitigation Policy – Inflation Adjustment: 24.7.12

RESOLVED:

THAT for future disaster mitigation works, the maximum amounts payable by the community be reviewed to adjust for inflation.

Reason for decision:

The Council recognizes that without the adjustments for inflation, over time the amount paid by people in the community will become progressively less due to the impact of inflation.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

5.35 Hazard Mitigation Works: 19.20.2.2, 24.7.12

Discussion centred on the hazard mitigation works and in particular the debris detention dam at Awatarariki and whether the Council had an option of not proceeding with the debris dam. The Chief Executive advised that staff were due to report back to the Council on the debris detention dam and this would provide an opportunity for the Council to consider all its options for the Awatarariki catchment including a “do nothing” option.

RESOLVED:

THAT staff report back to the Council on the options for the Awatarariki debris detention dam including a “do nothing” option.

His Worship the Mayor/Jarrett

CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 4:45 pm and resumed at 9:00 am on Thursday, 12 June 2008 commencing at 9:00 am in the Council Chambers, Civic Centre, Commerce Street, Whakatane

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor C G H Holmes, Councillors C Chambers, A A Bonne, W B Clark, S Jarrett, G V Johnston, I J Shearer and R N Orr

IN ATTENDANCE: D A Turner (Chief Executive), D C Bewley (Director Environment and Policy), H Read (Director Works and Services), H Barnes (Chief Financial Officer), C Ball (Senior Policy Analyst), R Thorburn (Manager Arts and Culture), B Elliott (Manager Customer Services) and C B Hair (Administration Officer Policy)

APOLOGIES: Apologies were received and sustained on behalf of Deputy Mayor G I Hanlen, Councillors E R Byrne and J Te Kurapa

5.36 Rates Requirement: 7.3.1

Refer to tabled document 652DH.

The Chief Financial Officer spoke to the tabled the document 652DH and outlined the changes to the total rate requirement following deliberations of submissions and advised that the approved changes added \$46,600 to the rates requirement however the reduction in interest required for capital expenditure of \$191,211 had reduced the overall rate requirement from \$27,831,996 to \$27,687,385. She advised that the overall rate requirement from 2007/08 to 2008/09 was 9.17%.

5.37 Capital Expenditure Summary 2008/09

Refer to tabled document 652DI-DO.

The Chief Financial Officer tabled the list capital projects to be undertaken in 2008/09 and how they were to be funded (refer to tabled document 652DI-DN) and the summary sheet titled "Capital Works Resolutions and Carry forwards for the 2008/09 Annual Plan" (refer to tabled document 652DO). She explained how these were reconciled and advised that the capital projects list included the funding for projects from the 2008/09 year and did not include the funding for those projects that had been carried forward from earlier years however this could easily be inserted into the list for ease of reference.

5.38 Arts and Culture Centre: Development Project: 2.6.9

Refer to tabled document 652CE.

The Director Works and Services spoke to the tabled document which outlined the cost breakdown proposed for Stages 2 and 3 of the arts and culture centre project. It was noted that the matter had been discussed by the Council at its workshop on 11 June 2008 and a report would be presented to the Council meeting on 25 June 2008.

A MOTION WAS PROPOSED:

Moved: HIS WORSHIP THE MAYOR

Seconded: COUNCILLOR SHEARER

1. **THAT** the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis	\$ 90,000
Visit to other sites	\$ 5,000
TOTAL	\$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces	\$130,000
Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each	\$ 12,500
Presentation and packaging to “sell” to external funders and sponsors	\$ 25,000
Contingency	\$ 20,000
TOTAL	\$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications	\$100,000
Costs for further options	\$ 85,000
Partner and investment dependent on project cost	tba
Associated expense	\$ 20,400
Contingency	\$ 30,000
TOTAL	\$235,400

2. **THAT** the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and
3. **THAT** staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure.

A DIVISION WAS CALLED:

FOR	AGAINST
HIS WORSHIP THE MAYOR HOLMES	COUNCILLOR CLARK
COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS	COUNCILLOR ORR
COUNCILLOR BONNE	COUNCILLOR JARRETT
COUNCILLOR JOHNSTON	
COUNCILLOR SHEARER	

COUNCILLORS HANLEN, BYRNE AND TE KURAPA WERE ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND CARRIED.

5.39 Car parking at Mitchell Park, Taneatua: 11.10.2

RESOLVED:

THAT \$50,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan to progress Stage 1 of a carpark on Mitchell Park, Taneatua, subject to a report being presented to Council for approval prior to any expenditure.

Reason for Decision:

The Council recognises that staff have been working with the Community Board to provide parking options associated with Mitchell Park and the Taneatua Rugby Grounds. The Council acknowledges that the long term goal preferred by the community would be to provide a separate sealed carpark on adjacent reserve land, bollards to stop vehicles parking on the roadside and a footpath as well as associated toilet facilities. The estimated cost of such improvements is approximately \$300,000. The Chairperson of the Taneatua Community Board in his verbal submission advised that the Board would be keen to see an immediate solution with an informal carpark at a cost of \$50,000 for grading and loose metal. The Council wishes to consider a report on the matter prior to any expenditure being incurred to ensure that any works for Stage 1 can be a basis for Stage 2, a sealed car park. The Council has also requested that staff liaise with Clubs in Taneatua regarding toilet facilities to be available for users of Mitchell Park.

His Worship the Mayor/Clark

CARRIED

Attendance

The Manager Customer Services joined the meeting at 11:55 am.

6.0 ITEM NOT ON AGENDA

The Mayor advised that the tabled item "Purchase of an Electronic Content Management System (ECMS)" (refer to tabled document 652DP-DR) was not on the agenda and the Council would need to resolve in accordance with Standing Order 3.7.5 to deal with the item at this meeting. He advised that the discussion of the item could not be delayed until a subsequent meeting as the Council needed to determine whether or not to approve the purchase of an ECMS by 20 June 2008 as the discount price offer would expire on that date.

RESOLVED:

THAT in accordance with Standing Order 3.7.5 the Council agrees to consider the tabled item "Purchase of an Electronic Content Management System" at this meeting and that the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting as the Council needed to determine whether or not to approve the purchase of an ECMS by 20 June 2008 as the discount price offer would expire on that date.

His Worship the Mayor/Clark

CARRIED

6.1 Purchase of an Electronic Content Management System: 6.5.6

Refer to tabled document 652DP-DR.

The Chief Executive spoke to the tabled report. In response to questioning she advised that the cost of the licence was an annual fee of \$54,000 which had already been budgeted for in the 2008/09 year.

RESOLVED:

1. **THAT** the report “Purchase of an Electronic Content Management System” be received; and
2. **THAT** the Council approves the purchase of the Objective Electronic Content Management System product from Objective Corporation Limited by 20 June 2008 at a cost of \$337,000 (\$184,758 software and \$151,740 for management and consultancy services) to be paid in February 2009 which is to be funded from capital expenditure and that the Chief Executive be authorised to sign the contract for and on behalf of the Council.
3. **THAT** the Information Systems Capital Expenditure for 2008/09 will be re-allocated to enable this prioritisation within the overall Information Systems Capital Expenditure re-assessment for 2008/09, 2009/10, 2010/2011.

Orr/Johnston

CARRIED

THE MEETING CLOSED AT 12:15 PM.

Confirmed this	day of

MAYOR	

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Council Initiatives

Programme: Community Funding

File Reference: 2.3.1

File Code: 61 *Bronwen Foxx, Disabilities Resource Centre Trust*

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - (p.12) Disabilities Resource Centre Trust feel that the review of the policies surrounding the funding of community groups and organisations would benefit from the inclusion of non-government organisations, and that consultation should be made with the community groups involved, making any changes informed changes. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Development of a formal Community Funding Policy will include public consultation.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Council Initiatives

Programme: Community Funding

File Reference: 2.1.8

File Code: 88 *Pourotu Ngaropo, Iwi Liaison Committee*

Refer to page 94-95 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Iwi Liaison Committee - The Iwi Liaison Committee requests \$10,000 as their discretionary fund. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council approved \$10,000 be included in the 2008/09 budget for the Iwi Liaison Committee Discretionary Fund.

Johnston/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Council Initiatives

Programme: Community Funding

File Reference: 2.3.1

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - Community Funding Policy needs to be clear cut for applicants whether it be to WDC or Community Boards. Processes need to be understood and the community needs to see the process working in a transparent way. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Development of a formal Community Funding Policy aims to achieve this and will include public consultation.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Council Initiatives

Programme: Community Funding

File Reference: 2.3.1

File Code: 135 *Kay Boreham, Creative Eastbay - Te Taupara a Toi*

Refer to page 1-9 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Creative Eastbay - Te Taupara a Toi - Funding application for Creative Eastbay Arts Promotion Partnership. Creative Eastbay are seeking a contribution towards the coordinator's salary to allow the time to:

- source other funding for various arts projects
- manage our database and website
- progress the organisation towards self sustainability via a membership based subscription.
- leverage funding from other sources

The total salary component is \$38,000 of which \$10,000 is sought from Whakatane District Council in this application. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has referred your submission to Toi EDA. The Council recognises that Toi EDA is the most appropriate agency to encourage and foster Creative EastBay as an economic development initiative.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Council Initiatives

Programme: Community Funding

File Reference: 2.3.1

File Code: 7 *Megan Cleverly, Surf Life Saving Bay of Plenty*

Refer to page 10-12 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Surf Life Saving Bay of Plenty - Surf Life Saving Bay of Plenty funding application.

Request funding of \$49,000. Services proposed cover Ohope Beach, at the Surf Lifesaving Club and the Campground, from 26 Dec 2008 - 30 Jan 2009. The service proposed also includes Thornton Beach cover from 26 Dec 2008 - 16 Jan 2009. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT the sum of \$2,000 be provided for annual grants relating to dog control activities to be funded by the Dog Control account.
2. THAT the sum of \$50,000 be provided for the annual grants budget for 2008/09 for general applications to be funded by the general rates and the Council refers all other annual plan submissions requesting financial assistance to the annual grants process which opens in July 2008.
3. THAT the sum of \$60,000 be provided for water safety related annual grant applications to be provided by the Harbour Fund.

Reasons for Decision:

The Council referred the submissions requesting monies to the annual grants process. These applications are to be considered alongside other applications. The Council is currently reviewing the way in which it provides support and funding to various community and sporting groups in the Whakatane district.

Multiple year agreements are being considered as part of the development of the Community Funding Policy. Three year funding cycles would coincide with the LTCCP.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Council Initiatives

Programme: Community Funding

File Reference: 2.3.1

File Code: 5 *Jenny Mahoney, Quota International Whakatane*

Refer to page 93 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Quota International Whakatane - Quota International Whakatane funding application.

Requests that the Council cover the costs of the 'Whale of a Swim'. Costs range from \$3,978.20 to \$4,958.00 - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has referred your application to the Whakatane Community Board for consideration for funding from their discretionary fund.

The Community Board will require the attached form to be completed. Please complete this form and return to the Whakatane District Council.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Council Initiatives

Programme: Community Funding

File Reference: 2.3.1

File Code: 4 *Barry Cutfield, Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club Inc*

Refer to page 49-92 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club Inc - Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club funding application.

Requires funding for stage 3 of there Club room upgrade. In total Stage 3 will cost \$404,000. The club submitted to the LTCCP 2006-2016 (submission 229) seeking \$180,000. The reply was "The council is generally supportive of the request to assist the Club in the upgrading of the clubrooms at Mahy Reserve". The Club now would like a commitment from the council of \$116,000 (plus GST) toward the completion of stage 3 in the 2008-2009 financial year. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT \$116,000 plus GST be granted to the Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club Inc in 2008/09 towards the cost of Stage 2 of the renovations of the clubrooms to be funded as follows:

(a) \$84,000 from the Harbour Fund

(b) \$32,000 from the Ohope Subdividers Cash-in-Lieu fund for the public portion of the project subject to the approval of the Ohope Beach Community Board.

2. THAT staff determine if the Whakatane Surf Life Saving Club Inc constitution can be altered to ensure that in the event of the Club going into recess the constitution would find in favour of the Whakatane District Council.

Reasons for Decision:

The Council supported in principle the clubroom renovations in the Long-term Council Community Plan and funded \$50,000 in 2007/08 for Stage 1. The Council have agreed to support the request of the Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club for a further \$116,000 plus GST towards the cost of Stage 2 of the renovations of the clubrooms to enable the project to be completed in one year.

The Ohope Beach Community Board considered the Council's resolutions at their

meeting on 3 June 2008 and approved the funding of \$32,000 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account towards the cost of the public toilet and carparking facilities in association with the upgrade of the Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club Inc, subject to a detailed carparking layout plan being provided to the Board.

RESOLVED:

THAT the sum of \$32,000 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account be approved towards the cost of the public toilet and carparking facilities in association with the upgrade of the Whakatane Surf Lifesaving Club Inc, subject to a detailed carparking layout plan being provided to the Ohope Beach Community Board.

Reasons for Decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$32,000 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to a detailed carparking layout plan being provided to the Ohope Beach Community Board.

His Worship the Mayor/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Council Initiatives

Programme: Community Funding

File Reference: 2.3.1

File Code: 2 *Mark Jenkins, Whakatane Squash Club*

Refer to page 40-43 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Squash Club - Whakatane Squash Club funding application.

The Whakatane Squash Club request the following:

1. permission from the Harbour Board/Whakatane District Council to freehold the title at Pohutu Street for its assessed market value.
2. an extension of the non-cash grant of \$11,000 (as received in 2007) to cover the increase in ground rent from \$5,625 (plus GST) to \$16,250 (plus GST) until such time as the freeholding has been achieved.
3. A contribution to the freeholding cost of \$150,000
4. An undertaking to guarantee a loan that the club will seek from a registered bank for \$50,000. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

THAT the Council allocates a one off grant to the Whakatane Squash Club Inc of \$11,000 in 2008/09 towards their harbour lease to be funded from the Harbour Fund.

Reason for Decision:

1. The Council recognises the negative financial impact that the increase in ground rents would have on the Whakatane Squash Club and wishes to provide relief for the 2008/09 financial year in order to provide time for the club to develop business plans for the future.

2. The Council is currently reviewing the way in which it provides support and funding to various community and sporting groups in the Whakatane district.

Hanlen/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Council Initiatives

Programme: Community Funding

File Reference: 2.3.1

File Code: 6 *Chintana Chareornsittichai, Eastern Bay Thai community*

Refer to page 44-48 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eastern Bay Thai community - Eastern Bay Thai community funding application.

Council to grant access to the War Memorial Hall complex at no cost or at a reduced cost for the annual 'A Thai Night in Whakatane' concert which would drastically reduce the financial risk of the event on the organisers. Council to include the 'A Thai Night in Whakatane' event within Council's publicity and promotion materials.

Financial assistance of a \$2000-3000 grant for the 'A Thai Night in Whakatane' event. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has referred your application to the Whakatane Community Board for consideration for funding from their discretionary fund.

The Community Board will require the attached form to be completed. Please complete this form and return to the Whakatane District Council.

The Council does not subsidise the use of the War Memorial Hall complex however the costs may be included in an application for funding. Your submission will also be referred to the Community Arts Council for inclusion in the Summer Arts programme.

For further information about Creative New Zealand and other funding schemes please see the Council's website at:
<http://www.whakatane.govt.nz/Services/CommunityFunding/CreativeCommunitieSWhakataneScheme.htm>.

The Council is currently reviewing the way in which it provides support and funding to various community and sporting groups in the Whakatane district.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Council Initiatives

Programme: Community Funding

File Reference: 2.3.1

File Code: 137 *Rogan Hales, SPCA Whakatane*

Refer to page 13-38 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from SPCA Whakatane - Whakatane SPCA funding application for core services.

The Whakatane SPCA are seeking a contribution towards wages and expenses, as follows:

- Wages including senior inspector, inspector and office worker. Total cost of wages \$26,200 seeking \$10,000 from Whakatane District Council in this application.
- Petrol and van expenses. Total cost of petrol and van expenses \$8,000 seeking \$3,000 from Whakatane District Council in this application.
- Centre running expenses (e.g. cleaning products, vet expenses, body disposal, phone, power). Total cost \$36,000 seeking \$7,000 from Whakatane District Council in this application.

TOTAL cost \$70,000

TOTAL contribution requested from Whakatane District Council \$20,000 - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT the sum of \$2,000 be provided for annual grants relating to dog control activities to be funded by the Dog Control account.
2. THAT the sum of \$50,000 be provided for the annual grants budget for 2008/09 for general applications to be funded by the general rates and the Council refers all other annual plan submissions requesting financial assistance to the annual grants process which opens in July 2008.
3. THAT the sum of \$60,000 be provided for water safety related annual grant applications to be provided by the Harbour Fund.

Reasons for Decision:

The Council referred the submissions requesting monies to the annual grants process. These applications are to be considered alongside other applications. The Council is currently reviewing the way in which it provides support and funding to various community and sporting groups in the Whakatane district.

Multiple year agreements are being considered as part of the development of the Community Funding Policy. Three year funding cycles would coincide with the LTCCP.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Council Initiatives

Programme: Broadband capacity

File Reference: 6.6.1

File Code: 101 *Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board*

Refer to page 628-631 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohope Beach Community Board - (p.18) Support the provision of area wide broadband infrastructure. We encourage WDC to be at the forefront of technology. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council thanks the submitter for their submission and is working with Environment BOP and neighbouring Councils to facilitate better broadband services in the Bay of Plenty.

His Worship the Mayor/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Democratic Services

Programme: Governance

File Reference: 27.1.1

File Code: 59 *Ida Rose*

Refer to page 102-106 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ida Rose - Purpose and vision - the vision states that the Council wants to be respected by the community - do the Council have that respect now? If not, what do you intend to do about it? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council consistently works toward meeting the needs of the community and will continue to do so.

Hanlen/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Democratic Services

Programme: Governance

File Reference: 27.1.1

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - The Disabilities Resource Centre Trust would like to acknowledge that the Whakatane District Council has long been a leader in recognising the access needs and rights of people with disabilities

The Disabilities Resource Centre Trust suggests that the Whakatane District Council again takes the lead in planning for the future, by going over and above the base standards (NZS 4121/2001) which are rapidly changing and do not take into account changes in technology. The Council could also use their foresight to influence the policy makers in central Government. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitter's comments are noted and appreciated.

In conducting its business the Council complies with a number of different standards in different fields. These standards are widely acknowledged as best practice in New Zealand. The necessary research and work involved in ensuring that any moves above and beyond existing standards were still considered to be best practice would place a financial burden on the Council and therefore its ratepayers. At this stage the Council considers that adhering to national best practice is the most prudent use of its resources. The Council will support Local Government New Zealand in any national advocacy for improvement of standards.

Hanlen/Johnston

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Frances Hansen*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Frances Hansen - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Evan Harvey*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Evan Harvey - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Marie Marr*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Marie Marr - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *J A Saul*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from J A Saul - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). -

be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Catherine Lett*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Catherine Lett - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.

2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Rodger Murray*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rodger Murray - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.

2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Gavin Paterson*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Gavin Paterson - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Damon Tahu*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Damon Tahu - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Rebecca Royal*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rebecca Royal - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works

to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Petina Ryan*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Petina Ryan - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Edith Margaret*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Edith Margaret - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Kenneth Saynell*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kenneth Saynell - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to

spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Stella Drabble*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Stella Drabble - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *James Chadwick*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from James Chadwick - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Maureen Evevoldsen*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Maureen Evevoldsen - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Sharon Buswell*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sharon Buswell - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *John Davis*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from John Davis - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Doris Agnes*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Doris Agnes - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from S & G Walker - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Phyllis Falvey*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Phyllis Falvey - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and

15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 92 *Julie Grindley*

Refer to page 108 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Julie Grindley - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your

information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Kelly Wilson*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kelly Wilson - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Darren Buswell*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Darren Buswell - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Maurice & Theresa Williams*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Maurice & Theresa Williams - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Arthur Bullock*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Arthur Bullock - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Kathleen Anderson*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kathleen Anderson - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Noel Buchanan*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Noel Buchanan - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Graham Smeaton, Edgecumbe Tarawera Community Board*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Edgecumbe Tarawera Community Board - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Roland Anderson*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Roland Anderson - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 136 *Aaron Parker*

Refer to page 109-137 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Aaron Parker - Flood mitigation option six (preferred option) needs to be replaced by option five (costs spread across the district). - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 95 *Neil Flynn*

Refer to page 107 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Neil Flynn - Flood mitigation option six needs to be

replaced by option five. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Edgecumbe - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 97 *Fiona Bentley*

Refer to page 610 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Fiona Bentley - Flood mitigation option six needs to be replaced by option five for Matata and Edgecumbe. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.

2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: General Hazard Mitigation Funding Policy review

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 62 *Don McMorran*

Refer to page 440-442 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Don McMorran - The final cost of the July 2004 to 2005 storms still have not been calculated. Each year new projects are started and the previous years projects not paid for yet. Then there is a request for funding because of under estimating. Suggest Council and staff pause and think. Remedial work for flood damage needs to be ascertained so the ratepayer knows how much this will cost. Essential works need to be carried out before other projects (such as Town vision, library/museum art and culture) that are unaffordable to the ratepayer. Submitter asks are these vital to the town; many ratepayers are not interested in the buildings and want the existing infrastructure to be sound. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council undertook extensive public consultation post the 2004 and 2005 disasters. Following this consultation, a project plan for each disaster was formulated. The Council has limited resources and because of this, each project has been prioritised and is progressively being completed.

The 2006/2016 LTCCP has identified costs for each individual projects, and following Annual Plans provide the community with updated projections.

The purpose of the LTCCP and intervening Annual Plans is to consult with the community and seek priorities, projects such as mentioned have been supported through submissions from the community.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: General Hazard Mitigation Funding Policy review

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 112 *Kelvin Deal*

Refer to page 138 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kelvin Deal - Flood mitigation option six needs to be replaced by option five. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Stella Marr*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Stella Marr - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be

accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Greg Jones*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Greg Jones - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and

15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Michael Mischefski*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Michael Mischefski - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works

to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *lenore Paterson*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from lenore Paterson - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Bob Pearson*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Bob Pearson - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Lesley Hema*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lesley Hema - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Alan Campbell*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Alan Campbell - Object to option six (preferred

option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Gavin Dennis*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Gavin Dennis - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Sue Maloney*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sue Maloney - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Shane Ngamoki*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Shane Ngamoki - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Anne Maloney*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Anne Maloney - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Berma Williams*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Berma Williams - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Nereta Fraser-Perenara*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Nereta Fraser-Perenara - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Murray Arndt*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Murray Arndt - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of

disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Mark & Greta Nicholson*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Mark & Greta Nicholson - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Eva Beach*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eva Beach - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Noel Hahipene*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Noel Hahipene - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Eileen Marr*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eileen Marr - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Elizabeth Marr*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Elizabeth Marr - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Raymond Hunia*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Raymond Hunia - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Shelly Steel*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Shelly Steel - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster

works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Leslie White*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Leslie White - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Gail Dawson*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Gail Dawson - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Maurice Lond*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Maurice Lond - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Tui Hunt*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Tui Hunt - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *June Amrein*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from June Amrein - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *N W Raureti*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from N W Raureti - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Norman Joseph Amrein*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Norman Joseph Amrein - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for

rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Peter Goddard*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter Goddard - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Jennifer McGregor*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jennifer McGregor - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Pauline & Dean Coman*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Pauline & Dean Coman - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Lyal Magee*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lyal Magee - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Wilfred Whalley*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Wilfred Whalley - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Glennis Paterson*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Glennis Paterson - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Raymond Hodgeson*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Raymond Hodgeson - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of

disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 106 *Maurie Griffin*

Refer to page 395-396 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Maurie Griffin - Object to option six and support five for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 19.20.2.1

File Code: 121 *Neville Harris, Sustainable Matata*

Refer to page 153-155 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sustainable Matata - The proposed works that have already received consent are nothing more than a restoration project to which no benefit is received from those properties who were flooded in 2005. The basis for rating is unfair and unreasonable. There is no reasonable or fair basis for treating the mitigation works at Matata on a different basis than the works at Ohinekoao. Rates for flood mitigation should be spread across the district. The number of properties which the payment of rates is based on includes Maori freehold land, church land and parks and reserves where no rates are paid. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Charles Curtis*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Charles Curtis - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the

community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Ann Dennis*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ann Dennis - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Robert Miller*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Robert Miller - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Heather & Raymond Hill*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Heather & Raymond Hill - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Carol Jeans*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Carol Jeans - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen

anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Bertha Groom*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Bertha Groom - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%)

subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Ton McGregor*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ton McGregor - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for

disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.

2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *N & R McBurnie*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from N & R McBurnie - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.

2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Maureen Wilson*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Maureen Wilson - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Simon & Janine Harrington*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Simon & Janine Harrington - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Earl & Lee Schlichting*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Earl & Lee Schlichting - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Bob Pearce*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Bob Pearce - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the

community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Keith & Kay Fergusson*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Keith & Kay Fergusson - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Robert Dawson*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Robert Dawson - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.

2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Bolstad Oscar*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Bolstad Oscar - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.

2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Barry & Pat Dixon*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Barry & Pat Dixon - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *William Mote*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from William Mote - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Lyal Magee*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lyal Magee - Object to option six (preferred option)

and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Dimpna Conn*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Dimpna Conn - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Gordon Parkes*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Gordon Parkes - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Noeline Henry*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Noeline Henry - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *David Lean*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from David Lean - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Kura Raureti*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kura Raureti - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Nicki Ibbetson*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Nicki Ibbetson - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Keith & Lorraine Wicks*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Keith & Lorraine Wicks - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for

rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Connie Mason*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Connie Mason - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Joan Page*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Joan Page - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Tony Hunt*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Tony Hunt - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Tunis Perenara*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Tunis Perenara - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Sam Seamark*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sam Seamark - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Barbara Schmidt*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Barbara Schmidt - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Tony Rika*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Tony Rika - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster

works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *George Devon*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from George Devon - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Patricia Rondon*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Patricia Rondon - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Lynne Pryor*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lynne Pryor - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Brian Dobson*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Brian Dobson - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Fay Rawson*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Fay Rawson - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *EE & DA Horwood*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from EE & DA Horwood - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Jocelyn Stoneham*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jocelyn Stoneham - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of

disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Nolan Ross*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Nolan Ross - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Marie Rau*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Marie Rau - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Edward Stoneham*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Edward Stoneham - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Eric Whale*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eric Whale - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Kellee Dawson*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kellee Dawson - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *David Brown*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from David Brown - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Eileen Marr*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eileen Marr - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster

works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Val Baker*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Val Baker - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Sariah Ratford*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sariah Ratford - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Joanna Boonen*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Joanna Boonen - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Anne Smith*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Anne Smith - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Eruera Ngatai*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eruera Ngatai - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Tene Ngaheu*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Tene Ngaheu - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Shirley Gardiner*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Shirley Gardiner - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of

disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Paul Klaus*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Paul Klaus - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Philip Hyde*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Philip Hyde - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Narelle Gordon*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Narelle Gordon - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Diane Whitehead*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Diane Whitehead - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Neville Ratford*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Neville Ratford - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Kevin & Tania Raynes*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kevin & Tania Raynes - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Kristine Savage*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kristine Savage - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of

disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Louise Kerrison*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Louise Kerrison - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Michelle Beach*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Michelle Beach - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Moana Hunia*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Moana Hunia - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Shay McCauley*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Shay McCauley - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Henry Pryor*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Henry Pryor - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Donna Semmens*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Donna Semmens - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Valerie & Walter Parkes*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Valerie & Walter Parkes - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for

rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Wikitoria Falwasser*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Wikitoria Falwasser - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Lucy Kepa*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lucy Kepa - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Judith Mills*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Judith Mills - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Apirana Perenara*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Apirana Perenara - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *John Minarapa*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from John Minarapa - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 123 *Deborah & Mark Hofert*

Refer to page 156-158 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Deborah & Mark Hofert - Object to option six and support five for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: lack of capacity of ratepayers on lower incomes to afford these high increases, the user pays with no choice system introduces inequality amongst ratepayers in certain designated areas. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Jamie Turner*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jamie Turner - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and

water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Mel Jones*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Mel Jones - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Mason Magdalene*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Mason Magdalene - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.

2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Selena Ngaheu*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Selena Ngaheu - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.

2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your

information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Joanne Warbrick, Edgecumbe-Matata Catholic Women's League*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Edgecumbe-Matata Catholic Women's League - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Douglas Renata*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Douglas Renata - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Harry Semmens*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Harry Semmens - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Kathleen Murray*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kathleen Murray - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be

accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 127 *Bryan Bourke, Matata Residents Association*

Refer to page 139-150 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matata Residents Association - Requests clarity about whether operating costs are included in the current policy, in the impact examples and in the proposed policy? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Operating costs are included under the Hazard Mitigation Policy adopted in 2006. Operating costs were excluded from the rating impact examples numbered one to six in the consultation material and excluded from the proposed policy included in the consultation material. Operating costs are excluded from the newly adopted Policy and these costs will be recovered through normal operating activities.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 125 *Pat Dixon, Matata Lagoon Action Group*

Refer to page 151-152 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matata Lagoon Action Group - Object to option six and support five for rating of disaster works. Disasters happen randomly across the district. Transit and Railways New Zealand should contribute towards the cost of mitigation as they are also beneficiaries. Members of the lagoon group come from different areas of Matata but all feel strongly that they should be rated evenly. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 127 *Bryan Bourke, Matata Residents Association*

Refer to page 139-150 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matata Residents Association - Page 3, item 3, last

bullet "Matata has been separated into three catchments reflecting the area of works within Matata". Should it mention that there is a considerable area of Matata that area "non-catchment". The maps in the consultation material are too difficult to read. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 127 *Bryan Bourke, Matata Residents Association*

Refer to page 139-150 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matata Residents Association - Asks why the maximum amounts payable by the catchment and community will be adjusted for inflation. Are the loan repayments adjusted yearly? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Without the adjustments for inflation on the capped amounts, over time the maximum amounts paid by people in the catchment and communities will become progressively less owing to the impact of inflation.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 127 *Bryan Bourke, Matata Residents Association*

Refer to page 139-150 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matata Residents Association - Support option five and do not mind that it does not align with the Council's Rating Philosophy. At a meeting in 2005 Council advised it did not have a policy that fully fitted the Matata situation. The present Council was elected on the basis of the policy review and the only real change is the 85%/15% split for Otarawairere.

Do not support funding option six. Would consider targeted and community based rating on a case by case basis for non-essential, non-necessity items on a want list. Support district wide rating for essential, necessity items (option 5). - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 126 *Graeme Bagnall*

Refer to page 392-394 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Graeme Bagnall - Disagree with Hazard Mitigation policy.

Page 8 Section 3. Why is the fixed mortgage adjusted for inflation?

Page 36 Option 5

To advantages add (1) National advantage in keeping road and rail links open. Regional advantage in reliable road link- safety in accident or emergency.

Feels rating philosophy is flawed:

People who now live in areas affected by disaster did not buy knowing the risk. There will be benefit to the wider community as the population may reduce as people can't afford to stay in this area.

Richer people may displace poorer longer term residents negatively affecting social cohesion.

The risk could have been determined by WDC with well placed research before development was approved. Where impact is known before development then costs should apply, since no one knew this was going to happen they shouldn't have to pay.

All people need to agree on the scope of mitigation works.

States benefits to the district as 'quite remote'. The same can be said for cycleways, library, museum, pool, CBD upgrades that outlying communities have helped fund.

Asks where did Council user pays philosophy come from? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Without the adjustments for inflation on the capped amounts, over time the maximum amounts paid by people in the catchment and communities will

become progressively less owing to the impact of inflation. It is recognised that there are advantages in completing the works which would include keeping road and rail links open and having a reliable road link.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 127 *Bryan Bourke, Matata Residents Association*

Refer to page 139-150 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matata Residents Association - Cannot make sense of the figures in option five. In the early days was presented with information on the 100% district scenario where \$162 and capital value rate per \$100k of \$38 was suggested. What has changed? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The figures included in option five (costs spread across the district) are not only the costs of the works in Matata but the cost of the disaster mitigation works in Awatapu, Edgecumbe, Otarawairere and Matata. The figures in option five are also based purely on capital value whereas the \$162 may have been a flat rate against all properties.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 103 *Isabel Hooper*

Refer to page 159-276 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Isabel Hooper - Object to option six (preferred option) and support option five (costs spread across the district) for rating of disaster works. Reasons provided include: the Matata community is overall a poor community and would find it hard to absorb a huge rate increase, a large part of the community are on the pension or limited income, disasters can happen anywhere in our district, the rate is unrealistic on top of water rates and water meter cost and future sewerage costs. The rate is not sustainable for the community. Option five is a fairer and more affordable means of funding. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.
2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.
3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 127 *Bryan Bourke, Matata Residents Association*

Refer to page 139-150 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matata Residents Association - Would like to know the logic for and the reason why the Council amended the boundary for the Awatapu works in 2006 and why it is/was not applied to other areas? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council in its Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy adopted on 30 June 2008 removed the catchments and adopted the old Matata Community Board boundary and the Rural 4 Settlement zone boundary to Matata as the community boundary. This is essentially similar to the boundary for Awatapu which is the Whakatane township. Below is the extract from the minutes from the Council meeting on 13 June 2006 which recorded the decision and reasons for the decision:

RESOLVED:

THAT the catchment area for the Awatapu for flood mitigation measures be the Whakatane Urban Works and Facilities Rating Area.

Reasons for Decision:

1.The Council recognises that the Whakatane land drainage scheme is an integrated scheme and the area of benefit from the Awatapu flood mitigation measures (pump station) would have a wider benefit that just those residents in Awatapu, benefiting the entire Whakatane township.

2.The Council recognises that the Awatapu area is the recipient of stormwater from a much larger catchment area and that the Wainui-Te Whara catchment empties into the Awatapu lagoon.

3.The Council believes that an integrated approach to land drainage in Whakatane is consistent with the previous policies of the Council and recognises that the whole town benefits from being flood-free.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - works

File Reference: 19.20.2.1

File Code: 43 *Kim Harris, Transit New Zealand*

Refer to page 277-279 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Transit New Zealand - Supportive of the Council's mitigation projects planned for 2008/09, particularly the proposed works at Ohinekoao. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitter's support is noted.

His Worship the Mayor/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - works

File Reference: 19.20.1

File Code: 121 *Neville Harris, Sustainable Matata*

Refer to page 153-155 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sustainable Matata - The total size of the budget for Matata restoration works appears to have been seriously underestimated. The draft Annual Plan sets out a total budget for Matata works at \$3.94m, \$2.54m to the debris detention structure. Based on information obtained from LGOIMA

it would appear that costs will exceed \$6.4m. The flood mitigation projects currently consented are really only to restore the lagoon. The money spent on the projects is a waste of time as the 2005 flooding only served to accelerate a naturally occurring process within the lagoon. Reassess all spending on the proposed flood hazard works until all consents involving actual flood mitigation works have been obtained. All consents should be heard at the same time to prevent a further waste of ratepayers funds. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The total cost to Council of the Matata Regeneration Projects is \$9,165,300 (without government subsidy). The government has contributed \$2,890,000 towards the cost of Matata Regeneration Projects.

The costs identified in the 2008/2009 Annual Plan reflects a slice of the total cost. The budget for the Project is ring fenced and any unspent money will be rolled over to following years until the works are completed.

The Matata Regeneration projects are divided into 4 catchments, the works in each catchment are different, resource consent applications have been prepared based on specific works and there is no benefit, or cost saving in delaying works.

His Worship the Mayor/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - works

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 125 *Pat Dixon, Matata Lagoon Action Group*

Refer to page 151-152 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matata Lagoon Action Group - The cost of cleaning out the debris detention structure at \$5,000 appear too small. Would this be needed monthly, annually or only when there is an event? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

This is an annual cost. However, it needs to be recognised that should a large event occur, similar to the 2005 event, there will be additional costs, these are unknown costs and it would not be prudent to budget for these unknowns. The Council does not currently budget on an annual basis for such costs.

His Worship the Mayor/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - works

File Reference: 19.20.2.1

File Code: 23 *Erin Mekerei Tioke*

Refer to page 280 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Erin Mekerei Tioke - Oppose the Awatarariki debris detention structure because of the risk to sacred sites. Council could consider other alternatives such as a better drainage system or daily monitoring. Believe that Council has already made a decision regarding the detention structure and is ignoring many local viewpoints. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

A report identifying options for the Debris Detention Structure will be presented shortly for the Council to make a decision on the proposal. The proposed Debris Detention Structure will be consulted on with the local Iwi representatives, it is an intention of the Council to receive a Culture Impact Assessment prior to lodgement of any resource consent. The community has had many opportunities to engage in consultation and will have a further opportunity during the Resource Consent process.

His Worship the Mayor/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - works

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 45 *David Dowd*

Refer to page 649-652 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from David Dowd - page 50

Please confirm that the Matata natural disaster did in fact result in \$85,000 of expenditure being removed from the 2008/09 Annual Plan. Or has that too been reallocated for some other purpose? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

\$85,000 has been removed from the budget for 2008/09 for capping of the Matata landfill due to the project no longer being required following the Natural Disaster in Matata.

His Worship the Mayor/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Matata - works

File Reference: 19.20.2.1

Refer to page 392-394 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Graeme Bagnall - Disagree with hazard mitigation plan for Awatarariki Stream Debris Structure and Te Awa O te Atua Lagoon restoration works downstream of Moores bridge.

These schemes will have large maintenance costs in the future.

A better option would be to allow Awatarariki stream to flow directly out to sea as it won't have ongoing maintenance costs. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council undertook a comprehensive analysis of the options available for each of the catchments in Matata in 2005, and resolved to undertake the option which it has applied for resource consent.

His Worship the Mayor/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 116 *Geraldine Seebeck*

Refer to page 349 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Geraldine Seebeck - Object to option 6 and properties in the catchment paying catchment and community rates. The district as a whole should share the cost. The amount is to be reviewed for inflation and as the council progressively draws down loans - how can retirees plan for the future when income does not increase at that rate. Do not see the benefit as septic tank works just fine. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has amended the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy and adopted Option 3A. For the current Disaster Mitigation Works at Otarawairere – Ohope specifically, the following costs are to be funded accordingly:

- a. Costs attributed to the works on portion of Otarawairere Road outside the Otarawairere Village boundary are funded through the Roding Rate; and
- b. The additional costs of providing a full sewerage scheme, over the cost of provided a limited sewerage scheme, are funded by properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
- c. Costs attributed to the additional capacity in the works for growth are funded through the Council's Development Contributions Policy; and
- d. Of the remaining capital costs for stormwater and wastewater:
 - i. 15% will be paid for by the properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
 - ii. 85% will be treated as disaster mitigation works and paid for as per the

general Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy.

The Council removed the catchment component of the previous Hazard Mitigation Policy and disaster mitigation works will now be paid for 50% by the community which for Ohope is defined as the Ohope Beach Community Board boundary and 50% by the district. A copy of the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is included for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 37 *Jack Hourigan*

Refer to page 344-347 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jack Hourigan - The submitter wishes a copy of all the calculations made for the improvements.

When calculating the costs in this analysis based on the dwellings in the community i.e. Cliff/Brown/Otarawairere 45; West End 166; Rest of Ohope Community 1534; the results show that

The proposed annual additional rates for:

West End Ratepayers (166 rateable properties)

\$374.24 per property (166) \$59594 - 43%, plus

\$21.70 Ohope Community \$3602.00

Total Rate - \$63196 - 45.5% inclusive of Community contribution

Cliff/Brown/Otarawairere (45 rateable properties)

\$916.45 per property (45) \$41250 - 30% plus

\$21.70 Ohope Community \$976

Total Rate 0 \$42226 - 30% inclusive of community contribution

Ohope Community (1745 rateable properties. Includes West End and Otarawairere, Cliff and Brown roads)

\$21.70 per property (1754) \$37866 - 27% minus West End Otarawairere, etc. \$4578

Total Rate - \$33288 - 24.5% for the balance of Ohope - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has amended the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy and adopted Option 3A. For the current Disaster Mitigation Works at Otarawairere – Ohope specifically, the following costs are to be funded accordingly:

- a. Costs attributed to the works on portion of Otarawairere Road outside the Otarawairere Village boundary are funded through the Roding Rate; and
- b. The additional costs of providing a full sewerage scheme, over the cost of

- provided a limited sewerage scheme, are funded by properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
- c. Costs attributed to the additional capacity in the works for growth are funded through the Council's Development Contributions Policy; and
 - d. Of the remaining capital costs for stormwater and wastewater:
 - i. 15% will be paid for by the properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
 - ii. 85% will be treated as disaster mitigation works and paid for as per the general Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy.

The Council removed the catchment component of the previous Hazard Mitigation Policy and disaster mitigation works will now be paid for 50% by the community which for Ohope is defined as the Ohope Beach Community Board boundary and 50% by the district. A copy of the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is included for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 37 *Jack Hourigan*

Refer to page 344-347 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jack Hourigan - Does the cost include a connection fee to the Ohope Sewage Scheme as a contribution towards the original cost of the scheme?

Fees and Charges Schedule 2008/2009

Page 14, 2.1 Note 2. Otarawairere and Cliff and Brown Road ratepayers should have an additional charge to connect into the Ohope wastewater system as their contribution to the establishment costs under the schedule above. This could be spread over 5 years to ease the burden. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

During the development of the original policy in 2006 the Council considered the use of fees and charges for recovering disaster mitigation costs. It was noted that should the Council adopt to pursue the collection of connections fees, this would allow property owners an option of opting out of the scheme, this would NOT achieve the objective of the works which is to control ALL stormwater and wastewater to minimise the risk of further landslips. Instead the Council developed the Hazard Mitigation Policy as a way of recovering the cost of disaster mitigation works and applying this same policy basis to works in Edgecumbe, Matata, Awatapu as well as Otarawairere.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 120 *Graheme Whyte*

Refer to page 350-352 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Graheme Whyte - Why does Council not insist that the payment for wastewater disposal is not deemed to be a private obligation for the perpetrators, ratepayers of Otarawairere, Cliff and Brown Road. They should be paying a connection fee to the capital cost of the existing Ohope sewerage scheme. West End nor any other community should be liable to pay rates for this service. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has amended the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy and adopted Option 3A. For the current Disaster Mitigation Works at Otarawairere – Ohope specifically, the following costs are to be funded accordingly:

- a. Costs attributed to the works on portion of Otarawairere Road outside the Otarawairere Village boundary are funded through the Roding Rate; and
- b. The additional costs of providing a full sewerage scheme, over the cost of provided a limited sewerage scheme, are funded by properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
- c. Costs attributed to the additional capacity in the works for growth are funded through the Council's Development Contributions Policy; and
- d. Of the remaining capital costs for stormwater and wastewater:
 - i. 15% will be paid for by the properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
 - ii. 85% will be treated as disaster mitigation works and paid for as per the general Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy.

The Council removed the catchment component of the previous Hazard Mitigation Policy and disaster mitigation works will now be paid for 50% by the community which for Ohope is defined as the Ohope Beach Community Board boundary and 50% by the district. A copy of the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is included for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 37 *Jack Hourigan*

Refer to page 344-347 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jack Hourigan - Costs attributed to the works on portion of Otawairere Road outside the Otawairere village boundary are funded through the Roding Rate. Otawairere Ratepayers pay district Wide Roding Rates and road work inside the community on its streets should be attributed to that funding. The same applies to any Roding costs to Cliff and Brown Roads. If the cost of restoration to the roads in Otawairere is not to be funded through the District Wide Rates, then that cost must be fully attributed to Otawairere Ratepayers. Roding Rate funds are used for similar work in Whakatane. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

There are no works planned for Cliff, Brown Road or in Otawairere Village (as part of this project) other than works to the roding network necessary to restore the infrastructure. It is normal practise that the contractor would re-instate any infrastructure damaged throughout a project.

The opportunity that this project presents to upgrade other kerb and channel in Otawairere village, this is a cost to the roding budget (district wide roding rate).

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 37 *Jack Hourigan*

Refer to page 344-347 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jack Hourigan - Are West End Ratepayers going to be reimbursed for these service improvement costs that they paid out last year? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has amended the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy and adopted Option 3A. For the current Disaster Mitigation Works at Otawairere – Ohope specifically, the following costs are to be funded accordingly:

- a. Costs attributed to the works on portion of Otawairere Road outside the Otawairere Village boundary are funded through the Roding Rate; and
- b. The additional costs of providing a full sewerage scheme, over the cost of provided a limited sewerage scheme, are funded by properties connected (Otwairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
- c. Costs attributed to the additional capacity in the works for growth are funded through the Council's Development Contributions Policy; and
- d. Of the remaining capital costs for stormwater and wastewater:
 - i. 15% will be paid for by the properties connected (Otwairere, Cliff Road and

Brown Road); and

ii. 85% will be treated as disaster mitigation works and paid for as per the general Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy.

The Council removed the catchment component of the previous Hazard Mitigation Policy and disaster mitigation works will now be paid for 50% by the community which for Ohope is defined as the Ohope Beach Community Board boundary and 50% by the district. A copy of the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is included for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 37 *Jack Hourigan*

Refer to page 344-347 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jack Hourigan - Believe that Council has not identified the difference between a disaster accruing from natural causes and a disaster that was preventable due to the lack of initial requirement to provide stormwater/wastewater services for dwellings and roading. Council has not addressed the problem as part of its duty of care. The study has blurred the causes of the problem. Council applied a broad application that involved all West End properties whether they were affected or not. This does not address the issue of the cause for the report. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The requirement to have reticulated stormwater and wastewater to prevent landslips on the Escarpment was unlikely to have been foreseen at the time of development in Otarawairere. While the Council cannot prevent natural disasters, it does have obligations under various legislation in terms of natural disasters, notably the Resource Management Act and Civil Defence legislation. The Annual Plan does include a project to undertake natural hazard analysis which may lead to possible plan changes to reduce the risk of impact from future natural disasters.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 76 *Patricia Tolley*

Refer to page 401-402 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Patricia Tolley - Why should we pay for Otarawairere sewerage. People are being driven out by ridiculous rates and the costs should be shared throughout the whole area. We should be the same as all Ohope. Equal rates for all. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has amended the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy and adopted Option 3A. For the current Disaster Mitigation Works at Otarawairere – Ohope specifically, the following costs are to be funded accordingly:

- a. Costs attributed to the works on portion of Otarawairere Road outside the Otarawairere Village boundary are funded through the Roding Rate; and
- b. The additional costs of providing a full sewerage scheme, over the cost of provided a limited sewerage scheme, are funded by properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
- c. Costs attributed to the additional capacity in the works for growth are funded through the Council’s Development Contributions Policy; and
- d. Of the remaining capital costs for stormwater and wastewater:
 - i. 15% will be paid for by the properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
 - ii. 85% will be treated as disaster mitigation works and paid for as per the general Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy.

The Council removed the catchment component of the previous Hazard Mitigation Policy and disaster mitigation works will now be paid for 50% by the community which for Ohope is defined as the Ohope Beach Community Board boundary and 50% by the district. A copy of the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is included for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 37 *Jack Hourigan*

Refer to page 344-347 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jack Hourigan - Page 7, Otarawairere level of service improvements. Paragraph 6 refers to a private benefit cost being allocated to the properties connected to the scheme that include wastewater and stormwater. Have all these calculations made on this proposal for all areas been included in the rating model?

The submitter recommends that the Council revisits Option 3, page 28. The Council should merge West End and Otarawairere with Ohope. Why was Ohope

Community excluded from this exercise? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has amended the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy and adopted Option 3A. For the current Disaster Mitigation Works at Otarawairere – Ohope specifically, the following costs are to be funded accordingly:

- a. Costs attributed to the works on portion of Otarawairere Road outside the Otarawairere Village boundary are funded through the Roothing Rate; and
- b. The additional costs of providing a full sewerage scheme, over the cost of provided a limited sewerage scheme, are funded by properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
- c. Costs attributed to the additional capacity in the works for growth are funded through the Council's Development Contributions Policy; and
- d. Of the remaining capital costs for stormwater and wastewater:
 - i. 15% will be paid for by the properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
 - ii. 85% will be treated as disaster mitigation works and paid for as per the general Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy.

The Council removed the catchment component of the previous Hazard Mitigation Policy and disaster mitigation works will now be paid for 50% by the community which for Ohope is defined as the Ohope Beach Community Board boundary and 50% by the district. A copy of the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is included for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 37 *Jack Hourigan*

Refer to page 344-347 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jack Hourigan - Calculate out the service improvements and private benefit for Otarawairere/Cliff and Brown Roads plus road repair costs and then spread the cost of the Ohope community. The figure for Otarawairere/Cliff/Brown Roads will be far less than option 6. Council must address the 45% community costs to the West End Ratepayers as it is inequitable. Opposes the proposed Additional Charges to Rates 2008/2009 to West End Ohope Ratepayers. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has amended the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy and adopted Option 3A. For the current Disaster Mitigation Works at Otarawairere – Ohope specifically, the following costs are to be funded accordingly:

- a. Costs attributed to the works on portion of Otarawairere Road outside the

- Otarawairere Village boundary are funded through the Roding Rate; and
- b. The additional costs of providing a full sewerage scheme, over the cost of provided a limited sewerage scheme, are funded by properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
 - c. Costs attributed to the additional capacity in the works for growth are funded through the Council's Development Contributions Policy; and
 - d. Of the remaining capital costs for stormwater and wastewater:
 - i. 15% will be paid for by the properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
 - ii. 85% will be treated as disaster mitigation works and paid for as per the general Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy.

The Council removed the catchment component of the previous Hazard Mitigation Policy and disaster mitigation works will now be paid for 50% by the community which for Ohope is defined as the Ohope Beach Community Board boundary and 50% by the district. A copy of the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is included for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 120 *Graheme Whyte*

Refer to page 350-352 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Graheme Whyte - Council has planned to use the district wide road rate for kerb and channelling Otawairere Road but because of topography stormwater from this road can only be diverted via the road servicing the Otawairere residential area. Therefore the major cost of the total project should be covered by the district wide road rate. Stormwater for Cliff and Brown Roads is the result of inadequate pit soakage system for disposal of road stormwater. This is a repair situation not a disaster mitigation. Again it should be a district wide roding cost. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The costs to the kerb and channelling on Otawairere Road, and outside the village is a charge to the district wide rate. The requirement to have a higher level of service in relation to the reticulation of the stormwater at the top of the Escarpment has been accepted by the Council because there is a specific need to minimise risk of landslips.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 37 *Jack Hourigan*

Refer to page 344-347 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jack Hourigan - Page 7, Otarawairere level of service improvements. Do the model wastewater calculations in paragraph 5 in terms of service improvement include costs for Cliff and Brown Roads? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The wastewater calculations include the wastewater costs of the project to Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 13 *Allan and Ann Whyte*

Refer to page 618-621 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Allan and Ann Whyte - This submission is opposed to the proposed revision of cost allocations for sewerage and storm water works at Otarawairere, Brown Road and cliff road. In particular, West End is paying to fund these sewerage and storm water works and yet does not get any betterment from the works. West End already has a sewerage system and other storm water costs in the district are divided up "district wide", why is this project different? Ohope/Otarawairere should not be treated any differently to the rest of the district - as there is no betterment to West End residents the current special additional charge to West End rate payers should be dropped. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has amended the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy and adopted Option 3A. For the current Disaster Mitigation Works at Otarawairere – Ohope specifically, the following costs are to be funded accordingly:

- a. Costs attributed to the works on portion of Otarawairere Road outside the Otarawairere Village boundary are funded through the Roding Rate; and
- b. The additional costs of providing a full sewerage scheme, over the cost of provided a limited sewerage scheme, are funded by properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
- c. Costs attributed to the additional capacity in the works for growth are funded through the Council's Development Contributions Policy; and
- d. Of the remaining capital costs for stormwater and wastewater:

- i. 15% will be paid for by the properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
- ii. 85% will be treated as disaster mitigation works and paid for as per the general Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy.

The Council removed the catchment component of the previous Hazard Mitigation Policy and disaster mitigation works will now be paid for 50% by the community which for Ohope is defined as the Ohope Beach Community Board boundary and 50% by the district. A copy of the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is included for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 93 *Arthur Brett Kelly*

Refer to page 348 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Arthur Brett Kelly - How much of the proposed rates increase on 51b West End is applicable to Hazard Mitigation and how much relates to the newly installed sewer and stormwater systems for Cliff Road and Otawairere? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has amended the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy and adopted Option 3A. For the current Disaster Mitigation Works at Otawairere – Ohope specifically, the following costs are to be funded accordingly:

- a. Costs attributed to the works on portion of Otawairere Road outside the Otawairere Village boundary are funded through the Roding Rate; and
- b. The additional costs of providing a full sewerage scheme, over the cost of provided a limited sewerage scheme, are funded by properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
- c. Costs attributed to the additional capacity in the works for growth are funded through the Council's Development Contributions Policy; and
- d. Of the remaining capital costs for stormwater and wastewater:
 - i. 15% will be paid for by the properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
 - ii. 85% will be treated as disaster mitigation works and paid for as per the general Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy.

The Council removed the catchment component of the previous Hazard Mitigation Policy and disaster mitigation works will now be paid for 50% by the community which for Ohope is defined as the Ohope Beach Community Board boundary and 50% by the district. A copy of the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is included for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 101 *Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board*

Refer to page 628-631 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohope Beach Community Board - Has there been a contribution made by Otarawairere and Brown Road/Cliff Road residents to connect the Ohope waste water scheme, in keeping with its policy as outlined on page 14 of the fees and charges schedule? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has amended the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy and adopted Option 3A. For the current Disaster Mitigation Works at Otarawairere – Ohope specifically, the following costs are to be funded accordingly:

- a. Costs attributed to the works on portion of Otarawairere Road outside the Otarawairere Village boundary are funded through the Roding Rate; and
- b. The additional costs of providing a full sewerage scheme, over the cost of provided a limited sewerage scheme, are funded by properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
- c. Costs attributed to the additional capacity in the works for growth are funded through the Council's Development Contributions Policy; and
- d. Of the remaining capital costs for stormwater and wastewater:
 - i. 15% will be paid for by the properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
 - ii. 85% will be treated as disaster mitigation works and paid for as per the general Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy.

The Council removed the catchment component of the previous Hazard Mitigation Policy and disaster mitigation works will now be paid for 50% by the community which for Ohope is defined as the Ohope Beach Community Board boundary and 50% by the district. A copy of the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is included for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - funding

File Reference: 24.7.12

File Code: 101 *Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board*

Refer to page 628-631 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohope Beach Community Board - When looking at the 6 options offered to Council, it becomes obvious that none of these offer any substantial relief to West End residents. The key to this is on page 7 (of the policy) where it states:

"Currently the private drainage costs of (level of service improvements) are spread over the catchment (currently defined as Otarawairere/Cliff Road/Brown Road and West End)".

It needs to be noted that West End residents have not and will not be getting any increase to their levels of service.

We are not disputing the rationale of West End being included in the catchment area but rather the determination of who gets a level of service improvement.

No ratepayer should be charged for a service they do not or will not be receiving.

1. Acknowledgement that an error was made to the determination of private benefit will need to be made clear. While this has been accounted for in option 6, in the figures presented for the other options this has not been the case. So in effect the numbers in the tables for the other 5 options are incorrect.

2. Level of service improvements; In determining the fair level of funding for level of service it states:

"Waste water costs of the current project in Otarawairere are about \$790,000..." Ohope Beach Community Board queries whether this cost as stated is only for the Otarawairere portion of the project. If the works for the Brown Road/Cliff Road waste water have not been factored in, then the resultant percentage used to determine level of service increase will be incorrect. This will need to be clarified.

Ohope Beach Community Board continues its support for Option 3 to be adopted. This is consistent with the policy adopted for the Awatapu hazard mitigation funding. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT the Council adopt Option 3A of the Hazard Mitigation Policy which is to spread the costs onto the community (50% with no cap) and District (50%) subject to the following changes:

- The cost of works in Otarawairere to be 85% for disaster mitigation costs and 15% for levels of service costs (private costs).

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes that Option 3A ensures a fairer method of paying for disaster mitigation works and would not unduly burden any one community.

2. The Council recognises that there are benefits of the hazard mitigation works to affected communities and to the District as a whole.

3. The Council believes that this option avoids separating out parts of the community which submitters suggested causes division in the community.

A copy of the revised Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is attached for your information.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Disaster Mitigation

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - works

File Reference: 24.7.9

File Code: 65 *Ian Snowsill*

Refer to page 385-386 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ian Snowsill - Request that the WDC take appropriate action by the amendment of or deletion/addition, to remedy:

Otarawairere Sewerage, stormwater, flood damage/Mitigation.

These various works, taking place in three different need to be clearly defined. Only then can the proposed funding be understood by all the affected ratepayers who are yet to be consulted. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Ohope Escarpment Stormwater/Wastewater disposal upgrade is a comprehensive project to minimise the risk of further landslips at the Escarpment. The works in Otarawairere Village, Cliff Road and Brown Road are all part of the same project.

The works will be completed in the 2007/2008 financial year.

The Council has amended the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy and adopted Option 3A. For the current Disaster Mitigation Works at Otarawairere – Ohope specifically, the following costs are to be funded accordingly:

- a. Costs attributed to the works on portion of Otarawairere Road outside the Otarawairere Village boundary are funded through the Roding Rate; and
- b. The additional costs of providing a full sewerage scheme, over the cost of provided a limited sewerage scheme, are funded by properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
- c. Costs attributed to the additional capacity in the works for growth are funded through the Council's Development Contributions Policy; and
- d. Of the remaining capital costs for stormwater and wastewater:
 - i. 15% will be paid for by the properties connected (Otarawairere, Cliff Road and Brown Road); and
 - ii. 85% will be treated as disaster mitigation works and paid for as per the general Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy.

The Council removed the catchment component of the previous Hazard Mitigation Policy and disaster mitigation works will now be paid for 50% by the community which for Ohope is defined as the Ohope Beach Community Board boundary and 50% by the district. A copy of the Disaster Mitigation Funding Policy is included for your information.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Disaster Mitigation**

Programme: Otarawairere/West End - works

File Reference: 24.7.9

File Code: 94 *Geoffrey & Natalie Crang*

Refer to page 353 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Geoffrey & Natalie Crang - The reinstatement of our driveway to its original first class condition prior to the commencement of the construction phase of the Otarawairere storm/waste water project. The patch up is not acceptable given the assurance prior to the work beginning that all properties would be re-instated to their original form. Accept that there are a number of areas on our property that require repair but understand they will be attended to in time, as contractors move to each property however our drive and wall do cause real concern. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The re-instatement of properties is a requirement of the contract for the works on Otarawairere Road. Staff will contact the submitter to address the concerns.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance**Activity: Strategic Policy**

Programme: Annual Plan document

File Reference: 3.5.7.1

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Ngati Awa statutory acknowledgement are not yet recorded in Council plans nor recognised in Council processes. Council is late in considering implications on Council 's decision making processes. Work with Ngati Awa to record Ngati Awa statutory acknowledgement in plans as required by statute - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has completed the necessary background work on the mechanisms for recognising statutory acknowledgement areas and is currently in discussions with Environment Ngati Awa on what the next steps should be.

The Council recognises that Ngäti Awa are seeking a wider protocol to go with the sites that have to be shown on the planning maps and the Council is aiming to have this completed by the next LTCCP

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Strategic Policy

Programme: Annual Plan document

File Reference: 27.1.3

File Code: 53 *Derek Spratt, Federated Farmers BOP*

Refer to page 335-339 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Federated Farmers BOP - Appreciates the summary of the rating information. Also appreciates the detailed explanations and summaries of the UAGC and targeted rates. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitters comments are appreciated.

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Strategic Policy

Programme: Bylaws

File Reference: 26.1.7

File Code: 3 *Linda Conning, Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society
NZ Inc*

Refer to page 361-363 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ Inc - Bylaws are ineffective if not enforced. Suggest joint funding with Environment BOP to address the problems of vehicle access and enforcement. Encourage Council to apply to the Environmental Enhancement Fund to manage access points and fund enforcement. Suggest that Council defer construction of new access to the coast as proposed for the Tarawera River Mouth. A higher priority area is west of Murphy's motor camp and suggest the \$75,000 budget for Tarawera Road be transferred to beach access management in this area. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is currently working on better enforcement of its bylaws. The Council shares interests with Environment BOP and neighbouring Councils with regard to vehicles on beaches and is exploring options for a joint approach. The \$75K allocation to form a road on the western side of the Tarawera River from the main road and the river mouth is a project that has come from the Joint Advisory Committee on the Matata Reserves. DOC and Ngati Awa have input in to the management of these reserves through this committee. The

Tarawera River Mouth Road won't provide beach access for vehicles and the project will also provide parking facilities.

Clark/Johnston

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Strategic Policy

Programme: Bylaws

File Reference: 26.1.7

File Code: 3 *Linda Conning, Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ Inc*

Refer to page 361-363 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ Inc - Forest and Bird would like to see Enforcement of Vehicle and Dog Bylaws on beaches especially for NZ dotterel nesting sites (e.g. Otarawairere). Pest control in the Kohi point and Mokuroa scenic reserves, Voluntary groups are putting in large amounts of resource into Ohope scenic reserve, adjoining reserves need to be managed similarly. Emphasis should be placed on public consultation in respect to the development of the Heads Reserve, that is taking place this financial year. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is currently working on better enforcement of its bylaws. The recent review of the beach bylaw has improved provisions that allow the Council to protect dotterel nesting sites. The Council have made a commitment to enforcing the bylaws and will consider a staff report on enforcement in general and beaches in particular within the next six months. The Council will be mindful of targeting enforcement in the Otamarakau area firstly to protect nesting areas.

Pest control in support of biodiversity will continue.

Public consultation on the Heads redevelopment project is underway at the moment.

Chambers/Johnston

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Strategic Policy

Programme: Funding Impact Statement

File Reference: 20.20.1

File Code: 63 *Tangiara Tawhara, Hinepukohurangi Trust*

Refer to page 364 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Hinepukohurangi Trust - Wishes to speak on

Ruatahuna Water Rates (re p126 of the Funding Impact Statement). Seeks reimbursement of water rates paid over past three years. - be declined.

Reason for the Decision:

The Ruatahuna water supply is not owned by the Whakatane District Council but the Council does pay an annual resource consent fees of \$862 per annum (plus GST) for the bore. The Councils budget for the Ruatahuna water supply is small, but covers compliance costs associated with the resource consent and potential servicing/repairs and works and services if called upon. Any amount under spent each year is retained in the scheme for appropriation in future years. The Council is willing to transfer all responsibilities for the Ruatahuna scheme to the Trust upon receipt of suitable documentation. Please direct all queries to Haydn Read, Director Works and Services. The Council advises that there will be no rates rebate as the Council did carry out the functions required.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Strategic Policy

Programme: LTCCP

File Reference: 3.7.14

File Code: 45 *David Dowd*

Refer to page 649-652 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from David Dowd - Long Term Council Community Plan Provision

Any financial in LTCCPS more than three years into the future are virtually meaningless.

The process to get Community Outcomes was long and costly and in the end were predictable. If the response is that the Law demands it, then Local Government throughout New Zealand should be doing whatever it can to convince Parliament that LGA 2002 needs amendment.

Is it not time for an initiative seeking an update of the LGA 2002 to reconsider LTCCP requirements? If you could agree could WDC take a lead through LGNZ - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitters comments are noted. Local Government New Zealand continues to work proactively with Central Government to ensure the improvement of legislation relevant to local government. Whakatane District Council supports this work where ever it can.

Hanlen/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Strategic Policy

Programme: LTCCP

File Reference: 27.1.1

File Code: 52 *Peter McRae*

Refer to page 647-648 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter McRae - The cost of auditing has gone up (page 29 Groups of activities: Corporate and District Overheads) \$48,000 in audit fee. Suggest a tendering round for the audit service.
Request an immediate process be put in place to achieve the cost of auditing within the current budgeted amount. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Auditing fees have generally increased across the sector, as the Office of the Auditor General has placed increased audit requirements on Council's Auditors. In addition, the 2008/09 year includes additional funding for the auditing of the Long Term Council Community Plan. This is a requirement once every three years.

Council's Auditors are appointed by the Office of the Auditor General, and they have appointed Audit New Zealand. The fees charged by Audit New Zealand are reviewed by the Office of the Auditor General.

The Council received notice that audit costs in 2008/09 are expected to increase by 75% due to the audit of the Long-term Council Community Plan 2009-2019. The Council has resolved to spread the cost of the audit for the LTCCP over three years which equates to \$115,000 per year which is less than the \$130,000 currently budgeted.

Te Kurapa/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Strategic Policy

Programme: Revenue and Financing Policy

File Reference: 27.1.1.6

File Code: 53 *Derek Spratt, Federated Farmers BOP*

Refer to page 335-339 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Federated Farmers BOP - Federated Farmers recommends that the Council advocates to central government for meaningful reform of local government funding. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitters comments are noted. Local Government New Zealand continues to work proactively with Central Government to ensure the improvement of legislation relevant to local government. Whakatane District Council supports this work where ever it can.

The Council was disappointed with the outcome of the Local Government Rating Inquiry.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Strategic Policy

Programme: Revenue and Financing Policy

File Reference: 27.1.7

File Code: 70 *Awhina Chapman, Murupara Community Board*

Refer to page 376-377 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Murupara Community Board - It is almost without exception that fees and charges go up each year. Council must be conscious of these continued increases. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Fees and charges are set at appropriate levels to recognise the cost of providing the services. The Council is conscious of increases made and the impact on affordability of services. The Council has a user pays philosophy and increases in the fees and charges reflect this movement towards this philosophy. The Council is mindful that any income not recovered in fees and charges is placed on ratepayers through increased rates.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Leadership and Governance

Activity: Strategic Policy

Programme: Strategic Policy

File Reference: 27.1.1

File Code: 21 *Christine Larsen*

Refer to page 365-368 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Christine Larsen - Proposes the council establish a working party immediately whose role will be to plan policy which takes into account the need to respond to climate change, peak oil, food shortages, energy crisis and the impact of these on our community economy, environmental and social wellbeing.

Council could investigate other proactive councils and draft a policy as a result of these investigations.

Council could then acknowledge these issues and adopt a proactive response rather than reacting to crisis. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is currently engaged in preparatory work for its 2009-19 Long Term Council Community Plan (LTCCP). As part of the LTCCP development the Council must look at its context, including the matters described by the submitter.

Clark/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Airport Advisory Board

File Reference: 12.3.4

File Code: 61 *Bronwen Foxx, Disabilities Resource Centre Trust*

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - (p.13) The Draft Annual plan states the intention to investigate a means to provide a functional and safe airport for the long term benefit of the community. Any plans would need to address accessibility for all including any aging and disabled users. Disabilities Resource Centre Trust suggest including a member from the Access Group when creating the development board. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Council thanks DRCT for their submission. The Airport Advisory Board nominations were reviewed and decided on by Council. The successful appointments were Graeme Hanlen; Graeme Lee; Graham Bell; John Eades; Mark Law; and Ron Pepper. All members have significant Aviation Industry experience, either commercially or regulatory.

The Airport Advisory Board will ensure the appropriate stakeholders are consulted as part of any future capital works on the airport.

Hanlen/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Airport Advisory Board

File Reference: 12.3.4

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Ensure Ngati Awa representative is appointed to the Airport Advisory Board - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Council thanks Ngati Awa for their submission. The Airport Advisory Board nominations were reviewed and decided on by Council. The successful appointments were Graeme Hanlen; Graeme Lee; Graham Bell; John Eades; Mark Law; and Ron Pepper. All members have significant Aviation Industry experience, either commercially or regulatory.

Hanlen/His Worship the Mayor

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Carparks

File Reference: 11.9.3

File Code: 127 *Bryan Bourke, Matata Residents Association*

Refer to page 139-150 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matata Residents Association - Can find no reference in the Annual Plan to the following projects:

- the Rugby Club parking/frontage upgrade
- the tennis court parking upgrade
- the rebuild of Kaokaoroa reserve
- the vest of the causeway across the lagoon to the Matata foreshore by Department of Conservation and the upgrading of the road and carpark

Can you please update? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The vesting of the causeway across the lagoon is currently being progressed with DoC. The upgrade work for the Richmond Reserve and the Tennis parking areas is included in the 2007/08 budgets and will be carried over to 2008/09. The reestablishment works at Kaokaoroa Reserve is included within the Matata Mitigation work projects.

Bonne/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Carparks

File Reference: 16.6.13

File Code: 72 *Eileen Bell*

Refer to page 322-330 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eileen Bell - Disability car parks should be made available on Richardson Street near RSA clubrooms. The former disability parking space in Richardson Street is now not available, but it was used quite

frequently and is missed. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The removal of the disability carpark in Richardson Street will be reviewed

Bonne/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Rooding

Programme: Carparks

File Reference: 6.5.9

File Code: 24 *D. De Raat*

Refer to page 627 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from D. De Raat - Provide bus park areas for tourist buses to encourage groups to visit and stay a while. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Thank you for your submission. Your comments are noted.

His Worship the Mayor/Johnston

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Rooding

Programme: Carparks

File Reference: 16.6.13

File Code: 61 *Bronwen Foxx, Disabilities Resource Centre Trust*

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - (p.15) Concerns regarding the reduction in the number of parking spaces in the Town Vision Plan, especially those designated for disabled users. There are also concerns regarding paths and accessibility in the proposed Town Vision, and Disabilities Resource Centre Trust suggest that consultation be undertaken regarding the current state of mobility parking. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council recognises that the needs of the disabled within the community need to be considered in the design of any community facility or infrastructure. The Council is happy to receive any information on the need for further mobility parking spaces.

The Councils decisions on the Whakatane CBD Parking Strategy (adopted in April 2008), did not preclude paid parking but made no firm decision about where or when any extension to the current paid parking area may occur. Further investigation was requested by the Council, acknowledging the wide public

feedback it received on this issue.

Shearer/His Worship the Mayor

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Rooding

Programme: Carparks

File Reference: 16.6.13

File Code: 92 *Julie Grindley*

Refer to page of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Julie Grindley - Would not shop in town if had to pay to park. Shoppers should be encouraged to come to town to shop. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Councils decisions on the Whakatane CBD Parking Strategy (adopted in April 2008), did not preclude paid parking but made no firm decision about where or when any extension to the current paid parking area may occur. Further investigation was requested by the Council, acknowledging the wide public feedback it received on this issue.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Rooding

Programme: Carparks

File Reference: 17.3.1

File Code: 38 *Christina Murray*

Refer to page 443 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Christina Murray - Keep free parking and keep our lovely town accessible to those who don't drive. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Councils decisions on the Whakatane CBD Parking Strategy (adopted in April 2008), did not preclude paid parking but made no firm decision about where or when any extension to the current paid parking area may occur. Further investigation was requested by the Council, acknowledging the wide public feedback it received on this issue.

Johnston/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Footpaths

File Reference: 16.6.9

File Code: 65 *Ian Snowsill*

Refer to page 385-386 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ian Snowsill - Request that the WDC take appropriate action by the amendment of or deletion/addition, to remedy: Ohope Footpaths: substandard, in part due to lack of R and M - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council have a programme of regular footpath maintenance. The paths in Ohope will be inspected to see what work may need to be undertaken. There is an annual budget for repairs and maintenance. The work must be prioritised. Service requests can be lodged with the Customer Services department.

RESOLVED:

THAT the tabled information on Footpath Policy and Budget be received and staff develop a policy on footpath extensions for consideration by the Council.

Reason for Decision:

The Council recognises there is a policy on footpath and berm maintenance renewal however there is a need to develop a policy on footpath extensions.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Landing Road intersection

File Reference: 17.3.1

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - The Board is concerned about the congestion of daily traffic over the bridge coming into town at peak hours. We suggest that Council stop traffic turning right off Hinemoa Street on the roundabout. This could be a trial and if effective become permanent. Landing Road intersection and roundabout works to be accelerated. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Thank you for your submission. Your comments are noted. The Council resolved:

1. THAT the Council defer the Landing Road Intersection and Capacity Improvements Projects at a cost of \$525,300 until 2009/10; and

2. THAT the Council include the sum of \$110,000 in 2008/09 Annual Plan to be funded by way of loan as Council's contribution towards a wider scoped investigation report expected to cost \$250,000 (\$50,000 Transit New Zealand and \$90,000 from Land Transport New Zealand) for the development of a long term roading network plan for the town and district including:
 - (a) capacity improvements to the Landing Road bridge and roundabout
 - (b) possibility of Transit New Zealand constructing a replacement bridge for the existing Peketahi Road/Rail Bridge, and relocating the new bridge to the sound end of Whakatane township
 - (c) arterial feeders from the town boundaries into the business and retail centres
 - (d) any other future improvements likely to be required to the towns roading network

Reason for Decision:

The Council have extended the investigation and study on the Landing Road Bridge project to include all the future improvements likely to be required to the Whakatane town roading network. The Council believes that a joint study with Transit New Zealand is appropriate. The Council recognises that the District Transportation Study provided a higher level framework and further refinement and detailed investigation work was now required.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Landing Road intersection

File Reference: 17.3.1

File Code: 43 *Kim Harris, Transit New Zealand*

Refer to page 277-279 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Transit New Zealand - Capacity improvements at Landing Road intersection will benefit the transportation network in Whakatane. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. THAT the Council defer the Landing Road Intersection and Capacity Improvements Projects at a cost of \$525,300 until 2009/10; and

2. THAT the Council include the sum of \$110,000 in 2008/09 Annual Plan to be funded by way of loan as Council's contribution towards a wider scoped investigation report expected to cost \$250,000 (\$50,000 Transit New Zealand and \$90,000 from Land Transport New Zealand) for the development of a long term roading network plan for the town and district including:

- (a) capacity improvements to the Landing Road bridge and roundabout
- (b) possibility of Transit New Zealand constructing a replacement bridge for the existing Peketahi Road/Rail Bridge, and relocating the new bridge to the sound end of Whakatane township
- (c) arterial feeders from the town boundaries into the business and retail centres
- (d) any other future improvements likely to be required to the towns roading network

Reason for Decision:

The Council have extended the investigation and study on the Landing Road Bridge project to include all the future improvements likely to be required to the Whakatane town roading network. The Council believes that a joint study with Transit New Zealand is appropriate. The Council recognises that the District Transportation Study provided a higher level framework and further refinement and detailed investigation work was now required.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Landing Road intersection

File Reference: 16.3.7

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - The Board acknowledges that there has been a problem with jumping off the town bridge since the bridge was first built. The signs stating "no jumping" haven't worked. Suggest the Council be proactive and explore with Transit option of building a clip-on that would make jumping safer a fence/barrier like Te Teko to make it safer for other bridge users. The bridge is getting busier due to the Hub. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council acknowledges the safety concerns the jumpers create with traffic on the bridge and is proactively working with Transit New Zealand, the owners of the Bridge, on ways to resolve the issues.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Landing Road intersection

File Reference: 16.1.1

File Code: 105 *John Hauwaho, Youth Coucil*

Refer to page 452-454 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Youth Coucil - Would like Council to consider a safer jumping option for jumpers off Whakatane and Te Teko Bridge by providing a clip-on structure. This will make the bridge safer for not only bridge jumpers but also walkers and cyclists who use the bridge - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is aware of the issues surrounding jumping from and swimming around the Landing Road and Te Teko Bridges. We are keen to consult with participants as part of the process of finding an acceptable solution to the issue. The Director Works and Services will be discussing the safety of the Landing Road Bridge for cyclists/walkers with Transit New Zealand and any solutions will also canvass the need to provide safety for jumpers.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Landing Road intersection

File Reference: 10.4.9

File Code: 52 *Peter McRae*

Refer to page 647-648 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter McRae - Request the new toilet facilities and new ramp access amounting to \$170,000 be deleted pending an overall concept (page 65 What we are going to do) - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has included the sum of \$130,000 in the Draft budget for the development of a public convenience in the vicinity of the Rowing Club on Landing Road. The Council believes that this should not be deferred as the area is increasing being used for recreational activities and public toilet facilities are needed in this location. The location of the facilities (ramp and toilets) are limited by the availability of services and contour. The development of these facilities will be working in with an overall concept for the area.

His Worship the Mayor/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Landing Road intersection

File Reference: 16.1.1

File Code: 101 *Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board*

Refer to page 628-631 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohope Beach Community Board - (p.13) Recognise that the Landing road intersection needs improvements, but question if there are cheaper and simpler ways to address this issue. Has consideration been made to make the last section of Hinemoa street one way only traffic, to assist the flow entering Whakatane from over the bridge? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Yes, one way routes including Hinemoa Street have been and continue to be assessed as part of Councils' ongoing management of its urban transportation network. The Council resolved:

1. THAT the Council defer the Landing Road Intersection and Capacity Improvements Projects at a cost of \$525,300 until 2009/10; and
2. THAT the Council include the sum of \$110,000 in 2008/09 Annual Plan to be funded by way of loan as Council's contribution towards a wider scoped investigation report expected to cost \$250,000 (\$50,000 Transit New Zealand and \$90,000 from Land Transport New Zealand) for the development of a long term roading network plan for the town and district including:
 - (a) capacity improvements to the Landing Road bridge and roundabout
 - (b) possibility of Transit New Zealand constructing a replacement bridge for the existing Peketahi Road/Rail Bridge, and relocating the new bridge to the sound end of Whakatane township
 - (c) arterial feeders from the town boundaries into the business and retail centres
 - (d) any other future improvements likely to be required to the towns roading network

Reason for Decision:

The Council have extended the investigation and study on the Landing Road Bridge project to include all the future improvements likely to be required to the Whakatane town roading network. The Council believes that a joint study with Transit New Zealand is appropriate. The Council recognises that the District Transportation Study provided a higher level framework and further refinement and detailed investigation work was now required.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Landing Road intersection

File Reference: 16.1.1.1

File Code: 73 *Hugh Lovelock*

Refer to page 369-370 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Hugh Lovelock - No mention of another bridge that would alleviate the Landing Road/Hinemoa Street intersection and service the proposed Piripai subdivision. Another bridge has been discussed by real visionaries 40 years ago. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The importance of a second bridge for Whakatane to improve route security in and out of the town is recognised by Council, and we have been working proactively with Transit New Zealand and the Regional Land Transport Committee to engage their support for the project also.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Landing Road intersection

File Reference: 16.1.1.1

File Code: 77 *Geoffrey Neilson*

Refer to page 371-372 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Geoffrey Neilson - The swimmers and landing road bridge jumpers need assistance rather than condemnation. Requests the WDC consult with the participants so as to create a safer option for them.

This could be:

1. A barrier on the walkway
2. A platform on the upstream side of the bridge
3. A diving platform not attached to the bridge
4. Something else! - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is aware of the issues surrounding jumping from and swimming around the Landing Road Bridge. We are keen to consult with participants as part of the process of finding an acceptable solution to the issue.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Landing Road intersection

File Reference: 17.3.1

Refer to page 647-648 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter McRae - Page 13:

It is excessive and illogical to budget \$525,300 for landing Road Intersection when the approach to the town is under review and a 'second bridge option' and alternative state highway route is to be considered and the need is not proven.

Propose this item be deleted to allow for better planning to take place. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

It will be a number of years yet before a second bridge is built and in the mean time the Landing Road roundabout is becoming an increasing congestion pinch point for commuter traffic in and out of town. Changes will also need to be made to this roundabout regardless of when and where a new bridge is built.

The Council resolved:

1. THAT the Council defer the Landing Road Intersection and Capacity Improvements Projects at a cost of \$525,300 until 2009/10; and
2. THAT the Council include the sum of \$110,000 in 2008/09 Annual Plan to be funded by way of loan as Council's contribution towards a wider scoped investigation report expected to cost \$250,000 (\$50,000 Transit New Zealand and \$90,000 from Land Transport New Zealand) for the development of a long term roading network plan for the town and district including:
 - (a) capacity improvements to the Landing Road bridge and roundabout
 - (b) possibility of Transit New Zealand constructing a replacement bridge for the existing Peketahi Road/Rail Bridge, and relocating the new bridge to the sound end of Whakatane township
 - (c) arterial feeders from the town boundaries into the business and retail centres
 - (d) any other future improvements likely to be required to the towns roading network

Reason for Decision:

The Council have extended the investigation and study on the Landing Road Bridge project to include all the future improvements likely to be required to the Whakatane town roading network. The Council believes that a joint study with Transit New Zealand is appropriate. The Council recognises that the District Transportation Study provided a higher level framework and further refinement and detailed investigation work was now required.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Landing Road intersection

File Reference: 17.3.1

File Code: 18 *Mousie & Graham Trezona*

Refer to page 373-374 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Mousie & Graham Trezona - Can see no reason to alter the Landing Road roundabout. The lights can be seen on the cars with ease. Money would be better off spent on improving water quality - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Noted. The Landing Road Roundabout is being altered more to help ease congestion than for safety reasons

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Landing Road intersection

File Reference: 17.3.1

File Code: 26 *Ian Lysaght, Lysaght Developments*

Refer to page 635-637 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lysaght Developments - The eyesore continues to exist on the northeast berm above the roundabout, despite the Council re-grassing the area many times. There is adequate parking by the Rowing Club for those wishing to use the picnic area at the reserve. Suggest the installation of round post bollards behind the footpath in similar fashion to what has been done on the north-western side of the Bridge approaches. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Access to this area and its presentation will be considered as part of the Landing Road Roundabout project.

The Council has included the sum of \$130,000 in the Draft budget for the development of a public convenience in the vicinity of the Rowing Club on Landing Road. The Council believes that this should not be deferred as the area is increasing being used for recreational activities and public toilet facilities are needed in this location. The location of the facilities (ramp and toilets) are limited by the availability of services and contour. The development of these facilities will be working in with an overall concept for the area.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: New bridge

File Reference: 16.1.1

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - Urgency with second bridge (access) as current situation is congested. Also provide mitigation for East Coast timber transport and inadequacy of Peketahi Bridge. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The importance of a second bridge for Whakatane to improve route security in and out of the town is recognised by Council, and we have been working proactively with Transit New Zealand and the Regional Land Transport Committee to engage their support for the project also.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: New bridge

File Reference: 16.1.1.1

File Code: 75 *Steven French*

Refer to page 632 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Steven French - Would like the second bridge addressed as a high priority, above the Town vision project. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The importance of a second bridge for Whakatane to improve route security in and out of the town is recognised by Council, and we have been working proactively with Transit New Zealand and the Regional Land Transport Committee to engage their support for the project also.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: New bridge

File Reference: 16.1.1

File Code: 45 *David Dowd*

Refer to page 649-652 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from David Dowd - Sitting of the next bridge over the Whakatane River

Would be delighted if the LTCCP figure is 50% of its final price.

The bridge is the most important and significant in planning for the future of the Whakatane District and the site of the new bridge needs to be decided on as a matter of urgency. The project should commence in the 2008/09 year.

The Pekatahi and Whakatane bridge are known to have scouring of their foundations. On Track may not be prepared to maintain the Pekatahi Bridge. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The importance of a second bridge for Whakatane to improve route security in and out of the town is recognised by Council, and we have been working proactively with Transit New Zealand and the Regional Land Transport Committee to engage their support for the project also.

Information on the location of the new bridge will be included in the District Transportation Study

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Seal extensions - Cemetery Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 89 *Alison Silcock*

Refer to page 378 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Alison Silcock - The dirt road access to the Murupara/Galatea cemetery is unacceptable. The road is narrow with limited parking. Costs at for internment are the same at the Galatea Cemetery and Whakatane cemetery and therefore we should expect similar access AKA a two lane tar sealed road. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Seal extensions - Cemetery Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 129 *May Bridgeman, Galatea Rural Woman*

Refer to page 375 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Galatea Rural Woman - The narrow metal road on cemetery road needs two lane sealing.

Currently the road is dusty with pot holes. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Seal extensions - Cemetery Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 70 *Awhina Chapman, Murupara Community Board*

Refer to page 376-377 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Murupara Community Board - The only unsealed road in Galatea which is used by a large number of vehicles. The Galatea Cemetery continues to serve the wider district of Galatea, Murupara and the surrounding area. It is situated several kilometres from the nearest church and the only means of getting to a cemetery for burial is on Cemetery Road. Road needs to be sealed urgently. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the

Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Seal extensions - Jones Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 102 *Aaron McConnachie*

Refer to page 282 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Aaron McConnachie - Requests that Jones rd be sealed for the following reasons:

- Road is always dusty in summer and messy in winter
- Vehicle must be washed each time he uses that road
- there are quite a few residents living on that road. -

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good

starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Seal extensions - Jones Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 118 *Frances Emeny*

Refer to page 283 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Frances Emeny - Requests the sealing of Jones Rd for the following reasons:

- it is full of corrugations making it dangerous.
- drifting dust is a health hazard, especially for asthmatics. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years - to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.

2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Seal extensions - Jones Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 117 *Susan Moore*

Refer to page 286 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Susan Moore - Requests that Jones Rd be returned to the top of the Sealing Priority List for the following reasons:

- Jones and Kope Drain roads cause immense amount of dust which is a health hazard as well dangerous combined with potholes and corrugations. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on

and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Seal extensions - Jones Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 99 *Lynley Moore*

Refer to page 379-380 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lynley Moore - Requests that Jones Rd be put further up the Sealing Priority list for the following reasons:

- Road is slippery in the wet and dusty when dry.
- Road is very close to town
- Not able to enjoy walking and biking on the road
- It is a dust nuisance and bad for health
- Corrugations and potholes reappear within days of the road being graded. It

has been graded twice this year already due to complaints.

- Amount of traffic has increased over the last 2-3 years. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Seal extensions - Jones Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 115 *Chris Moore*

Refer to page 310 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Chris Moore - Requests the sealing of Jones Rd for the following reasons:

- increase in traffic over last two years
- trucks on road cause noise, dust, potholes and corrugations
- road turns slippery when wet
- it is a health hazard and potential accident
- grading is ineffective. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has

been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Seal extensions - Jones Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 114 *Sharee Linsell*

Refer to page 285 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sharee Linsell - States that there are a lot of potholes and a dust problem on Jones Rd. Hopes the problem can be fixed. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Seal extensions - Jones Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 113 *Peter Morley*

Refer to page 288 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter Morley - Requests the Council to tarseal Jones Rd. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that

have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Seal extensions - Jones Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 111 *Ramona Tapu*

Refer to page 290 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ramona Tapu - Finds Jones Rd to be dangerous to drive on due to gravel. States that it would be easier and safer to use the road if it was sealed. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or

complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Seal extensions - Jones Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 110 *Howard Emeny*

Refer to page 284 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Howard Emeny - States that there is too much dust for most of the year on Jones Rd and there are potholes. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of

their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Seal extensions - Jones Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 109 *Darren Walker*

Refer to page 291 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Darren Walker - States that the condition of Jones Rd is not good for vehicles. There are too many potholes, the gravel is terrible to drive on and the dust is horrible. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Seal extensions - Jones Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 108 *Wendy Morley*

Refer to page 287 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Wendy Morley - Requests that Jones Rd be sealed for the following reasons:

- health hazard due to dust
- potholes and corrugations make it dangerous and damaging for vehicles. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the

Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Seal extensions - Jones Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 107 *Tia Wilson*

Refer to page 292 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Tia Wilson - Requests the sealing of Jones Rd for the following reasons:

- it is dusty in the summer and causes asthma problems for children
- it is very rough for riding bikes
- grading the road makes matters worse, especially if it is done just before it rains
- have to wash vehicles three times a day
- bumps in the road damage car shock absorbers - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Seal extensions - Jones Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 104 *Paul Riddell*

Refer to page 289 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Paul Riddell - Requests that Jones Rd be sealed for the following reasons:

- high use by trucks means that when wet the road turns into a mess
- there should be no metal roads so close to Whakatane. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roding

Programme: Seal extensions - Kope Drain Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 84 *Lauren Clayton*

Refer to page 306 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lauren Clayton - Requests that Kope Drain rd be moved up the Seal Extension priority list and has sent the same submission for a number of years.

Reasons for the request are:

- since horses have been grazed at the address they have developed skin irritations on their faces from the dust
- no point washing the car as it is covered in dust within half a day
- people are driving dangerously on the road, possibly using it as a rally track. This poses a danger for other road users. Quotes a recent incident in which she had a near miss. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and

economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Seal extensions - Kope Drain Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 82 *Angela Clayton*

Refer to page 298-302 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Angela Clayton - Requests that Jones/Kope Drain Rds' position on the District Seal Extension Priority list be reviewed and points reassessed when OPUS reassess the top 12 ranking roads this year. Queries why the roads still appear separately on the priority list.

The submitter contends that when the Council made the decision to combine Jones and Kope Drain rd on the priority list it should have reassessed the points allocation (perhaps even have combined the points).

Map is attached showing that properties affected include 10 houses as well as Paroa school, Whakatane growers, cycle/walking track, a cowshed and livestock. Submitter points out that not all these activities attract points, but should do.

Submitter does not like that impact on people only makes up 25% of the scoring while economic factors make up 65%. Does not believe this has an adequate focus on the health of families.

Points out that last year the Council stated that traffic volumes are checked regularly, but a check with OPUS revealed the last check on the roads in questions was in 2004.

Submitter requests that Councillors drive on the road prior to hearing this submission.

Attached: Map and copy of Seal Extension Priority List. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For

example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roding

Programme: Seal extensions - Kope Drain Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 96 *Jude Maurice*

Refer to page 309 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jude Maurice - Requests the urgent sealing of Kope Drain rd for the following reasons:

- Road surface is dangerous and corrugated. This and dust causes damage to vehicles.
- Dust drift to SH30
- Dust drift to Paroa School - possible cause of high percentage of asthmatics at that school.
- Traffic diverted from SH30 increases dust issues.
- Residents are fed up. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Seal extensions - Kope Drain Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 98 *Garry Maurice*

Refer to page 307-308 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Garry Maurice - Requests the urgent sealing of Kope Drain Rd for the following reasons:

- Road is close to a large town on the Coast
- dust makes cars dirty
- dust leads to wear and tear on local houses and vehicles.
- problem is exacerbated when traffic is diverted from SH30
- suspects that dust causes health and tress problems for residents. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Seal extensions - Kope Drain Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 86 *Matthew Brown*

Refer to page 303 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matthew Brown - requests that Kope Drain Rd be sealed. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that

have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Seal extensions - Kope Drain Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 85 *James Clayton*

Refer to page 305 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from James Clayton - requests that Jones/Kope Drain rd points on the District Seal Extension Priority List be combined and their placement on the list be reviewed when the top 12 ranking roads are reassessed this year.

Asks that the Council:

- count all affected houses, regardless of address
- provide a score reflecting community and horticultural activity. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Seal extensions - Kope Drain Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 91 *Barbara Brown*

Refer to page 293-297 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Barbara Brown - Requests that Kope drain/Jones rd be given a higher placing on the Seal Extension Priority List and notes the following concerns:

Traffic Impact

- traffic score needs updating - has not been updated for 4 years and there have been 5 changes in ownership, creating higher traffic flow.
- seasonal fluctuations - heavy vehicle movements during maize season
- dust increases when traffic detoured off SH30
- need to count traffic at both ends of the road

Exposure Factor

- 11 houses are affected
- also affected are: dairy herds and grazing stock; Whakatane Growers; caravan hire business; drainage contracting business; cycle track; a marae and a number of homes within 1km; Paroa school

Submitter notes Ministry for the Environment report which states that harmful dust particles can travel 1km.

Economic Assessment

- grading is not happening frequently enough and is currently overdue
- there have been numerous out of control incidents since 1990, corrugations in rd along with a dangerous bend increase the danger
- should dust drift across SH30 be a consideration?

Attached: Maps of Burt Rd and Kope Rd to illustrate that the submitter believes that Kope Drain rd is as high a priority as Burt. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the

database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Seal extensions - Kope Drain Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 87 *Caitlin Brown*

Refer to page 304 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Caitlin Brown - wants the road sealed due to dust issues. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Seal extensions - Kope Drain Road

File Reference: 16.6.7

File Code: 10 *Neal Yeates*

Refer to page 281 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Neal Yeates - Roads are busy as town is growing. Large potholes, dust covering everything including school playing fields. Road only 1.2km - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All section of unsealed road within the district are recorded in a seal extension database which prioritises and ranks each section in accordance with certain criteria such as traffic volume, number of dwellings, land use, dust effects and economic benefits. Currently there are 148 road sections recorded in the database totalling 214km in length. Councils budget for seal extensions will vary year by year depending on availability of funding. Currently Jones Road and Kope Drain Road are targeted for sealing in about 2020. However a review of their ranking is undertaken every three years to validate the ranking with any changes in the criteria.

It is acknowledged that gravel roads can be more difficult to drive and cycle on and do require additional care to be taken by the driver/cyclist.

Unsealed roads are maintained on an as-required basis from inspections and/or complaints

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Seal Extension programme be reviewed as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review for refinements to the prioritisation calculation.
2. THAT the submitters from Kope Drain Road and Jones Road be advised that have been placed together on the seal extension schedule and currently sit at Number 53 on the list and are indicated for sealing in about 2020. The Council advises that a financial contribution from the submitters towards the cost of seal extension for their roads would move their priority listing.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that while the existing Seal Extension Policy is a good starting framework there is room for improvement and refinement. For example, the Council recognises that the Policy only considers the number of houses on the subject road when assessing dust nuisance however the submitters have pointed out that there are dwellings, businesses and a school on adjacent roads that are affected.

The request by the Council to combine Kope Drain and Jones Road together has been actioned.

The Council will review the Seal Extension Policy every three years as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan review process. The top 10 road sections on

the list are reassessed each year to confirm their positions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Transportation study

File Reference: 16.1.1

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Ensure Ngati Awa is consulted about proposed Transport Networks, particularly rail corridors and their return to original land owners. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

Ngati Awa's submission is gratefully received, and we will look forward to discussing future proposed Transportation Networks that will be out for public consultation shortly. The rail corridors play an important role in a number of strategy's, particularly the Walking and Cycling strategy, we will also look forward to discussing this opportunity in the near future.

Orr/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Transportation study

File Reference: 16.1.1

File Code: 61 *Bronwen Foxx, Disabilities Resource Centre Trust*

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - (p.13) With the expected rise in Whakatane's aging population, mobility device usage will rise correspondingly. Pedestrian ways will need to be able to accommodate the increasing mobility device usage. There could be an increased need to formulate a process for assessing the capability of mobility scooters.

The expected increase in mobility device usage would benefit from a bylaw to lesson the risk on pedestrians.

(p.38) That the subsidised affordable public transportation system for the district is also an accessible transport system for disabled people. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Noted. We recognise that the increasing use of mobility scooters will need to be considered in future transportation planning and in any proposed works. The New Zealand Standard for footpaths does cater for mobility scooters.

Your submission on subsidised public transport has been referred to Environment Bay of Plenty.

Hanlen/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Transportation study

File Reference: 16.1.1

File Code: 43 *Kim Harris, Transit New Zealand*

Refer to page 277-279 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Transit New Zealand - Supports the completion of the study and would like to be involved in any study of alternative routes or bridge options for Whakatane. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

Transits support is welcomed and we look forward to our joint collaboration on these matters continuing

Clark/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Transportation study

File Reference: 16.1.1

File Code: 72 *Eileen Bell*

Refer to page 322-330 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eileen Bell - Investigate establishment of a roundabout at the junction of The Strand, Wairere Street and Toroa Street - increase in traffic flow would be improved by roundabout
Establish a footpath along Strand East side of Army Hall - It becomes a muddy pathway in wet weather and winter. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Thank you for your submissions. The need for a footpath must be assessed. The area at the junction of The Strand, Wairere Street and Toroa Street area has been acknowledged as a safety issue for some time however whether a roundabout is installed in this area will be determined by the outcome of the Town Vision project.

Jarrett/Johnston

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Undergrounding of power lines

File Reference: 16.6.3

File Code: 101 *Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board*

Refer to page 628-631 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohope Beach Community Board - (p.13) Object to Whakatane District Council changing its underlying philosophy in not making funds available for the undergrounding of power lines. There is a demonstrated need in terms of traffic safety for the immediate undergrounding of power lines in Pohutukawa Ave, Ohope. The past arrangement has been a 3 way shared commitment and if WDC unilaterally moves away from this agreement it will undermine trust with the other two parties. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Council does not include funding for the Streetlight Undergrounding programme in the Annual Plan for 2008/09; and
2. THAT staff make all efforts to obtain appropriate funding from the East Bay Energy Trust for the funding of the Street lighting Underground programme; and
3. THAT the staff pursue a change in the cost sharing arrangements and negotiate with all parties to extend this to include funding from Telecom. for broadband capacity.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that the undergrounding of street lighting was a public benefit that should be paid for by East Bay Energy Trust (as it met the criteria of their Trust Deed) and Horizon Energy. The Council believes that Telecom should be invited to participate because of the benefits this would bring to the extension of their broadband capacity.

Shearer/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Undergrounding of power lines

File Reference: 16.6.3

File Code: 12 *Dene Biddlecombe, Horizon Energy*

Refer to page 311-313 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Horizon Energy - Concerned that the Annual Plan proposes not to fund any undergrounding of power lines this year. Policy agreed in 1997 that a third of the costs of undergrounding is funded by Councils, a third by Eastern Bay Energy Trust and third by Horizon. Ask the Council to reconsider not funding this year as even a one year gap will cause disruption to the district undergrounding planning and will be detrimental to the district. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Council does not include funding for the Streetlight Undergrounding programme in the Annual Plan for 2008/09; and
2. THAT staff make all efforts to obtain appropriate funding from the East Bay Energy Trust for the funding of the Street lighting Underground programme; and
3. THAT the staff pursue a change in the cost sharing arrangements and negotiate with all parties to extend this to include funding from Telecom. for broadband capacity.

Reason for Decision:

The Council believes that the undergrounding of street lighting was a public benefit that should be paid for by East Bay Energy Trust (as it met the criteria of their Trust Deed) and Horizon Energy. The Council believes that Telecom should be invited to participate because of the benefits this would bring to the extension of their broadband capacity.

Shearer/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roding

Programme: Walking and cycling strategy

File Reference: 16.6.19

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - Continue support of the cycleway in conjunction with the Youth Council - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Noted. The Council is happy to meet with the Youth Council to discuss.

Jarrett/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Walking and cycling strategy

File Reference: 16.6.19

File Code: 64 *Vicky Richards, Pathway 2 Health*

Refer to page 333-334 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Pathway 2 Health - Would like to continue to promote cycle safety and fully utilise any further cycle/walking opportunities that arise. Support Warren Cole pedestrian and cycle path and proposed work on footpaths in rural areas and Matata. Recommend that the Awakeri cycle/walkway be sealed and further extended to Edgecumbe and Kawerau. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The installation of cycle friendly infrastructure and further footpaths and cycleways is all part and parcel of the Walking and Cycling Strategy. The Council recognises that there are increasing numbers of people who are choosing to cycle or walk more often for commuting as well as for recreation, and with increasing fuel prices and the high social and health benefits of walking and cycling the numbers are expected to continue increasing. The Council believes it is a wise community investment to provide better facilities for this growing section of our community.

The Council recognises that the Awakeri to Board Mills rail trail is a feature project identified in the Walking and Cycling Strategy. The Council is presently investigation options with Transit New Zealand and landowners to progress the trail. Transit New Zealand have stated their intention to work with the Council towards facilities that may be developed alongside the State Highway network.

The Council are currently funding the Warren Cole Walkway as follows: Total cost \$416,000 at least \$228,000 or 55% is being subsidised by Land Transport New Zealand (LTNZ), with the balance of \$188,000 or 45% from Harbour Fund reserves. The Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway which originally had been budgeted under the Parks and Reserves activity, has been moved to Transportation activities following the Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy last year.

The Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy made it possible for the Council to qualify and apply for funding from Land Transport New Zealand, saving ratepayers money. The remainder of the cost of the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway will be funded from the Harbour Fund reserve which means that there is no rating impact from proceeding with this project.

Hanlen/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems**Activity: Roothing**

Programme: Walking and cycling strategy

File Reference: 16.6.19

File Code: 101 *Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board*

Refer to page 628-631 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohope Beach Community Board - (p.13) Questions the affordability of the Warren Cole pedestrian and cycle facilities along with the walking and cycling strategy in this financial year. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

THAT the Council advises submitters that although the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway is budgeted to cost \$416,000 to build at least \$228,000 or 55% is being subsidised by Land Transport New Zealand (LTNZ), with the balance of \$188,000 or 45% from Harbour Fund reserves. The Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway which originally had been budgeted under the Parks and Reserves activity, has been moved to Transportation activities following the Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy last year.

Reason for Decision:

The Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy made it possible for the Council to qualify and apply for funding from Land Transport New Zealand, saving ratepayers money. The remainder of the cost of the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway will be funded from the Harbour Fund reserve which means that there is no rating impact from proceeding with this project.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roading

Programme: Walking and cycling strategy

File Reference: 16.6.9

File Code: 44 *Barry Marshall, Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc*

Refer to page 433-434 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc - Resolve/adopt policy to not close off any further pedestrian/cycle access ways which will deny access and disadvantage future generations and contradict and be inconsistent with the recently adopted walking and cycling strategy. - be declined.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is unable to give the assurance the submitter requests in terms of not closing off any further accessways as the Local Government Act 1974 provides for applications to be lodged to close accessways.

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems**Activity: Roothing**

Programme: Walking and cycling strategy

File Reference: 16.6.19

File Code: 31 *Ted Hipkiss*

Refer to page 399 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ted Hipkiss - Warren Cole Cycleway- \$187,200 or \$137,000 - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

THAT the Council advises submitters that although the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway is budgeted to cost \$416,000 to build at least \$228,000 or 55% is being subsidised by Land Transport New Zealand (LTNZ), with the balance of \$188,000 or 45% from Harbour Fund reserves. The Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway which originally had been budgeted under the Parks and Reserves activity, has been moved to Transportation activities following the Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy last year.

Reason for Decision:

The Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy made it possible for the Council to qualify and apply for funding from Land Transport New Zealand, saving ratepayers money. The remainder of the cost of the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway will be funded from the Harbour Fund reserve which means that there is no rating impact from proceeding with this project.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems**Activity: Roothing**

Programme: Walking and cycling strategy

File Reference: 16.1.1.1

File Code: 60 *Johan & Trini Groeveveld*

Refer to page 318-320 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Johan & Trini Groeveveld - - Whakatane needs more cycle friendly infrastructure. Bike stands, secure lock up facilities, cycle ways and lanes on roads

- Consider a foot/cycle bridge connecting Piripai/Coastlands with Whakatane CBD

- Funds that may already be earmarked for beautification projects at the Heads would be better spent on building cycle friendly infrastructure to get there
- Cycling to the CBD should be promoted as a viable option to building more car parking facilities
- Encouraging cycling has positive health, environmental and economic benefits for residents. It will build community resilience to spikes in oil prices by providing residents with a viable transportation option.

Also suggest community bicycles to the retail and business sectors of our communities - available for use by communities for a small rental fee. Stolen bicycles recovered by Police but not claimed could be used for this purpose. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The installation of cycle friendly infrastructure and further footpaths and cycleways is all part and parcel of the Walking and Cycling Strategy. The Director Works and Services will be discussing the safety of the Landing Road Bridge for cyclists with Transit New Zealand. The Council recognises that there are increasing numbers of people who are choosing to cycle or walk more often for commuting as well as for recreation, and with increasing fuel prices and the high social and health benefits of walking and cycling the numbers are expected to continue increasing. The Council believes it is a wise community investment to provide better facilities for this growing section of our community.

THAT the Council advises submitters that although the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway is budgeted to cost \$416,000 to build at least \$228,000 or 55% is being subsidised by Land Transport New Zealand (LTNZ), with the balance of \$188,000 or 45% from Harbour Fund reserves. The Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway which originally had been budgeted under the Parks and Reserves activity, has been moved to Transportation activities following the Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy last year.

Reason for Decision:

The Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy made it possible for the Council to qualify and apply for funding from Land Transport New Zealand, saving ratepayers money. The remainder of the cost of the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway will be funded from the Harbour Fund reserve which means that there is no rating impact from proceeding with this project.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Rooding

Programme: Walking and cycling strategy

File Reference: 16.6.19

File Code: 72 *Eileen Bell*

Refer to page 322-330 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eileen Bell - Support establishment of cycle paths especially through Taneatua, Rangitaiki Plains area. Large areas of flat land, would be good to be extended throughout region. Safe cycling encourages cyclist and improves the environment. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The installation of cycle friendly infrastructure and further footpaths and cycleways is all part and parcel of the Walking and Cycling Strategy. The Council recognises that there are increasing numbers of people who are choosing to cycle or walk more often for commuting as well as for recreation, and with increasing fuel prices and the high social and health benefits of walking and cycling the numbers are expected to continue increasing. The Council believes it is a wise community investment to provide better facilities for this growing section of our community. The establishment of cycle paths through Taneatua and Rangitaiki Plains area have been included as future options to explore as part of the Councils Walking and Cycling Strategy.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Walking and cycling strategy

File Reference: 16.6.19

File Code: 43 *Kim Harris, Transit New Zealand*

Refer to page 277-279 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Transit New Zealand - Supportive of the strategy and subsequent major infrastructure developments to increase walking and cycling facilities. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

Noted with thanks

Bonne/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Walking and cycling strategy

File Reference: 16.6.19

File Code: 29 *Wayne Werder, Sport BOP*

Refer to page 340-343 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sport BOP - Extremely supportive of the Warren Cole pedestrian and cycle path, the proposed work on footpaths in rural areas

and in Matata, and the development of the Ohope Road pedestrian and cycle facilities. Wishes to highlight the sealing of the Awakeri cycle/walkway as a priority in the Annual Plan. The Council needs to consider the accessibility of walking and cycleways for people with disabilities in all upgrading and infrastructural development. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Staff are happy to meet and discuss accessibility issues with Sport BOP. The installation of cycle friendly infrastructure and further footpaths and cycleways is all part and parcel of the Walking and Cycling Strategy. The Council recognises that there are increasing numbers of people who are choosing to cycle or walk more often for commuting as well as for recreation, and with increasing fuel prices and the high social and health benefits of walking and cycling the numbers are expected to continue increasing. The Council believes it is a wise community investment to provide better facilities for this growing section of our community.

The Council recognises that the Awakeri to Board Mills rail trail is a feature project identified in the Walking and Cycling Strategy. The Council is presently investigation options with Transit New Zealand and landowners to progress the trail. Transit New Zealand have stated their intention to work with the Council towards facilities that may be developed alongside the State Highway network.

The Council are currently funding the Warren Cole Walkway as follows: Total cost \$416,000 at least \$228,000 or 55% is being subsidised by Land Transport New Zealand (LTNZ), with the balance of \$188,000 or 45% from Harbour Fund reserves. The Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway which originally had been budgeted under the Parks and Reserves activity, has been moved to Transportation activities following the Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy last year.

The Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy made it possible for the Council to qualify and apply for funding from Land Transport New Zealand, saving ratepayers money. The remainder of the cost of the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway will be funded from the Harbour Fund reserve which means that there is no rating impact from proceeding with this project.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Rooding

Programme: Walking and cycling strategy

File Reference: 16.6.19

File Code: 119 *Aporina Chapman, The Eastern Bay of Plenty Physical Activity and Nutrition Group*

Refer to page 314-316 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from The Eastern Bay of Plenty Physical Activity and Nutrition Group - Would like to see an increased focus on cycle safety year

round and enhance the partnerships between the bike week partners and the WDC so that active transport becomes an area of priority.

Supportive:

The Warren Cole pedestrian and cycle path

The proposes work on footpaths in rural areas and Matata

The development of the Ohope Road pedestrian and cycle facilities

As there is a need to provide safe walk and cycle ways in order to be able to effectively promote walking and cycling.

Accessibility to walk and cycle ways for people with disabilities is of key importance and this needs to be considered in upgrading and infrastructural development.

Sealing for the Awakeri cycle/walkway should become a priority of WDC in the 08/09 annual plan. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Projects that arise from the Walking and Cycling Strategy and initiatives from the Road Safety Committee will reflect the focus on cycle safety that Council shares with the submitter. The Council is happy to consider ways in which the partnership between Council and bike week partners can be enhanced.

The Council recognises that the Awakeri to Board Mills rail trail is a feature project identified in the Walking and Cycling Strategy. The Council is presently investigation options with Transit New Zealand and landowners to progress the trail. Transit New Zealand have stated their intention to work with the Council towards facilities that may be developed alongside the State Highway network.

The Council are currently funding the Warren Cole Walkway as follows: Total cost \$416,000 at least \$228,000 or 55% is being subsidised by Land Transport New Zealand (LTNZ), with the balance of \$188,000 or 45% from Harbour Fund reserves. The Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway which originally had been budgeted under the Parks and Reserves activity, has been moved to Transportation activities following the Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy last year.

The Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy made it possible for the Council to qualify and apply for funding from Land Transport New Zealand, saving ratepayers money. The remainder of the cost of the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway will be funded from the Harbour Fund reserve which means that there is no rating impact from proceeding with this project.

Jarrett/Johnston

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Walking and cycling strategy

File Reference: 16.6.9

Refer to page 321 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jason McDonald - Wish to see the alley way between 6 and 4 Romana Place through to Thompson Crescent closed down. Some of the goings on in the alley are a hindrance to the work done at Bethel House. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

This matter will be referred to staff for consideration. Staff will contact you and advise you of the procedure for closing alleyways under the Local Government Act 1974.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Rooding

Programme: Walking and cycling strategy

File Reference: 16.1.1.1

File Code: 68 *Anthony Clyde, Electric Bikes NZ Ltd*

Refer to page 317 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Electric Bikes NZ Ltd - Would like to highlight the councils need to future proof Whakatane's state highways for sustainable transport. Submitter sees great potential for the town to be cycle friendly. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The installation of cycle friendly infrastructure and further footpaths and cycleways is all part and parcel of the Walking and Cycling Strategy. The Director Works and Services will be discussing the safety of the Landing Road Bridge for cyclists with Transit New Zealand. The Council recognises that there are increasing numbers of people who are choosing to cycle or walk more often for commuting as well as for recreation, and with increasing fuel prices and the high social and health benefits of walking and cycling the numbers are expected to continue increasing. The Council believes it is a wise community investment to provide better facilities for this growing section of our community.

The Council after receiving public submissions on the Walking/Cycling Strategy in July 2007 set up a working party involving members of the walking/cycling community to assist the Council meet its objectives of the Walking/Cycling Strategy.

The Council also recommends that the submitter makes a submission to Transit New Zealand's Annual Plan as the road controlling authority for State Highways.

Jarrett/Johnston

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Walking and cycling strategy

File Reference: 16.6.19

File Code: 105 *John Hauwaho, Youth Coucil*

Refer to page 452-454 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Youth Coucil - The increase in petrol prices will have a bad effect on the ability of people to get around the district, especially those in rural communities.

Would like the Council to investigate alternative forms of transport and increasing the bus service throughout the district.

- The WDYC supports the increase of cycle ways and a ring road for cyclists around Whakatane
- They also suggest that Council supports the use of bikes and the possibility of a bike hire system. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Increasing fuel costs will force people to make more decisions to carpool, cycle or walk, or to use public transport. Environment Bay of Plenty funds public transport in the district and Council will be discussing with them the increasing levels of service in public transport that will be required as demand increases.

The installation of cycle friendly infrastructure and further footpaths and cycleways is all part and parcel of the Walking and Cycling Strategy. The Council recognises that there are increasing numbers of people who are choosing to cycle or walk more often for commuting as well as for recreation, and with increasing fuel prices and the high social and health benefits of walking and cycling the numbers are expected to continue increasing. The Council believes it is a wise community investment to provide better facilities for this growing section of our community

Hanlen/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Roothing

Programme: Warren Cole pedestrian and cycle facilities

File Reference: 16.6.19

File Code: 53 *Derek Spratt, Federated Farmers BOP*

Refer to page 335-339 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Federated Farmers BOP - Question why the Warren Cole cycleway/shared path is in Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries as well as

Transportation group of activities. The Transportation Networks and Systems group of activities indicates that it has been removed from Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

THAT the Council advises submitters that although the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway is budgeted to cost \$416,000 to build at least \$228,000 or 55% is being subsidised by Land Transport New Zealand (LTNZ), with the balance of \$188,000 or 45% from Harbour Fund reserves. The Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway which originally had been budgeted under the Parks and Reserves activity, has been moved to Transportation activities following the Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy last year.

Reason for Decision:

The Council's adoption of the Walking and Cycling Strategy made it possible for the Council to qualify and apply for funding from Land Transport New Zealand, saving ratepayers money. The remainder of the cost of the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycleway will be funded from the Harbour Fund reserve which means that there is no rating impact from proceeding with this project.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Road Safety

Programme: Education

File Reference: 16.6.19

File Code: 29 *Wayne Werder, Sport BOP*

Refer to page 340-343 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sport BOP - Sport BOP would like to see an increased focus on cycle safety year round and proposes that the relationship between Sport BOP and Whakatane District Council is enhanced to ensure that Active Transport becomes a priority that the two organisations work on collaboratively. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Noted. Staff are keen to meet with Sport BOP and discuss collaboration.

Johnston/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Road Safety

Programme: Road safety audit

File Reference: 16.3.7

File Code: 32 *Rangipaea Paul*

Refer to page 641 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rangipaea Paul - We see two round-a-bouts in Whakatane, nothing in Te Teko. Where is the fairness in that? Cars and trucks are still speeding from Mapou corner to the Te Teko township, slow down, no more deaths!! Look after us. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has resolved to defer the Te Teko roundabout project for a year and will decide as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan (LTCCP) 2009-2019 whether or not to keep the project in the LTCCP.

The submitters concerns with speeds will be passed to the Road Safety Co-ordinator although the Council notes that the road through Te Teko is a State Highway and is administered by Transit New Zealand.

Reason for Decision:

The Council did not signal the removal of the Te Teko Roundabout project in the draft Annual Plan 2008/09 and there were no submissions asking for its removal therefore the Council believes that it is a transparent and fair approach to defer the project for a year and decide as part of the Long-term Council Community Plan (LTCCP) 2009-2019 whether or not to keep project in the LTCCP.

The road through Te Teko is a State Highway and submissions should be redirected to the Transit New Zealand Annual Plan Consultation process.

Your concerns about speeding will be passed on to Council's Road Safety Co-ordinator, Maurice Tooke.

His Worship the Mayor/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Road Safety

Programme: Road safety audit

File Reference: 17.5.2

File Code: 88 *Pourotu Ngaropo, Iwi Liaison Committee*

Refer to page 94-95 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Iwi Liaison Committee - Concerns about the speed of traffic going through Taneatua and the impacts on residential housing. Submits that an alternative route be along the old roadway from the overhead bridge to the railway station on Station Road. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The main road through Taneatua is a State Highway and as such is managed by Transit New Zealand. The Council agrees with the alternative route outlined by the submitter. The Council resolved to request Transit to investigate the use of the old railway line as a bypass route for Taneatua for State Highway. The railway lines are owned by Ontrack and the Council is attempting to facilitate negotiations between Ontrack and Transit New Zealand and will continue to apply pressure. The Council made a submission to Transit to get rid of the overhead bridge.

Shearer/His Worship the Mayor

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Parking enforcement

Programme: Parking enforcement

File Reference: 26.4.1

File Code: 35 *Graham Hall, Whakatane Returned Services Association*

Refer to page 381 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Returned Services Association - Request that Council consider increasing the parking time limit around the RSA from 60 minutes to 80 minutes so that members and others using the area have more time to use the facilities at the RSA and return to their car in time. - be declined.

Reason for the Decision:

At present the RSA has an existing carpark on their land catering for 26 vehicles. The Council has just completed a full review of parking restrictions in the CBD as part of developing the Whakatane Car Parking Strategy. No change was made to the existing restriction as a result of this more holistic review.

Bonne/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Parking enforcement

Programme: Parking enforcement

File Reference: 26.4.1

File Code: 122 *GF Van Beek*

Refer to page 405-406 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from GF Van Beek - Keep parking free in the CBD. Any proposal that reduces the availability of parking should be avoided. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Councils decisions on the Whakatane CBD Parking Strategy (adopted in April

2008), did not preclude paid parking but made no firm decision about where or when any extension to the current paid parking area may occur. Further investigation was requested by the Council, acknowledging the wide public feedback it received on this issue.

Bonne/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Transport Networks and Systems

Activity: Airports

Programme: Whakatane Airport

File Reference: 12.1.1

File Code: 31 *Ted Hipkiss*

Refer to page of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ted Hipkiss - Runway Reseal- \$90,000 or \$64,000 - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

\$90,000 as shown in the table on page 36 of Draft Annual Plan.

Hanlen/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Drinking Water Assistance Programme

File Reference: 20.8.1

File Code: 88 *Pourotu Ngaropo, Iwi Liaison Committee*

Refer to page 94-95 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Iwi Liaison Committee - The Iwi Liaison committee wish to be fully informed on all activities relating to the current and future water supplies in the area and in particular to areas that impact on Maori/whanau/hapu/Iwi e.g. Ruatoki, Ruatahuna. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Public consultations will be carried out when significant changes/upgrades will be carried out to water supply schemes. IWI Liaison Committee will be advised of these public consultation meetings through Kaitakawaenga Maori (Maori Liaison Officer). The staff will advise the IWI Liaison Committee on significant activities relating to Ruatoki, Waimana, Taneatua, Murupara and Te Mahoe existing water supplies.

Johnston/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Drinking water quality

File Reference: 20.10.1

File Code: 65 *Ian Snowsill*

Refer to page 385-386 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ian Snowsill - Request that the WDC take appropriate action by the amendment of or deletion/addition, to remedy: Ohope water supply: substandard in both quality and delivery - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The present water treatment plant is capable of producing drinking water to meet the Drinking Water Standards. The Council also has prepared an improvement plan for Whakatane water treatment plant taking into account future requirements. An upgrade strategy to Whakatane and Ohope water supplies will be implemented to improve the Level of Service taking into account the future requirements. The Council will be considering water upgrade strategies for water schemes in Whakatane and Ohope in September 2008. These reports will address pressures and related issues at Port Ohope. The high priority works at Port Ohope will be undertaken before Christmas 2008.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Drinking water quality

File Reference: 20.9.1

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - Support for water supply development to improve water quality as a matter of urgency. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Several measures have been taken to address drinking water quality. Some of them are:

Options study is underway for alternative water supply for Whakatane and Ohope supplies and improvement plan for Whakatane water treatment plant including investigation of shifting the water abstraction point further upstream. The Council resolved that a sum of \$130,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Whakatane Water Supply to be funded by way of loan:

- to establish a pilot bore to identify an alternative water source for Whakatane (\$80,000)

- for intake investigation and design (\$50,000)

Reason for Decision:

The Council is aware that the recent incident of high salinity in the Whakatane and Ohope drinking water has prompted the need to urgently look at alternative options for providing high quality water. An initial report has been compiled outlining the findings of a desk top study carried out to identify possible ground water sources close to Whakatane. The report recommends that further investigations be progressed towards establishing a pilot bore closer to Whakatane. The Council recognises that if a suitable option is not found, the Council will need to shift the water treatment plant intake point further upstream.

Public Health Risk Management Plans have been prepared for water supply schemes.

Actions have been taken to secure maximum funding from the Government's drinking Water Assistance Programme to improve water quality.

His Worship the Mayor/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Drinking water quality

File Reference:

File Code: 107 *Tia Wilson*

Refer to page 292 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Tia Wilson - States that water pressure is very poor and questions whether glasshouses are taking the water. Queries whether glasshouses are allowed holding tanks. States that the water is very salty. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Whakatane Growers are only allowed to take water for commercial purposes after 10pm at night. The Council will monitor their consumption.

A draft water upgrade strategy for Whakatane has been prepared using a network model. The network model study identified that the water main lines in Poroporo area are undersized.

A water main upgrade programme in the area will be included in the next LTCCP starting from year 2009/10.

The Council resolved:

THAT a sum of \$130,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Whakatane Water Supply to be funded by way of loan:

- to establish a pilot bore to identify an alternative water source for Whakatane

(\$80,000)

- for intake investigation and design (\$50,000)

Reason for Decision:

The Council is aware that the recent incident of high salinity in the Whakatane and Ohope drinking water has prompted the need to urgently look at alternative options for providing high quality water. An initial report has been compiled outlining the findings of a desk top study carried out to identify possible ground water sources close to Whakatane. The report recommends that further investigations be progressed towards establishing a pilot bore closer to Whakatane. The Council recognises that if a suitable option is not found, the Council will need to shift the water treatment plant intake point further upstream.

Chambers/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Drinking water quality

File Reference: 20.9.1

File Code: 72 *Eileen Bell*

Refer to page 322-330 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eileen Bell - Support Council moving the source of intake of water to the town supply. If located on Southern side of Blacks Farm, on Taneatua road, would be minimal cost - less than repairing salt water damage. The cost would be a one off for ratepayers and would have a more pleasant taste. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT a sum of \$130,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Whakatane Water Supply to be funded by way of loan:

- to establish a pilot bore to identify an alternative water source for Whakatane (\$80,000)
- for intake investigation and design (\$50,000)

Reason for Decision:

The Council is aware that the recent incident of high salinity in the Whakatane and Ohope drinking water has prompted the need to urgently look at alternative options for providing high quality water. An initial report has been compiled outlining the findings of a desk top study carried out to identify possible ground water sources close to Whakatane. The report recommends that further investigations be progressed towards establishing a pilot bore closer to Whakatane. The Council recognises that if a suitable option is not found, the Council will need to shift the water treatment plant intake point further upstream.

Group of Activities: Water-related Services**Activity: Water Supply**

Programme: Drinking water quality

File Reference: 20.9.1

File Code: 56 *Bruce Coppel*

Refer to page 389 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Bruce Coppel - Remedy the salt water infiltration by using a floating barge type water intake so that only surface level water is drawn for treating and consumption. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council thanks the submitter for their remedy and advises that it is working on a short term and a long term solution to the problem. The short term solution is to abstract water via a floating intake in the river since salinity of water increases with the depth. This option will be implemented immediately.

There are two options for a long term solution. Option 1 is to abstract water from a ground water source close to Whakatane. Investigations on alternative water sources around Whakatane is underway. Option 2 is to shift the water intake further upstream of the river to a suitable location.

Depending on the outcome of the investigations a solution will be included in the future capital work programme.

The Council resolved that a sum of \$130,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Whakatane Water Supply to be funded by way of loan.

- to establish a pilot bore to identify an alternative water source for Whakatane (\$80,000)
- for intake investigation and design (\$50,000)

Reason for Decision:

The Council is aware that the recent incident of high salinity in the Whakatane and Ohope drinking water has prompted the need to urgently look at alternative options for providing high quality water. An initial report has been compiled outlining the findings of a desk top study carried out to identify possible ground water sources close to Whakatane. The report recommends that further investigations be progressed towards establishing a pilot bore closer to Whakatane. The Council recognises that if a suitable option is not found, the Council will need to shift the water treatment plant intake point further upstream.

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Drinking water quality

File Reference: 20.9.1

File Code: 49 *J.J.T Baker*

Refer to page 622 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from J.J.T Baker - This problem will not go away and is an increasing cost.

The Council could help with the supply of water tanks for each property. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

THAT a sum of \$130,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Whakatane Water Supply to be funded by way of loan:

- to establish a pilot bore to identify an alternative water source for Whakatane (\$80,000)
- for intake investigation and design (\$50,000)

Reason for Decision:

The Council is aware that the recent incident of high salinity in the Whakatane and Ohope drinking water has prompted the need to urgently look at alternative options for providing high quality water. An initial report has been compiled outlining the findings of a desk top study carried out to identify possible ground water sources close to Whakatane. The report recommends that further investigations be progressed towards establishing a pilot bore closer to Whakatane. The Council recognises that if a suitable option is not found, the Council will need to shift the water treatment plant intake point further upstream.

Chambers/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Drinking water quality

File Reference: 20.9.1

File Code: 54 *Rebecca Hunter*

Refer to page 383-384 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rebecca Hunter - Regarding the proposed works on improving drinking water quality to areas within the district:

1. That artesian water supply be looked into further this year. This should include any known and already used sources of artesian water. It would also be great to establish a point where any member of the community can go to freely

fill bottles for the purpose of drinking.

2. That bulk buying of rain water tanks be costed as an option for residents, if it is ascertained that the air quality in Whakatane is high enough.

3. That under the New Zealand drinking water standards, drinking water drawn from the Whakatane river have a priority 2b status placed on Pentachlorophenol (PCP), a carcinogen known to be present in high concentrations within the Whakatane River catchment. The status of priority 2b would mean that PCP is consistently tested for at intervals specified by the Ministry of Health given PCP's properties. This would allow for changes in PCP levels to be detected in the drinking water after and during weather anomalies, such as flood, drought, heavy rain, high tides etc. such consistent monitoring may also give Council information on other land use/land activity (such as earthworks) and any impact on PCP levels. Presently PCP is only tested for once every five years in the Whakatane water supply. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

THAT a sum of \$130,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Whakatane Water Supply to be funded by way of loan:

- to establish a pilot bore to identify an alternative water source for Whakatane (\$80,000)
- for intake investigation and design (\$50,000)

Reason for Decision:

The Council is aware that the recent incident of high salinity in the Whakatane and Ohope drinking water has prompted the need to urgently look at alternative options for providing high quality water. An initial report has been compiled outlining the findings of a desk top study carried out to identify possible ground water sources close to Whakatane. The report recommends that further investigations be progressed towards establishing a pilot bore closer to Whakatane. The Council recognises that if a suitable option is not found, the Council will need to shift the water treatment plant intake point further upstream.

2. Rain water is not an option for urban communities as rain water can be contaminated from different sources.

3. The only priority 2 determinant in Whakatane water is Fluoride. Test are being carried out according to the Drinking Water Standards to monitor the Fluoride levels. The Council supports the Regional Council's aquatic habitat and biota monitoring results in order to assess if there is any deleterious effects on the Whakatane River's aquatic ecosystem.

Chambers/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Drinking water quality

File Reference: 20.9.1

File Code: 9 *Tamzin Blair*

Refer to page 382 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Tamzin Blair - Due to the recent salty drinking water submitter has formed an action group SOS (Sick of Salt). A petition will also be given to Council when submitter speaks to their submission.

This submission and the petition would like to see Whakatane's water intake moved to further up stream or find another uncontaminated water source. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

THAT a sum of \$130,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Whakatane Water Supply to be funded by way of loan:

- to establish a pilot bore to identify an alternative water source for Whakatane (\$80,000)
- for intake investigation and design (\$50,000)

Reason for Decision:

The Council is aware that the recent incident of high salinity in the Whakatane and Ohope drinking water has prompted the need to urgently look at alternative options for providing high quality water. An initial report has been compiled outlining the findings of a desk top study carried out to identify possible ground water sources close to Whakatane. The report recommends that further investigations be progressed towards establishing a pilot bore closer to Whakatane. The Council recognises that if a suitable option is not found, the Council will need to shift the water treatment plant intake point further upstream.

The Council acknowledges the petition tabled by Ms Blair at the Council meeting on 22 May 2008

Chambers/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Matata

File Reference: 20.13.1

File Code: 127 *Bryan Bourke, Matata Residents Association*

Refer to page 139-150 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matata Residents Association - Cannot see how much water rates are likely to be in Matata for 2008/09. Wishes to be advised

as to what this money is to be spent on. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The funds collected through the water rates are only utilised to operate and maintain the water supply system in Matata to the required Level of Service.

2007/08 average water rates for Matata were \$353.26. 2008/09 average is \$456.05. Annual Plan/Byways advertised Matata as \$474.13.

35.2% increase on last year. 5.8% increase is from 07/08 reserves, 18% is an increase in funded depreciation. Difference is in direct and reallocated costs.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Murupara water scheme

File Reference: 20.14.1

File Code: 22 *Douglas Weeks*

Refer to page 644 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Douglas Weeks - The water rate has increased but what has changed? Murupara water is rated one of the best in New Zealand - no improvement is possible. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Murupara water scheme is not totally metered. The water rates are based on the actual expenditure incurred in operating and maintaining the water system. The expenditure also depends on the water consumption.

At present Murupara water consumption is higher than the average consumption in metered water schemes in the district.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Ohope fire flow upgrade

File Reference: 20.10.1

File Code: 38 *Christina Murray*

Refer to page 443 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Christina Murray - Water insufficient to put out a house fire in Ohope and car fire at Hub and recently too foul to drink. Make essentials work prior to fancy bits and bobs. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has prepared a draft water upgrade strategy for Whakatane and Ohope water supplies. One of the issues identified in the draft strategy report is variable fire fighting capacity in some areas. A project has been included in the 2008/09 to improve fire fighting capacity in Ohope at a cost of \$250,000.

An additional fire hydrant will be provided in the car park of The Hub in 2008/09. At present the Council is investigating long term options to improve drinking water quality.

AN options study is underway for alternative water supply for Whakatane and Ohope supplies and improvement plan for Whakatane water treatment plant including investigation of shifting the water abstraction point further upstream. The Council resolved that a sum of \$130,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Whakatane Water Supply to be funded by way of loan:

- to establish a pilot bore to identify an alternative water source for Whakatane (\$80,000)
- for intake investigation and design (\$50,000)

Reason for Decision:

The Council is aware that the recent incident of high salinity in the Whakatane and Ohope drinking water has prompted the need to urgently look at alternative options for providing high quality water. An initial report has been compiled outlining the findings of a desk top study carried out to identify possible ground water sources close to Whakatane. The report recommends that further investigations be progressed towards establishing a pilot bore closer to Whakatane. The Council recognises that if a suitable option is not found, the Council will need to shift the water treatment plant intake point further upstream.

Jarrett/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Ohope fire flow upgrade

File Reference: 20.10.1

File Code: 101 *Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board*

Refer to page 628-631 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohope Beach Community Board - (p.45) Strongly support the fire flow upgrade to the East end of Ohope being undertaken this financial year. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has prepared a draft water upgrade strategy for Whakatane and Ohope water supplies. One of the issues identified in the draft strategy report is variable fire fighting capacity in some areas. A project has been included in the 2008/09 to improve capacity in Ohope at a cost of \$250,000.

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Plains water supply

File Reference: 20.12.1.1

File Code: 139 *Bruce Wood, Plains Water Supply Sub-committee*

Refer to page 388 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Plains Water Supply Sub-committee - Up-to-date information not available for committee to make recommendation on charges for next year. A recommendation will be provided at the hearings. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the Council accepts the recommendation of the Plains Water Supply Special Committee and adopts the rates as set out below to be included within the Draft Annual plan for 2008/09 based on production figures of 2 million cubic metres

Plains \$130 Per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit connected and metered

27 cents Per cubic metre of all water supplied to each rating unit connected and metered

Awakeri \$190 Per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit connected and metered

40 cents Per cubic metre of all water supplied in each rating unit connected and metered

The Council sets an additional targeted rate for any excess water consumed that is over and above the purchased entitlement for each property connection to these water supplies. An overuse targeted rates is set for the excess volume consumed over and above the purchased entitlement of \$1 per cubic metre (including GST).

2. THAT a sum of \$306,000 be included in the budget for 2008/09 for the production testing of the Paul Road Bores (increased from \$250,000) and

3. THAT the capital works programme as outlined on page 115 of the Draft Annual Plan 2008/09 for Paul Road Bores totalling \$1.084 million be deferred to 2009/2010 financial year.

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council accepted the Plains Water Supply Special Committee recommendation to increase the UACs by \$30 and the water by metre rate by 2 cents per cubic metre and the excess water to 50 cents per cubic metre based on production figures of 2 million cubic metres. The Council was mindful of

taking a conservative estimate of water production as actual figures of water sold were unavailable.

2. The Council has included a sum of \$306,000 for the testing of the Paul Road Bores for production testing and has agreed to defer the capital works programme of \$1.084 million in 2008/09 for the following reasons:

- testing of the Paul Road bores will be continuing in 2008/09
- government funding will need to be sought
- investigations into supplying water for Whakatane township are continuing

Fees and Charges for Plains Water Supp

RESOLVED:

1. THAT the rules and conditions for plains water connections (including all fees and charges and policies) be collated by staff and presented to the Plains Water Supply Special Committee for approval.

2. THAT the Council adopts the Plains Water Supply Committee recommendation that no new connections of water in the Awakeri extension be granted unless approved by the Chairperson of the Plains Water Supply Committee and a Councillor from the Edgumbe/Tarawera ward and that the Delegations Register be amended accordingly.

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council accepted that the Plains Water Supply Committee needs to clearly identify the policies for water connections to the Plains water supply.

2. The Council recognises the fragmented and complicated nature of the fees and charges for the separate areas of the Plains scheme (original Braemar scheme and Awakeri extension) and wishes to have these collated into one document which is easily accessible to both the staff and the public.

3. The Council accepted the Plains Water Supply Committee concerns that the design criteria for the Awakeri extension has been exceeded by 50% and the practice of continuing to grant domestic connections is further exacerbating the existing problems with the scheme such as low water pressure and an inability for commercial businesses to connect.

RESOLVED:

THAT the verbal submission from the Plains Water Supply Special Committee expressing concern with the documentation of the scheme's assets (some pipes were listed as being older than the scheme itself) be received and the submitter be advised that the staff were aware that pipes from older schemes were used in the construction of the original Braemar scheme which would account for the fact that some pipes are listed as older than the scheme. The Council advises that the Hansen system recording assets is being reviewed.

Reason for Decision:

The Council thanks the submitter for their concerns and advises that the Hansen system for recording assets is being reviewed.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Plains water supply

File Reference: 20.12.1

File Code: 122 *GF Van Beek*

Refer to page 405-406 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from GF Van Beek - Braemar Water Scheme:
The water quality is satisfactory and there is no need to treat the water. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Maximum Acceptable Level (MAV) of Arsenic in Braemar water is more than the MAV in Drinking Water Standards. At present the Council is investigating different options to address the water quality issue as well as options to improve the Level of Service in Plains water supply.

The Council has included a sum of \$306,000 for the testing of the Paul Road Bores for production testing and has agreed to defer the capital works programme of \$1.084 million in 2008/09 for the following reasons:

- testing of the Paul Road bores will be continuing in 2008/09
- government funding will need to be sought
- investigations into supplying water for Whakatane township are continuing

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Whakatane water supply

File Reference: 20.12.1

File Code: 104 *Paul Riddell*

Refer to page 289 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Paul Riddell - states that the water pressure is too low on some days and believes this has caused damage to washing machines. Water is becoming salty. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

A draft water upgrade strategy for Whakatane has been prepared using a network model. The network model study identified that the water main lines in Poroporo area are undersized.

A water main upgrade programme in the area will be included in the next LTCCP starting from year 2009/10.

THAT a sum of \$130,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Whakatane Water Supply to be funded by way of loan:

- to establish a pilot bore to identify an alternative water source for Whakatane (\$80,000)
- for intake investigation and design (\$50,000)

Reason for Decision:

The Council is aware that the recent incident of high salinity in the Whakatane and Ohope drinking water has prompted the need to urgently look at alternative options for providing high quality water. An initial report has been compiled outlining the findings of a desk top study carried out to identify possible ground water sources close to Whakatane. The report recommends that further investigations be progressed towards establishing a pilot bore closer to Whakatane. The Council recognises that if a suitable option is not found, the Council will need to shift the water treatment plant intake point further upstream.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Whakatane water supply

File Reference: 20.12.1

File Code: 25 *Neal Yeates*

Refer to page 387 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Neal Yeates - Need fire hydrants to service the area in the case of house fires as there are none. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

A draft water upgrade strategy for Whakatane has been prepared using a network model. The network model study identified that the water main lines in Poroporo area are undersized.

A water main upgrade programme in the area will be included in the next LTCCP starting from year 2009/10. This will include the provision of fire hydrants to service the areas in Jones Road, Kope Drain Road and Paroa Road.

Chambers/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Whakatane water supply

File Reference: 20.9.1

File Code: 25 *Neal Yeates*

Refer to page 387 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Neal Yeates - Want the current 20mm water supply main down Kope Drain and Jones Road to be replaced by a 100mm water main. There are 12 to 15 houses supplied by the existing 20mm water main resulting in water pressure drops below 150kpa or 20psi. At times residents are unable to flush the toilet and run taps simultaneously. The submitter also states that Council's service agreement states that only two connections can be fed from one 20mm main, and in this instance, there are 12 to 15 households. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

A draft water upgrade strategy for Whakatane has been prepared using a network model. The network model study identified that the water main lines in Poroporo area are undersized.

A water main upgrade programme in the area will be included in the next LTCCP starting from year 2009/10.

THAT a sum of \$130,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Whakatane Water Supply to be funded by way of loan:

- to establish a pilot bore to identify an alternative water source for Whakatane (\$80,000)
- for intake investigation and design (\$50,000)

Reason for Decision:

The Council is aware that the recent incident of high salinity in the Whakatane and Ohope drinking water has prompted the need to urgently look at alternative options for providing high quality water. An initial report has been compiled outlining the findings of a desk top study carried out to identify possible ground water sources close to Whakatane. The report recommends that further investigations be progressed towards establishing a pilot bore closer to Whakatane. The Council recognises that if a suitable option is not found, the Council will need to shift the water treatment plant intake point further upstream.

Chambers/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Water Supply

Programme: Whakatane water supply

File Reference: 20.9.1

Refer to page 632 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Steven French - Would like the annual plan to address the fundamentals such as improving the quality of the drinking water than to expend the limited resource on activities that are nice to have, e.g. Town Vision project. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Council are reviewing the Annual Plan to include a project to investigate the Water Treatment Plant intake at the Whakatane River.

Several measures have been taken to address drinking water quality. Some of them are:

Options study is underway for alternative water supply for Whakatane and Ohope supplies and improvement plan for Whakatane water treatment plant including investigation of shifting the water abstraction point further upstream. The Council resolved that a sum of \$130,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Whakatane Water Supply to be funded by way of loan:

- to establish a pilot bore to identify an alternative water source for Whakatane (\$80,000)
- for intake investigation and design (\$50,000)

Reason for Decision:

The Council is aware that the recent incident of high salinity in the Whakatane and Ohope drinking water has prompted the need to urgently look at alternative options for providing high quality water. An initial report has been compiled outlining the findings of a desk top study carried out to identify possible ground water sources close to Whakatane. The report recommends that further investigations be progressed towards establishing a pilot bore closer to Whakatane. The Council recognises that if a suitable option is not found, the Council will need to shift the water treatment plant intake point further upstream.

Public Health Risk Management Plans have been prepared for water supply schemes.

Actions have been taken to secure maximum funding from the Government's drinking Water Assistance Programme to improve water quality.

The Town Vision Project is a cornerstone document that will provide guidance for the economic development and subsequent future planning directions for Whakatane Township. Without such a direction, the town will grow in a haphazard unstructured manner. Our town will not reach its potential and any potential benefits will not eventuate.

Hanlen/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Wastewater services

Programme: Pumpstation upgrade - Edgecumbe

File Reference: 21.10.1

File Code: 56 *Bruce Coppell*

Refer to page 389 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Bruce Coppell - The Edgecumbe sewerage system, notably the sewer pump/manhole cover on the Rata Ave/Bridge Street, be properly repaired. This means inspect upstream discharges for illegal or damaged systems and connections that were disconnected/removed following the 1987 earthquake to make sure they were properly closed. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All issues in Edgecumbe are inter-related and require careful consideration – one against another. A systematic analysis will help to solve the problems more efficiently and cost effectively. Therefore, a network model for Edgecumbe waste water will be built and flow testing in the pump stations will be carried out in the coming months. A sum of \$60,000 has been allocated in the 2008/09 budget for this task. It is expected that the network model could be built and calibrated within the next six months.

Once the network model is built the required projects will be identified and prioritised to address the present issues in the pipe network. The identified projects will be included in the next LTCCP.

In the mean time CCTV inspections will be carried out to identify defects in the pipe system and smoke testing will be carried out to identify illegal connections.

His Worship the Mayor/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Wastewater services

Programme: Pumpstation upgrade - Edgecumbe

File Reference: 21.10.1

File Code: 134 *Evan Harvey*

Refer to page 456-458 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Evan Harvey - Sewage disposal rate in Edgecumbe to increase to \$593.69 to work on pump station No.9 which was apparently supposed to be upgraded 6 years ago, but accidentally No.3 was instead. Edgecumbe have been paying depreciation for repairs/upgrading for 6 years. Why do we need more surveys, why has damage from earthquake not yet been repaired. Sewage assets in Edgecumbe have been re-valued resulting in an increase in depreciation costs, however this does not appear to be the case in Whakatane. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Issues in Edgecumbe such as inflow and infiltration, pump station capacities and reticulation deficits are inter-related and require careful consideration – one against another. A systematic analysis will help to solve the problems more efficiently and cost effectively. Therefore, a network model for Edgecumbe waste water will be built and flow testing in the pump stations will be carried out in the coming months. A sum of \$60,000 has been allocated in the 2008/09 budget for this task. It is expected that the network model could be built and calibrated within the next six months.

Once the network model is built the required projects will be identified and prioritised to address the present issues in the pipe network. The identified projects will be included in the next LTCCP. In the 2007/08 budget a sum of \$156,000 is allocated to construct a new pump station to replace No5 and No6 pump stations. In the 2008/09 budget a sum of \$152,000 is also allocated to upgrade no9 pump station. Both of these projects were included in the old Asset Management Plan and in the 2006/16 LTCCP. These two projects will not be implemented until the network analysis is completed. The funds will however be re-allocated to those projects which will identify the urgent works in the network analysis.

There is a programme to carry out CCTV inspections and smoke testing to identify the issues in the system. Once identified these issues are being addressed.

Periodically revaluation of all assets is a legislative requirement. A complete revaluation of all assets is done every three years.

His Worship the Mayor/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Wastewater services

Programme: Pumpstation upgrade - Edgecumbe

File Reference: 21.10.1

File Code: 66 *Clive McIndoe, Edgecumbe Tarawera Community Board*

Refer to page 390-391 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Edgecumbe Tarawera Community Board - In heavy rain gully traps are submerged, this means sewage system is pumping storm water until the water level recedes below the gully trap surround. This can take days and in some incidences it leaves human faeces covering residents sections and surrounding roads.

Town needs to be checked for down pipes plumed into sewage system. Solution to deal with storm water would be extend gully surrounds to above highest known flood level and seal all waste pipes into gullies. Down pipes illegally connected to system need to be removed - as said for 12 years. Total cost of all this should be less than \$150,000 quoted for pump station #9 - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Issues in Edgecumbe such as inflow and infiltration, pump station capacities and reticulation deficits are inter-related and require careful consideration – one against another. A systematic analysis will help to solve the problems more efficiently and cost effectively. Therefore, a network model for Edgecumbe waste water will be built and flow testing in the pump stations will be carried out in the coming months. A sum of \$60,000 has been allocated in the 2008/09 budget for this task. It is expected that the network model could be built and calibrated within the next six months.

Once the network model is built the required projects will be identified and prioritised to address the present issues in the pipe network. The identified projects will be included in the next LTCCP.

In the 2007/08 budget a sum of \$156,000 is allocated to construct a new pump station to replace No5 and No6 pump stations. In the 2008/09 budget a sum of \$152,000 is also allocated to upgrade no9 pump station. Both of these projects were included in the old Asset Management Plan and in the 2006/16 LTCCP.

These two projects will not be implemented until the network analysis is completed. The funds will however be re-allocated to those projects which will identify the urgent works in the network analysis.

In the mean time CCTV inspections will be carried out to identify defects in the pipe system and smoke testing will be done to identify illegal connections.

Jarrett/His Worship the Mayor

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Wastewater services

Programme: Wastewater discharge - Ohope

File Reference: 21.9.1

File Code: 101 *Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board*

Refer to page 628-631 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohope Beach Community Board - (p.14) Query the future capacity of the Ohope waste water system. Has sufficient provision been made for future needs. We also note the discharge consent expires 2010. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The waste water discharge consent expires in 2010. The present oxidation pond system needs to be upgraded to meet the future capacity. The Council has already begun investigations on options for future discharge taking into account future capacity and effluent quality requirements. A project will be included in the capital work programme of next LTCCP.

Bonne/Johnston

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Wastewater services

Programme: Wastewater reticulation system - Matata

File Reference: 21.14.1

File Code: 126 *Graeme Bagnall*

Refer to page 392-394 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Graeme Bagnall - Oppose plan to construct a waste water reticulation system in Matata.

In the past the community has been against it.

The need for it based on pollution and contamination of the lagoon was not proved.

There is a large community cost additional to rate rise and disaster mitigation funding. Still large cost even with government funding.

In natural disasters reticulated sewage is often damaged leading to health problems.

Remove Water Related Services page45- remove from plan - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT funding for the Matata wastewater (sewerage) scheme be retained in the budget 2008/09 and that community consultation be reported to the Council in the next financial year.

The Council has requested that community consultation be reported to the Council during 2008/09. The Council recognises that an options study for waste water disposal is underway to implement the proposed waste water supply scheme in Matata and that cost estimates will need to be prepared before consulting the Matata community. The Council advises that Government's Sanitary Works Subsidy Scheme has allocated \$3.7 million as subsidy for the project.

The Council decided to postpone a decision about the Matata Sewerage Scheme until 2008 because of disaster mitigation issues faced by the Matata community. The Government had given an assurance the Council will be able to apply in 2008 and be eligible for the same subsidy rate if a decision to proceed was made at that time.

Clark/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Wastewater services

Programme: Wastewater reticulation system - Matata

File Reference: 21.14.1

File Code: 125 *Pat Dixon, Matata Lagoon Action Group*

Refer to page 151-152 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matata Lagoon Action Group - Support the construction of a wastewater system and improving the quality of water supply in Matata. These are necessary to maintain the environment and living conditions in the town. These should be started as soon as possible to take advantage of government subsidies. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT funding for the Matata wastewater (sewerage) scheme be retained in the budget 2008/09 and that community consultation be reported to the Council in the next financial year.

The Council has requested that community consultation be reported to the Council during 2008/09. The Council recognises that an options study for waste water disposal is underway to implement the proposed waste water supply scheme in Matata and that cost estimates will need to be prepared before consulting the Matata community. The Council advises that Government's Sanitary Works Subsidy Scheme has allocated \$3.7 million as subsidy for the project.

The Council decided to postpone a decision about the Matata Sewerage Scheme until 2008 because of disaster mitigation issues faced by the Matata community. The Government had given an assurance the Council will be able to apply in 2008 and be eligible for the same subsidy rate if a decision to proceed was made at that time.

Clark/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Water-related Services

Activity: Wastewater services

Programme: Wastewater reticulation system - Matata

File Reference: 21.14.1

File Code: 106 *Maurie Griffin*

Refer to page 395-396 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Maurie Griffin - Fully support the installation of a wastewater system in Matata to support the health of people in Matata. Believe the system should proceed as soon as possible to take advantage of the central government offer to subsidise the wastewater scheme. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

THAT funding for the Matata wastewater (sewerage) scheme be retained in the budget 2008/09 and that community consultation be reported to the Council in the next financial year.

The Council has requested that community consultation be reported to the Council during 2008/09. The Council recognises that an options study for waste water disposal is underway to implement the proposed waste water supply

scheme in Matata and that cost estimates will need to be prepared before consulting the Matata community. The Council advises that Government's Sanitary Works Subsidy Scheme has allocated \$3.7 million as subsidy for the project.

The Council decided to postpone a decision about the Matata Sewerage Scheme until 2008 because of disaster mitigation issues faced by the Matata community. The Government had given an assurance the Council will be able to apply in 2008 and be eligible for the same subsidy rate if a decision to proceed was made at that time.

Clark/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Solid Waste Management

Activity: Refuse collection

Programme: Refuse collection

File Reference: 22.1.1

File Code: 61 *Bronwen Foxx, Disabilities Resource Centre Trust*

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - (p.49) The implementation of waste management education programmes need to include education on disposal of health products such as any items that contain body waste or sharp objects. Consultation with the district health board would be essential. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Health Authorities are responsible for the appropriate disposal of body waste and medical implements. The Environmental Health officer is in consultation with the Health authorities regarding these processes.

Staff will further investigate the issue of the disposal of health products with Waste Management and the DHB.

His Worship the Mayor/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Solid Waste Management

Activity: Refuse collection

Programme: Refuse collection

File Reference: 22.3.1

File Code: 22 *Douglas Weeks*

Refer to page 644 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Douglas Weeks - Wishes to congratulate truck crews. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Submitters comments are noted and will be passed on to the Councils Contractor - Waste Management New Zealand (trading as Transpacific)

Te Kurapa/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Solid Waste Management**Activity: Refuse disposal**

Programme: Landfill

File Reference: 22.6.1

File Code: 45 *David Dowd*

Refer to page 649-652 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from David Dowd - page 50

No more capping needs to be done at the retired Taneatua Hill landfill.

The \$92,000 is now not needed in rates, and it is not clear where the money will go on "other waste disposal initiatives". This needs to be explained and justified if not dropped out of the 2008/09 annual plan. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is currently investigating all possible options for waste disposal for the Whakatane district post the closure of the Burma Road Landfill in December 2009. These options include building and commissioning of a Transfer Station and the shipping of waste out of the District, reduction in the waste stream by removing the greenwaste and compostable, and potential of participating in a regional landfill initiative .

Shearer/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Solid Waste Management**Activity: Refuse disposal**

Programme: Landfill

File Reference: 22.4.4

File Code: 36 *Bill Bayfield, Environment Bay of Plenty*

Refer to page of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Environment Bay of Plenty - Supports Whakatane District's continued commitments and efforts to improve on solid waste management. However, requests council to take timely actions within this budget year to capitalise on Ministry for the Environment funding (available through EBOP for engineering closure and remediation of the Minginui tip-site) and Ngati Whare Trust's offer of facilities and manpower to upgrade waste disposal arrangements at Minginui.

In line with the New Zealand Waste Strategy, the Bay of Plenty Regional Waste Strategy has a target to upgrade or close all substandard landfills by December 2010. The current Minginui site is substandard and illegal. The MfE and EBOP funding will cover the fill cost of closure and remediation. Budgeting for the closure and remediation of the site in the 2008/2009 funding year will guarantee cost savings to the Whakatane District Council and the MfE funding may not be available in the 2009/2010 financial year. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is currently investigating options for providing a waste disposal/ collection service for Minginui and Ruatahuna. The Council is currently undertaking discussions with Environment Bay of Plenty to consider recommended solutions.

His Worship the Mayor/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Solid Waste Management

Activity: Refuse disposal

Programme: Landfill

File Reference: 22.4.4

File Code: 88 *Pourotu Ngaropo, Iwi Liaison Committee*

Refer to page 94-95 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Iwi Liaison Committee - Issues have arisen by the continued use of the closed Minginui landfill. The potential environmental issues have impacted on the residents of Minginui. A suggestion is a transfer station be constructed. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is currently investigating options for providing a waste disposal/ collection service for Minginui and Ruatahuna.

Te Kurapa/His Worship the Mayor

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Promotion and Economic Development

Activity: Promotion and economic development

Programme: Economic Development Agency

File Reference:

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Provide for Ngati Awa contributions to development and implementation of a district economic development strategy. Allocate and apply 50% of rates received on Maori land to a Maori Land Development project run in conjunction with iwi authorities in

the District. - be declined.

Reason for the Decision:

Toi-EDA will be focus on Maori economic development they may choose to be involved in a project like this. The Council provides money for economic development and programmes are developed in accordance with the strategy. Ngāti Awa have a representative on Toi EDA and can influence those strategies.

Hanlen/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Promotion and Economic Development

Activity: Promotion and economic development

Programme: Economic Development Agency

File Reference: 3.1.4

File Code: 59 *Ida Rose*

Refer to page 102-106 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ida Rose - Ratepayers were given a commitment that economic promotion and development will be combined into the Eastern Bay Regional Development Board, but is this more than just a commitment? This is a huge unaffordable drain on Whakatane ratepayers. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Economic development activities are being combined in EBOP through Toi-EDA. The costs will remain the same for WDC, it is just the nature of the delivery that is changing.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Promotion and Economic Development

Activity: Promotion and economic development

Programme: Economic Development Agency

File Reference: 3.1.1

File Code: 36 *Bill Bayfield, Environment Bay of Plenty*

Refer to page 397-398 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Environment Bay of Plenty - Promotion and economic Development (p52)

Congratulates WDC in its reformulation and support of Economic Development Agency and looks forward to working with the new organisation. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

Support is appreciated

Group of Activities: Promotion and Economic Development

Activity: Promotion and economic development

Programme: Promotion

File Reference: 3.1.1

File Code: 61 *Bronwen Foxx, Disabilities Resource Centre Trust*

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - (p.52) The promotion and economic development of the district should include the promotion of disabled facilities and accommodation that is available in our area. Whakatane District Council has assisted in providing an accessible town that should be promoted especially as it hosts the Eve Rimmer Games. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Good idea - we will work with DRC to provide an icon on www.whakatane.com website of all facilities and accommodation that are accessible to persons with disabilities.

Group of Activities: Promotion and Economic Development

Activity: Promotion and economic development

Programme: Promotion

File Reference:

File Code: 125 *Pat Dixon, Matata Lagoon Action Group*

Refer to page 151-152 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matata Lagoon Action Group - Matata should be beautified and marketed as the gateway to the Eastern Bay of Plenty. Rates should be spent on welcoming visitors to the whole district, not just the town of Whakatane. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

EBOP Development Board have endeavoured to welcome visitors to all parts of the district. Matata businesses are included in Whakatane Visitor Guide. Your submission has been referred to the Manager Marketing and Economic Development. Your submission on graffiti will be referred to the Safer Communities Co-ordinator.

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning - implementation and monitoring

Programme: Fees and Charges

File Reference: 27.1.7

File Code: 31 *Ted Hipkiss*

Refer to page 399 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ted Hipkiss - Environmental planning:

- Oppose increase user charges
- Oppose \$271000 internal resource - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has a statutory obligation to administer the Resource Management Act 1991. Increased user charges reflect the change to the Council's funding policy for the activity coupled with an initiative to develop in-house capacity and less reliance on consultants for resource consent processing.

Chambers/His Worship the Mayor

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning - implementation and monitoring

Programme: Fees and Charges

File Reference: 27.1.7

File Code: 53 *Derek Spratt, Federated Farmers BOP*

Refer to page 335-339 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Federated Farmers BOP - The costs of the resource consents are fully recoverable from the individuals involved, in accordance with the relevant note in the fees and charges policy. Recommends that the Council limit any increase in resource consent deposits/fees to inflationary adjustments only, and remove the inadequate reason for the increase from the annual plan. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has a statutory obligation to administer the Resource Management Act 1991. Increased user charges reflect the change to the Council's funding policy for the activity coupled with an initiative to develop in-house capacity and less reliance on consultants for resource consent processing.

The Council is moving towards implementing its user pay philosophy.

"Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use". The alternative is for the cost of the activity to be funded by way of general rates across all ratepayers.

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning - implementation and monitoring

Programme: Resource consents

File Reference: 24.9.4

File Code: 44 *Barry Marshall, Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc*

Refer to page 433-434 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc - Ensure full restoration of trees screening Hub/Bunnings to comply with WDC and Environment Court screening requirements for Whakatane tree lined entrance way. This would be of low cost and high benefit to the community. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council will continue to monitor and enforce the resource consent conditions for The Hub Development. The Council is looking at the beautification of the town entranceway in the Town Entranceway Project. Concept plans on the town entranceway were approved in principle by Council on 29 August 2007. The next step is to look at the staging of implementation for the project.

Jarrett/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning - implementation and monitoring

Programme: Resource consents

File Reference:

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - Support measures around resource consent processes (extra budget \$271,000). Now expect not only better service but a faster processing. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

There are a number of initiatives underway in the Development and Compliance area aimed at improving processing times for consents. The Council is and will continue to monitor progress in this area.

Johnston/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning - implementation and monitoring

Programme: Resource consents

File Reference: 27.1.7

File Code: 88 *Pourotu Ngaropo, Iwi Liaison Committee*

Refer to page 94-95 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Iwi Liaison Committee - (resource consents and building consents) In principle the current Fees and Charges are unaffordable. A breakdown of all fees and charges that applies to the land not the area is required - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has a statutory obligation to administer the Resource Management Act 1991 and the Building Act 2004. Increased user charges reflect increased compliance costs required by the Building Accreditation process, changes to the Council's funding policies for these activities, and development of in-house capacity with less reliance on consultants for resource and building consent processing.

The Council is moving towards implementing its user pay philosophy.

"Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use". The alternative is for the cost of the activity to be funded by way of general rates across all ratepayers.

Chambers/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning - implementation and monitoring

Programme: Resource consents

File Reference: 25.1.1

File Code: 65 *Ian Snowsill*

Refer to page 385-386 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ian Snowsill - Request that the WDC take appropriate action by the amendment of or deletion/addition, to remedy:

resource consents: Persons involved must be aware and/or reminded that the loss of many environment Court Appeals in recent years has resulted in significant cost to the rate demand and is unacceptable - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council disagrees that it has lost many Environment Court appeals in recent years. The Council is aware that appeals to the Environment Court are a cost to ratepayers and endeavour to resolve appeals through mediation. In addition, a legislative pre-requisite for Councillors to sit on the Hearings Committee and determine resource consents is to pass a programme of learning approved by the Ministry for the Environment.

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning**Activity: Planning - implementation and monitoring**

Programme: Resource consents

File Reference:

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Council is late informing itself about Ngati Awa settlement and its implications on Council's decision making. Work with Ngati Awa to develop protocols and integrated processes that provide Ngati Awa contributions to Council decision making in resource consents, planning and sustainable development. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has been consulting with representatives of Ngati Awa to develop formal protocols around when Ngati Awa will be considered an affected party for resource consent applications in, on or near statutory acknowledgement areas. The Council will give urgency to showing statutory acknowledgements on the District Planning Maps and seeks the co-operation of Ngati Awa by providing a GIS layer defining the areas, as previously discussed. The Council looks forward to continuing the discussions that have been underway for sometime, and agree that this issue needs to be finalised.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning**Activity: Planning policy**

Programme: Coastal hazards

File Reference: 25.4.6

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Work with Environment Ngati Awa to gain valuable information about the location and management regimes relevant to urupa and places of significance to Ngati Awa in the coastal environment that are likely to be affected by coastal hazards. Other iwi should also be consulted on places significant to them that are similarly vulnerable. Ohope Waste Water Sewage Treatment System identified as being potentially vulnerable to coastal inundation. Ensure potential inundation is mitigated by allocating sufficient funding for the installation of flood protection infrastructure or relocation of sewage system to higher ground. Ensure Ngati Awa are consulted early on matters relating to Variation 6 and other Natural Hazards. -

be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council values the information that Ngati Awa is able to provide about Urupa and places of significance likely to be affected by coastal hazards. The Council is keen to work with other Iwi to identify sites of significance to them, acknowledging that each Iwi may wish to adopt different approaches to the protection of their wahi tapu sites. Ngati Awa was consulted during the preparation of Variation 6, and will do the same for other natural hazard projects planned in the forthcoming year. The Council acknowledges the risk to its Ohope Wastewater Treatment Plant, if sea level rise prediction and storm surge predictions happen. The Council will implement over time mitigation of that risk, dependent on the outcome of the upcoming renewal of its discharge consent from Environment Bay of Plenty.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Coastal hazards

File Reference: 25.4.6

File Code: 76 *Patricia Tolley*

Refer to page 401-402 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Patricia Tolley - The error man made at Ohope was re-aligning the road which affected the dunes. Have known Ohope since the 1940s and one of the houses in Plantation Reserve was surrounded by the sea. Please listen to the older generation who have wisdom and knowledge of tides and damages. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The submission has been copied to the Variation 6 (Coastal Hazards) project and will be considered under that process.

Clark/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Development Contributions policy review

File Reference: 27.1.1.7

File Code: 31 *Ted Hipkiss*

Refer to page 399 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ted Hipkiss - Development contributions not justified - be declined.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is currently undertaking a review of its Development Contributions Policy. If this review shows that any payments have been made in error then refunds will be provided.

The underlying philosophy of the Development Contribution Policy is that new growth from additional houses, new lots and business development results in a cost to the community for new or additional assets or assets of increased capacity, and as a consequence the Council incurs capital expenditure. This also includes capital expenditure the Council has already incurred in anticipation of growth. The capital expenditure must be for community facilities, which are network infrastructure (wastewater, water, stormwater, roading) community infrastructure and reserves. This is a separate and distinct contribution from any localised effect arising from a subdivision or development. The role of the Council in relation to these district wide services is set out in Section 9.0 of the Development Contribution Policy.

Hanlen/His Worship the Mayor

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning**Activity: Planning policy**

Programme: Development Contributions policy review

File Reference: 27.1.1.7

File Code: 88 *Pouroto Ngaropo, Iwi Liaison Committee*

Refer to page 94-95 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Iwi Liaison Committee - It is suggested that Council consider the Papakainga concept. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The District Plan encourages papakainga developments on Maori land. The development contributions policy review will examine the impacts of each house (whether part of a papakainga development or not) on the demand for infrastructure. The location of the papakainga in the District will be more of an influence on the development contribution payable than the nature of the development per se.

The Council will be considering the Rural Review Monitoring Strategy which will make some conclusions about the effects of rural subdivisions.

Hanlen/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning**Activity: Planning policy**

Programme: Development Contributions policy review

File Reference: 27.1.1.7

Refer to page 635-637 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lysaght Developments - Question why the Council is continuing to charge a development levy of around \$11,000 on new houses in Coastlands in light of the High Court Judicial Review Proceedings against the Council, rather than \$4,000. Council is also even endeavouring to obtain the full \$11,000 levy in Omega Place where we have already paid the development levy, as part of the settlement of the Judicial Review Proceedings. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Until the review of the Development Contributions is complete, the current policy has continued to be used for developments at Coastlands. Under the current policy, the amount of the development contribution is reduced as land was vested as part of the subdivision of Coastlands (no reserves contribution is charged). A contribution of \$10,164 (inclusive of GST) applies at Coastlands, instead of \$12,515 (inclusive of GST) in most other urban locations. For Omega Place, no further contribution is charged when a house is built as the amount was fully paid at the time of subdivision. One error was made several months ago for a house being built in Omega Place; the money was immediately refunded once the error was highlighted

The underlying philosophy of the Development Contribution Policy is that new growth from additional houses, new lots and business development results in a cost to the community for new or additional assets or assets of increased capacity, and as a consequence the Council incurs capital expenditure. This also includes capital expenditure the Council has already incurred in anticipation of growth. The capital expenditure must be for community facilities, which are network infrastructure (wastewater, water, stormwater, roading) community infrastructure and reserves. This is a separate and distinct contribution from any localised effect arising from a subdivision or development. The role of the Council in relation to these district wide services is set out in Section 9.0 of the Development Contribution Policy.

Chambers/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: District Plan

File Reference: 25.1.1

File Code: 72 *Eileen Bell*

Refer to page 322-330 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eileen Bell - Ban planting of forest trees on residential sections, such as Pohutukawa, Rimu, Manuka, Kauri. These trees become a problem in later years with too much shade, and having to be trimmed - often at a cost to the neighbour. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitters comments are noted. The Whakatane District Plan attempts to minimise restrictions on the ability of property owners to enjoy the use of their property. It is not considered appropriate at this stage to ban the species of trees mentioned. Enclosed is a pamphlet outlining the rights of individual owners in regard to trees.

Hanlen/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning**Activity: Planning policy**

Programme: District Plan

File Reference: 25.1

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Permitted baseline planning instruments in the Whakatane District Plan do not provide for the heritage values associated with the Wairaka area. Allocate sufficient funding to work with Te Runanga o Ngati Awa and the hapu and community of the Wairaka area on the development of heritage planning instruments for the Wairaka area. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

It is understood that Ngati Awa wish to discuss planning instruments with regard to the Wairaka area. Initial discussions have been held between the Policy Manager and the Manager of Environment Ngati Awa. These will continue.

His Worship the Mayor/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning**Activity: Planning policy**

Programme: District Plan

File Reference: 25.1

File Code: 61 *Bronwen Foxx, Disabilities Resource Centre Trust*

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - (p.55) Consideration of the elderly and disabled needs to be made in all Environmental Planning. There is no mention in the Draft Annual Plan of any consideration for these sectors of the public (NZS 4121).

(p.80) Population growth in the area could be affected by the projected aging population of the country as a whole (see appendix 1 attached to submission), with Whakatane becoming a popular area for retirement. The elderly will

require good quality/low cost housing for their closing years. Have the Whakatane District Council considered these implications when planning? Should specific groups not be considered in planning? Could you take the lead in planning for this and therefore influencing any national changes required? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All building consents granted take heed of applicable standards, including those relating to access.

The Council is currently examining future growth options, including looking at different demographic scenarios. Should these studies show a requirement to make more housing for the elderly available then this will be taken into account in future changes to planning instruments.

Jarrett/Johnston

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: District Plan

File Reference:

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Key changes to Fees and Charges Schedule - amend fees and charges to incorporate a Council recovery charge on applicants to cover the work undertaken by Te Runanga o Ngati Awa in assisting the Council meet its obligations under the RMA 1991 and the LGA 2002. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Initial discussions have been held between the Policy Manager and the Manager of Environment Ngati Awa. These will continue.

His Worship the Mayor/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Indigenous Biodiversity

File Reference: 25.4.4

File Code: 3 *Linda Conning, Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ Inc*

Refer to page 361-363 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ Inc - Support the budget for the Indigenous Biodiversity plan change. Notification of

the Biodiversity Variation to the District Plan. It has come to our attention that effects on indigenous biodiversity is not part of the rural review monitoring study. This is a major omission. Funds should be budgeted to include this in the review. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Work is currently underway on a variation to introduce Indigenous Biodiversity provisions into the Proposed Whakatane District Plan. This project has a budget of \$30,000 in the 08/09 financial year.

The Rural Review project examines potential and actual effects associated with rural residential subdivision. A component of the project was to identify what those actual or potential effects might be. The design of the project was sufficient to pick up any effects relating to indigenous biodiversity. This project has a budget of \$20,000 in the next financial year.

Chambers/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Natural hazards gap analysis

File Reference: 24.7.1

File Code: 15 *Jean Eivers, Te Teko Residents Association*

Refer to page 438 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Teko Residents Association - Te Teko is on the fault line and was affected by the Edgecumbe earthquake and is prone to flooding. Te Teko needs to be put in any Natural Hazard Plan. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The natural hazards project is at the planning stage currently. The submitter's information is noted.

Orr/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Ohiwa Harbour Strategy

File Reference: 24.5.14

File Code: 19 *Sheila Russell*

Refer to page 403-404 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sheila Russell - Funding be allocated for the eradication of mangroves from the Ohiwa Harbour and programmes for dealing with this be set up. There is silting up of the inner reaches of the harbour due to mangroves. Monitoring in other parts of New Zealand have proved that the

removal of cattle from the foreshore and mud-flats has increased mangroves as the cattle kept the seed from being dispersed. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is a signatory to the Ohiwa Harbour Strategy and will proceed according to the actions set out within that strategy. One of these actions is to develop a mangrove management plan. The three Councils (Opotiki, Whakatane and Environment Bay of Plenty) and iwi have begun work on this plan and it will be completed later this year.

Chambers/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Ohiwa Harbour Strategy

File Reference: 24.5.14

File Code: 65 *Ian Snowsill*

Refer to page 385-386 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ian Snowsill - Request that the WDC take appropriate action by the amendment of or deletion/addition, to remedy: Erosion of Ohiwa Harbour Margins: Urgent protection work required. Funds available from maintenance code. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is a signatory to the Ohiwa Harbour Strategy and will proceed according to the actions set out within that strategy.

Hanlen/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Ohiwa Harbour Strategy

File Reference:

File Code: 19 *Sheila Russell*

Refer to page 403-404 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sheila Russell - Funds be allocated to enable eradication of pampas grass from areas in the Ohope to Ohiwa Harbour margins area. Infestations result in increased costs and workloads to residents and farmers. Also suggest a contact number to enable reporting of other noxious weeds. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has existing pampas control programmes in place on some of our

recreation reserves at Ohope. There is not a dedicated budget for weed eradication around Ohiwa Harbour margins. The contact phone number to report noxious weeds is 0800 368 267 at Environment Bay of Plenty. This Council has a general duty of care for cross-boundary weed problems (i.e. weedy plants on our reserves growing on to a neighbouring property).

Hanlen/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Preservation Incentive Fund

File Reference: 2.3.6

File Code: 3 *Linda Conning, Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ Inc*

Refer to page 361-363 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ Inc - Strongly objects to this item not being funded this year. It is exactly the tool council should be using to facilitate protection of the threatened kanuka at Thornton. As this species only occurs in the Whakatane District, this council has a regulatory and moral responsibility to be much more proactive in its management. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The decision not to run the fund during the 2008/09 year was made to reduce the rates increase for Whakatane District, and to concentrate efforts on completing the District Plan variation on Indigenous Biodiversity.

His Worship the Mayor/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Residential, retail, industrial growth

File Reference: 24.11.6

File Code: 122 *GF Van Beek*

Refer to page 405-406 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from GF Van Beek - Whakatane needs more land reclassified to allow the township to grow. A range of developers must have access to the land to allow competition and a range of cost options for home buyers. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is currently working on a number of growth related studies. It is likely that more residential land will be created as a result of these.

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Residential, retail, industrial growth

File Reference: 24.11.6

File Code: 43 *Kim Harris, Transit New Zealand*

Refer to page 277-279 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Transit New Zealand - Would like to be consulted on strategies for future residential and business growth in the district. The provision of an appropriate transportation network and access will ensure customers/residents/employees are able to use the network efficiently. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council will continue to work with Transit on issues of mutual interest.

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Residential, retail, industrial growth

File Reference: 24.11.6

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Ensure Ngati Awa is engaged early and in an ongoing manner on options for future development - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Ngati Awa's interest is noted and the Council will continue to engage in early dialogue wherever possible.

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Rural review monitoring project

File Reference: 24.11.7

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Ensure Ngati Awa continues to be engaged in an ongoing manner in the development of the Rural Review Monitoring Project Study - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has involved Ngati Awa in the project to date, and will continue to do so. Ngati Awa's contribution is appreciated.

Bonne/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Rural review monitoring project

File Reference: 24.11.7

File Code: 43 *Kim Harris, Transit New Zealand*

Refer to page 277-279 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Transit New Zealand - Supportive of the proposed monitoring project, particularly the consideration of the impacts of increased traffic movements on roads as a result of subdivision. Transit would be interested in working with Council towards any variation to the District Plan to avoid or mitigate those effects. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitter's support is appreciated. The Council will continue to work with Transit on issues of mutual interest.

Bonne/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Town entranceway project

File Reference: 24.9.4

File Code: 88 *Pourotu Ngaropo, Iwi Liaison Committee*

Refer to page 94-95 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Iwi Liaison Committee - Do not support the proposed works to improve entrance to Whakatane. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitter's comments are noted. The Council resolved on 29 August 2007

that the Town Entranceway Concept Plans be approved in principle. Staff are currently preparing a consultation package including a timeline for consultation, material to be used in consultation, a proposed plan for implementation of the concept and an outline of how funding is to be secured.

Chambers/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Planning

Activity: Planning policy

Programme: Town entranceway project

File Reference: 24.9.4

File Code: 43 *Kim Harris, Transit New Zealand*

Refer to page 277-279 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Transit New Zealand - Would like to continue working together on stage 2 of this project. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council will continue to work with Transit on issues of mutual interest.

Jarrett/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Controls

Activity: Environmental health

Programme: Contaminated sites

File Reference:

File Code: 15 *Jean Eivers, Te Teko Residents Association*

Refer to page 438 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Teko Residents Association - Glen's Drain is a health hazard to Te Teko and needs urgent attention. -

Reason for the Decision:

The Council will investigate the possible piping of this stormwater drain. The Council acknowledges that this drain is subject to faecal contamination from groundwater seepage from septic tanks on adjoining properties.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Controls

Activity: Environmental health

Programme: Contaminated sites

File Reference: 24.12.3

File Code: 21 *Christine Larsen*

Refer to page 365-368 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Christine Larsen - Community outcomes p58

Asks council to move from monitoring contaminated sites and research monitoring to actively planning and providing for the decontamination of the Mataatua Reserve.

This work should be carried out with consultation and partnerships with the key stakeholders and EBOP in particular local iwi in accordance with 13.1 – 13.2 of the consultation policy Local Government Act 2002 section 75-90.

Funding could come from the Harbour Board Lease Income and central Government for the remediation of contaminated sites.

Submitter would like a plan for the decontamination of Mataatua Reserve in place by the end of this planning period and work beginning 2009. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

THAT the submitter Christine Larsen be thanked for her submission and advises her that the Council has accepted the site management plan as the best mechanism to manage the contaminated wood waste at Mataatua Reserve.

Reason for Decision:

The Council advises the submitter that Sinclair Knight Merz Report, Whakatane Wood waste Hydrogeological Investigations 8 March 2006, found that all elevated parameters measured at this site will be entering the Whakatane River. However, the dilution of the groundwater with the surface water upon reaching the river will significantly reduce dioxin concentration and may reduce levels below guidelines values. Assuming that the contaminant load occurs along the entire 600m length of the wood waste alongside the Whakatane River, then the total dioxin contaminant load to the river would be 2.4×10^{-10} Kg TEQ/day.

The guideline values are as below:

Site Groundwater Velocity

(m/day) Travel time to receptor (days) Groundwater Discharge (m³/day/m²)

Contaminant load

(mg TEQ/day/m² Cross-sectional area of waste (m²) Contaminant load

(kg TEQ/day)

25- Mataatua Reserve 0.60 9 0.050 4.0 x 10⁻⁷ 600 2.4 x 10⁻¹⁰

Guideline Values for Dioxins.

Media Dioxin Ranking Basis of protection Landuse/purpose Guideline Value

Guideline document
Surface soil HpCDD/OCDD NZ risk based Human health Oral
Dermal
Combined 0.078 ng TEQ/g
1.2ng TEQ/g
0.074 ng TEQ/g Cavanagh, 20031
PCDD/PCDR NZ risk based Human health Residential
Parkland & Recreational
Industrial (unpaved)
Industrial (paved)
Maintenance 63 pg TEQ/g

120 pg TEQ/g
740 pg TEQ/g
3700 pg TEQ/g
1500 pg TEQ/g Salcor2
TCDD Int. risk-based Human health Industrial
Residential 16 pg TEQ/g
3.0 pg TEQ/g US EPA, 20023
PCDD/PCDF Int. risk-based Human health & toxicology Residential/Parkland

Industrial
Commercial
Agricultural 4 pg TEQ/g

4 pg TEQ/g
4 pg TEQ/g
4 pg TEQ/g CCME, 2002 (Canadian)
PCDD/PCDF Int. risk-based Human health & toxicology Residential 1000pg
TEQ/g Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning & the Environment, 20004 (Dutch)
Ground Water HpCDD/OCDD NZ risk based Human health & toxicology Drinking
water 0.0075 ng TEQ/L Cavanagh, 2003
PCDD/PCDF Int. risk-based Human health & toxicology Drinking water 1 pg
TEQ/L Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning & the Environment, 20004 (Dutch)
Notes: 1These levels were specifically derived for the GRM report; 2Health
Investigation Levels summarised in GRM, 2004a; 3Preliminary remediation
goal; 4Indicative level for residential purposes.

The report recommends no further groundwater monitoring for the site. Instead it supports Regional Council's aquatic habitat and biota monitoring results in order to assess if there is any deleterious effects on the Whakatane River's aquatic ecosystem. Environment Bay of Plenty has been asked for the latest version of the Site Management Plan for the site and for any recent biota and aquatic sampling. A copy of the Site Management Plan for Mataatua Reserve will be sent to the submitter.

His Worship the Mayor/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Controls

Activity: Building inspection

Programme: Building consents

File Reference: 27.1.1.7

File Code: 131 *Robert Lyford, Lyford Partnership*

Refer to page 633-634 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lyford Partnership - Charged over \$11,000 when building new house for extra load on infrastructure. However demolished old house and caravan site which combined house for 6 people. Therefore load has been lessened by 4 people. Will Council refund difference or is money really for Council coffers? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council's Development Contributions Policy provides the guidelines to evaluate the level of development contribution applicable to individual development proposals. This Policy also provides a formal mechanism for applicants to seek a review of the quantum of the development contribution assessed in any instance.

Chambers/Johnston

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Controls

Activity: Building inspection

Programme: Building consents

File Reference: 27.1.1

File Code: 61 *Bronwen Foxx, Disabilities Resource Centre Trust*

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - (p.10) With reference to 'affordable quality housing being available for all' and, 'appropriate support available for disadvantaged, disabled and those in need'. Disabilities Resource Centre Trust would like to offer its services at the planning stage of any housing projects. We offer access to our Barrier-Free assessor, therefore ensuring that the right information is available for any construction projects around our aging/disabled residents. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council thanks the DRC for its offer. However, Council's Building Control staff undertake Barrier Free Audit training as part of the Council obtaining and maintaining its accreditation as a Building Consent Authority. An mutually beneficial opportunity exists for the Disabilities Resources Trust to provide the design advisory services of its Barrier Free Advisor to the private sector.

Hanlen/His Worship the Mayor

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Environmental Controls

Activity: Building inspection

Programme: Building consents

File Reference:

File Code: 15 *Jean Eivers, Te Teko Residents Association*

Refer to page 438 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Teko Residents Association - Opposes building consent increase when there is a rates increase. Submitter suggests make a differential between developers and private dwellers. If not, council will be setting up slum conditions and if private householders are continually penalized for trying to improve their living conditions, Council will indeed receive greater income from the cemetery (p2 Byways) - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Increases to building consent fees and charges reflect the change to the Council's funding policy for the activity and the increased compliance costs for Council to meet its building accreditation requirements.

Hanlen/His Worship the Mayor

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Library services

Programme: Annual service fee

File Reference: 27.1.7

File Code: 58 *Pat Doran, Whakatane Friends of the Library*

Refer to page 407-409 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Friends of the Library - Support dropping the fee as it would help towards the library material being available to a large cross section of readers and a strong possibility that it would encourage people to again use the library. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

Thank you for your submission. Please note other fees have changed within this cost centre.

Chambers/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Library services

Programme: Annual service fee

File Reference: 27.1.7

File Code: 46 *Ron Mahy*

Refer to page 410 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ron Mahy - Against the wiping of the library fee. - be declined.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council was unable to continue to charge a library fee under the provisions of the Local Government Act 2002. Note that other fees have been charged in this cost centre.

Chambers/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Library services

Programme: Annual service fee

File Reference: 27.1.7

File Code: 41 *Winsome Mitchell, Whakatane Friends of the Library*

Refer to page 411-412 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Friends of the Library - Approve of plan to drop existing library membership fee. This is a step towards providing the needs of the community and should see a boost in membership numbers considering the decrease with the introduction of a membership fee. Heartily endorse the proposed step. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

Thank you for your submission. Please note other fees have changed within this cost centre

Chambers/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Library services

Programme: Annual service fee

File Reference: 27.1.7

File Code: 40 *Winsome Mitchell*

Refer to page 413-414 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Winsome Mitchell - Endorse the proposed dropping of the library membership fee which will revive the membership numbers. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

Thank you for your submission. Please note other fees have changed within this cost centre

Chambers/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Library services

Programme: Library

File Reference: 2.6.3

File Code: 105 *John Hauwaho, Youth Coucil*

Refer to page 452-454 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Youth Coucil - Also asks Council to consider providing study rooms in the library with internet access to help older students. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The suggestion is appreciated; the need recognized by library staff. We are in the process of changing how the current floor space is used, with the aim of providing more study areas. However, the current library floor space is limited. The proposed new Arts & Culture Centre, if it proceeds would include features such as study and research areas.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Library services

Programme: Library

File Reference: 2.6.3

File Code: 24 *D. De Raat*

Refer to page 627 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from D. De Raat - Library use will probably decrease as more people get computers to seek information. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

This is a perception not supported by current trends. The patterns of usage have changed as computers have provided additional ways that the community can interact with the library service (e.g. people renew or reserve library books online rather than visiting the library for every transaction). Having said this, physical library visits have averaged 7500/month over the past 2 years (higher than visits in the years since 2001); electronic library visits average 1136/month; this is an additional measure of usage that did not exist in 2001. Therefore, library usage is higher as more people use computers to seek information.

Computers have also led to the library taking a different role in the community (e.g. assisting with information literacy so that people are taught to use the internet and other online resources to access information; providing online catalogues so that people do not need to visit the library to see what it holds). Several of the online resources provided by the library require library membership to access them from home or for them to be used within the library e.g. Ancestry.com.. One can expect library usage to expand physically and electronically as a result of this. The removal of the library service fee is expected to also increase the level of use.

Hanlen/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Library services

Programme: Library

File Reference: 2.6.3

File Code: 40 *Winsome Mitchell*

Refer to page 413-414 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Winsome Mitchell - Believe that the present library site is ideal, it is central and accessible, there is room to extend and money has already been set aside. The museum can be developed separately. This has dragged on for four years with no progress. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

There are a number of locations being investigated as part of the scoping for the proposed Arts & Culture Centre. Your submission will be considered within this process. In regard to the Arts and Culture Centre the Council resolved the following:

1. THAT the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis \$ 90,000

Visit to other sites \$ 5,000

TOTAL \$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces \$130,000

Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each \$ 12,500

Presentation and packaging to "sell" to external funders and sponsors \$ 25,000

Contingency \$ 20,000

TOTAL \$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications \$100,000

Costs for further options \$ 85,000

Partner and investment dependent on project cost tba

Associated expense \$ 20,400

Contingency \$ 30,000

TOTAL \$235,400

2. THAT the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and

3. THAT staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Library services

Programme: Library

File Reference: 2.6.3

File Code: 58 *Pat Doran, Whakatane Friends of the Library*

Refer to page 407-409 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Friends of the Library - There is insufficient room in the existent library for the books and the activities that a library should have. The grandiose vision of the Whakatane library is all very well but this would be years away. Makes more sense to increase the size by expanding over the enclosed garden and onto the footpath. Need and interim solution to tide over the library in the meantime. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

There are a number of locations being investigated as part of the scoping for the proposed Arts & Culture Centre. Your submission will be considered within this process. In the meantime, the library is reviewing its current space to create better separation of activities and the collections.

In regard to the Arts and Culture Centre the Council resolved the following:

1. THAT the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis \$ 90,000
Visit to other sites \$ 5,000
TOTAL \$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces \$130,000
Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each \$ 12,500
Presentation and packaging to “sell” to external funders and sponsors \$ 25,000
Contingency \$ 20,000
TOTAL \$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications \$100,000
Costs for further options \$ 85,000
Partner and investment dependent on project cost tba
Associated expense \$ 20,400
Contingency \$ 30,000
TOTAL \$235,400

2. THAT the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and

3. THAT staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Library services

Programme: Library

File Reference: 2.6.9

File Code: 72 *Eileen Bell*

Refer to page 322-330 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eileen Bell - Support joining Library and Museum, but not in location proposed in Town Vision. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

There are a number of locations being investigated as part of the scoping for the proposed Arts & Culture Centre. Your submission will be considered within this process.

In regard to the Arts and Culture Centre the Council resolved the following:

1. THAT the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis \$ 90,000

Visit to other sites \$ 5,000

TOTAL \$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces \$130,000

Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each \$ 12,500

Presentation and packaging to “sell” to external funders and sponsors \$ 25,000

Contingency \$ 20,000

TOTAL \$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications \$100,000

Costs for further options \$ 85,000

Partner and investment dependent on project cost tba

Associated expense \$ 20,400

Contingency \$ 30,000

TOTAL \$235,400

2. THAT the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and

3. THAT staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Library services

Programme: Library

File Reference: 2.6.3

File Code: 41 *Winsome Mitchell, Whakatane Friends of the Library*

Refer to page 411-412 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Friends of the Library - Current library facilities found to be inadequate in 2003. Since then no progress has been made, which will be made worse by town vision being unpopular. Current site should be developed as central and easily accessed, with money already set aside for this project. No rearranging will solve problem of cramped space. Exasperated that yet another consultation process looks likely to be put in place. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

There are a number of locations being investigated as part of the scoping for the proposed Arts & Culture Centre. Your submission will be considered within this process.

In regard to the Arts and Culture Centre the Council resolved the following:

1. THAT the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis \$ 90,000

Visit to other sites \$ 5,000

TOTAL \$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces \$130,000

Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each \$ 12,500

Presentation and packaging to "sell" to external funders and sponsors \$ 25,000
Contingency \$ 20,000
TOTAL \$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications \$100,000
Costs for further options \$ 85,000
Partner and investment dependent on project cost tba
Associated expense \$ 20,400
Contingency \$ 30,000
TOTAL \$235,400

2. THAT the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and

3. THAT staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Library services

Programme: Library

File Reference: 2.6.3

File Code: 122 *GF Van Beek*

Refer to page 405-406 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from GF Van Beek - Relocate the library to the former Briscoe's building - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

There are a number of locations being investigated as part of the scoping for the proposed Arts & Culture Centre. Your submission will be considered within this process.

In regard to the Arts and Culture Centre the Council resolved the following:

1. THAT the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis \$ 90,000
Visit to other sites \$ 5,000
TOTAL \$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces \$130,000
Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each \$ 12,500
Presentation and packaging to “sell” to external funders and sponsors \$ 25,000
Contingency \$ 20,000
TOTAL \$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications \$100,000
Costs for further options \$ 85,000
Partner and investment dependent on project cost tba
Associated expense \$ 20,400
Contingency \$ 30,000
TOTAL \$235,400

2. THAT the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and

3. THAT staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Museum and Gallery

Programme: Museum

File Reference: 2.6.9

File Code: 44 *Barry Marshall, Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc*

Refer to page 433-434 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc - Discontinue expensive vision to relocate library and museum - not an essential cost but a desirable that the community can not afford - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is yet to make a decision about the proposed Arts and Culture centre, including its location. On the 19 December 2007, the Council provided funding to engage consultants to develop a Terms of Reference for the scope and design of an Arts and Culture Centre. It stated as a reason that "the Council acknowledged that the Terms of Reference was a prerequisite for providing information on what was proposed, where it was proposed, what external funding agencies would be involved and the funding implications for the community". The Council will then be better placed to make decisions about an Arts and Culture Centre.

In regard to the Arts and Culture Centre the Council resolved the following:

1. THAT the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis \$ 90,000
Visit to other sites \$ 5,000
TOTAL \$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces \$130,000
Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each \$ 12,500
Presentation and packaging to "sell" to external funders and sponsors \$ 25,000
Contingency \$ 20,000
TOTAL \$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications \$100,000
Costs for further options \$ 85,000
Partner and investment dependent on project cost tba
Associated expense \$ 20,400
Contingency \$ 30,000
TOTAL \$235,400

2. THAT the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and

3. THAT staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Museum and Gallery

Programme: Museum

File Reference: 2.6.9

File Code: 92 *Julie Grindley*

Refer to page 108 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Julie Grindley - Strongly object to building of an arts centre/museum. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitter is thanked for their comments.

The Council is yet to make a decision about the proposed Arts and Culture centre, including its location. On the 19 December 2007, the Council provided funding to engage consultants to develop a Terms of Reference for the scope and design of an Arts and Culture Centre. It stated as a reason that "the Council acknowledged that the Terms of Reference was a prerequisite for providing information on what was proposed, where it was proposed, what external funding agencies would be involved and the funding implications for the community". The Council will then be better placed to make decisions about an Arts and Culture Centre.

In regard to the Arts and Culture Centre the Council resolved the following:

1. THAT the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis \$ 90,000
Visit to other sites \$ 5,000
TOTAL \$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces \$130,000
Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each \$ 12,500
Presentation and packaging to “sell” to external funders and sponsors \$ 25,000
Contingency \$ 20,000
TOTAL \$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications \$100,000
Costs for further options \$ 85,000
Partner and investment dependent on project cost tba
Associated expense \$ 20,400
Contingency \$ 30,000
TOTAL \$235,400

2. THAT the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and

3. THAT staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Museum and Gallery

Programme: Museum

File Reference: 2.6.9

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Ngati Awa aspires to establishing a museum to house its collection of artefacts. Te Whare Wananga o Awanuiarangi is also interested in development of a new university library. Note Ngati Awa interests in development of a library and museum. Invite continued discussion on these matters. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council welcomes the opportunity to continue discussions with Te Runanga o Ngati Awa and Te Whare Wananga o Awanuiarangi about how to work together towards the development of some shared facilities that will meet the needs of each entity and better serve the social, cultural, educational and recreational aspirations of the Whakatane District and the Mataatua rohe. To this end all parties aim to develop a Memorandum of Understanding that recognises the intent and supports the strategic directions of each other. Work on this is progressing.

In regard to the Arts and Culture Centre the Council resolved the following:

1. THAT the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis \$ 90,000

Visit to other sites \$ 5,000

TOTAL \$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces \$130,000

Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each \$ 12,500

Presentation and packaging to “sell” to external funders and sponsors \$ 25,000

Contingency \$ 20,000

TOTAL \$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications \$100,000

Costs for further options \$ 85,000

Partner and investment dependent on project cost tba

Associated expense \$ 20,400

Contingency \$ 30,000

TOTAL \$235,400

2. THAT the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and

3. THAT staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Museum and Gallery

Programme: Museum

File Reference: 2.6.2

File Code: 105 *John Hauwaho, Youth Coucil*

Refer to page 452-454 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Youth Coucil - Asks the Council to consider providing a more interactive environment to attract people to the library and museum.

Also asks the museum be more in tune with the school curriculum and be able to provide better resources like Gallipoli and the Treaty of Waitangi. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council agrees with the need to provide a more interactive environment to attract people. The Arts and Culture Strategic Plan acknowledges that libraries and museums are universal testimony of society's commitment to education as a lifelong process. To fulfil this, the arts and culture team are committed to providing an interactive learning environment that capitalizes on people's interest in technology and other multi-media methods to capture and inspire inquiry.

If a new Arts and Culture Centre does proceed it is likely that an educational suite including activity workshops for young and old.

In regard to the Arts and Culture Centre the Council resolved the following:

1. THAT the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis \$ 90,000

Visit to other sites \$ 5,000

TOTAL \$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces \$130,000

Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each \$ 12,500

Presentation and packaging to "sell" to external funders and sponsors \$ 25,000

Contingency \$ 20,000

TOTAL \$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications \$100,000

Costs for further options \$ 85,000

Partner and investment dependent on project cost tba

Associated expense \$ 20,400

Contingency \$ 30,000

TOTAL \$235,400

2. THAT the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and

3. THAT staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Libraries and Museum

Activity: Museum and Gallery

Programme: Museum

File Reference: 2.6.9

File Code: 31 *Ted Hipkiss*

Refer to page 399 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ted Hipkiss - Museum and Gallery: Oppose \$500,000 allocation - be declined.

Reason for the Decision:

In regard to the Arts and Culture Centre the Council resolved the following:

1. THAT the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis \$ 90,000
Visit to other sites \$ 5,000
TOTAL \$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces \$130,000
Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each \$ 12,500
Presentation and packaging to “sell” to external funders and sponsors \$ 25,000
Contingency \$ 20,000
TOTAL \$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications \$100,000
Costs for further options \$ 85,000
Partner and investment dependent on project cost tba
Associated expense \$ 20,400
Contingency \$ 30,000
TOTAL \$235,400

2. THAT the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and

3. THAT staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure.

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Brooke*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Brooke - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Georgia*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Georgia - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Rhys*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rhys - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for

development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Jayden*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jayden - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Recreation**

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Rhiley*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rhiley - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Recreation**

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Tessa*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Tessa - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Joanie*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Joanie - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Josh Cairns*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Josh Cairns - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Ngahua*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ngahua - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Taylor*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Taylor - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Charlie*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Charlie - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of

such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Oliver*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Oliver - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community

Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Caleb Nelson*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Caleb Nelson - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Tewaiarangi*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Tewaiarangi - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Zac*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Zac - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the

provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Madison*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Madison - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the

Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Matthew*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matthew - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Vincent M-D*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Vincent M-D - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Fee Dunloopp*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Fee Dunloopp - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Katy Zander*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Katy Zander - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Alanna*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Alanna - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.1.9

File Code: 44 *Barry Marshall, Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc*

Refer to page 433-434 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc - Cease costly relocation of Ohope tennis courts to Port Ohope - not an essential cost but a desirable that the community can not afford - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has yet to make a decision about the development of additional tennis courts in Ohope. The need for the facilities is yet to be determined. Any decision to fund additional facilities would require community support.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.6.16

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Improve the recreation reserve at Matahina Lake to allow for Waka Ama and rowing training and annual regatta as well as designating space for 'Tuna (eel) guard' facility. Allocate funds and promote proposed project with waka ama and rowing clubs. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has been working with local Waka Ama clubs to improve facilities and access within Whakatane over the past 12 to 18 months.

The Council would be interested in receiving a proposal from the Waka Ama community and other sporting/recreational clubs in regard to Matahina. Any proposal would need to be developed in conjunction with Trust power who are the owners of land adjacent to the lake.

His Worship the Mayor/Johnston

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.6.11

File Code: 27 *Richard Yates, Eastern Bay of Plenty Cricket Association*

Refer to page 421-425 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eastern Bay of Plenty Cricket Association - Request that the Council building a small garage like shed built at Rex Morpeth Park to house the roller and ancillary equipment necessary for the preparation and maintenance of the cricket wickets at Rex Morpeth Park. Propose that the shed could be discreetly located alongside or near the cricket training nets, that it be lockable with a concrete floor and approximately 6m long x 3m wide.

Equipment used for the maintenance of the cricket pitch is located behind the Arts Society and in the public toilets attached to the Whakatane Town Soccer Club. The organisation have obtained a quotation for the construction of the shed, which is attached to the submission. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council would prefer that sporting clubs located at Rex Morpeth Park (and other reserves) work together to make best use of existing and new facilities. The Council looks to avoid the construction of adhoc buildings on Recreation Reserves. The Council would encourage the upgrading of the existing shed behind the Arts Society premises. It is understood that the equipment is primarily used during the summer months. The Council would be interested in plans to extend, upgrade or replace the existing shed to accommodate the equipment.

Councillor Scott Jarrett and Paula Chapman, Manager Community Facilities will contact you to work through this matter and find a satisfactory solution.

Hanlen/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Fergus Hamilton*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Fergus Hamilton - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of

\$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Jordan Mckenzie*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jordan Mckenzie - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.6.11

File Code: 33 *Richard Yates, Eastern Bay of Plenty Cricket Association*

Refer to page 426-428 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eastern Bay of Plenty Cricket Association - Request that Council consider installing a second automatic irrigation system on Rex Morpeth Park, which is the only grass wicket venue in the Eastern Bay. When the automatic irrigation system was installed on the first block, allowances were made for the installation of another system on the second block. An automatic irrigation system on the second wicket will contribute greatly to the maintenance and preparation of the second block during the summer. The continual promotion of grass growth is fundamentally important in establishing a good grass wicket. A quote for the irrigation system is attached to the submission. The cost of the total installation is \$4838.37 excl GST. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The installation of an irrigation system on the second wicket is supported. A sum of \$5,000 from subdividers cash in lieu fund has been included in the 2008/09 financial year.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.1.11

File Code: 29 *Wayne Werder, Sport BOP*

Refer to page 340-343 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sport BOP - Very supportive of the proposed ramp access at Landing Road for Waka Ama, rowing and kayaking, and the Edgecumbe playground development. The proposed skate park at Maraetotara is supported provided the demand still exists. However would recommend a strategy whereby there was some flexibility to respond to and leverage off

physical activity trends in a quicker timeframe. Support the playground in Waterways, Ohope. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is conscious of the need to monitor national trends and to liaise with local clubs regarding the provision of facilities to meet recreational and sporting needs. The Council looks to encourage the rationalisation and multi use of facilities where appropriate.

Hanlen/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.1.1

File Code: 64 *Vicky Richards, Pathway 2 Health*

Refer to page 333-334 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Pathway 2 Health - The fees for parks and reserves are very high for some volunteer community groups. Suggest that Whakatane District Council develop a community rate for hiring fields and facilities for organisations who are not making a profit from the activity they wish to hold. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Public use of recreation reserves is free. The Council does charge where exclusive use of a park or reserve is required. This acknowledges that the facility is not available to the general public for a specific time. These charges for reserves and facilities provide a tiered level of charging which ensures that non commercial users are charged less. The level of income received from fees and charges for reserves is very low in comparison to the cost of maintaining these facilities.

Bonne/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.6.17

File Code: 64 *Vicky Richards, Pathway 2 Health*

Refer to page 333-334 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Pathway 2 Health - Very supportive of the proposed ramp access at Landing Road for Waka Ama, rowing and kayaking, and the Edgecumbe playground development and the proposed skate park at

Maraetotara. Supports playground in Waterways, Ohope. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitter is thanked for their comments.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Kyle Hollowood*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kyle Hollowood - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.12.2

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - Support construction of Edgecumbe Skate park - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

In 2003/04 the Edgecumbe Community Board were in support of the development of a skate park in Edgecumbe. The Board requested that a Skate park Committee be established to arrange grants and to discuss a preferred site. Unfortunately due to lack of support from the youth the project did not proceed.

The Council understands that the Edgecumbe-Tarawera Community Board has heard from youth representatives in Edgecumbe who have received information on how to proceed with funding applications to other organisations such as Trusts.

No funding has been included in the 2008/09 budget. If a skateboard park in Edgecumbe is to proceed the Council would need to include funding in the 2009/10 budget and this would depend on the scale of the project and funding received from other sources.

Te Kurapa/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Zair*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Zair - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Roman*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Roman - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Liam Brunetti*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Liam Brunetti - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Oliver Dobbin*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Oliver Dobbin - In favour of a New skate park at

Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Finn Jenkins*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Finn Jenkins - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the

community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Mark Haines*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Mark Haines - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Recreation**

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Kendal*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kendal - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Recreation**

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Shavaughn*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Shavaughn - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Fraser*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Fraser - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Revell*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Revell - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.1.1

File Code: 29 *Wayne Werder, Sport BOP*

Refer to page 340-343 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sport BOP - The fees for parks and reserves are very high for some volunteer community groups. Suggest that Whakatane District Council develop a community rate for hiring fields and facilities for organisations who are not making a profit from the activity they wish to hold. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Public use of recreation reserves is free. The Council does charge where exclusive use of a park or reserve is required. This acknowledges that the facility is not available to the general public for a specific time. These charges for reserves and facilities provide a tiered level of charging which ensures that non commercial users are charged less. The level of income received from fees and charges for reserves is very low in comparison to the cost of maintaining these facilities.

In regard to built facilities the Councils fees and charges currently reflect the Councils adopted funding formula for that activity. For example charges associated with the hire of Community halls recovers only 20% of the associated cost of operating the facilities.

Hanlen/His Worship the Mayor

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Brodee Atuhaka*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Brodee Atuhaka - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Blair Scott*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Blair Scott - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Connor*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Connor - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Ryan F*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ryan F - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Broc Hands*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Broc Hands - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Recreation**

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Max Moxam*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Max Moxam - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size

and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Jesse Vosey-Fewen*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jesse Vosey-Fewen - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Recreation**

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Elliott Jenfins*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Elliott Jenfins - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Recreation**

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Lachie Stokes*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lachie Stokes - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Seth Hands*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Seth Hands - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for

development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Max Waugh*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Max Waugh - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Recreation**

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Leo Casey*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Leo Casey - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Recreation**

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Emma Groeneveld*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Emma Groeneveld - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Willem Gordon*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Willem Gordon - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Matt Wylie*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matt Wylie - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Shaun Cambell*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Shaun Cambell - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Daniel M*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Daniel M - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Paraone M*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Paraone M - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of

such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Matt Groeneveld*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matt Groeneveld - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community

Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Leo Griffiths*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Leo Griffiths - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 130 *Lenny Jenkins*

Refer to page 420 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lenny Jenkins - Supports the skate park development plan for Maraetotara Reserve. A petition with 75 signatures was attached to the submission. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Jasinda Bakersingh*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jasinda Bakersingh - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Michael*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Michael - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Hentry Hail*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Hentry Hail - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Vinnie Smith*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Vinnie Smith - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Nathan Hunt*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Nathan Hunt - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Jack Nelson*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jack Nelson - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Dylan*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Dylan - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Hayden*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Hayden - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Terri*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Terri - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Casey*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Casey - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Connor Saunders*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Connor Saunders - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Maiah Barnfield*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Maiah Barnfield - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Ben Thompson*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ben Thompson - In favour of a New skate park at

Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Dylan Stott*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Dylan Stott - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the

community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Jerra Thompson*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jerra Thompson - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Recreation**

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Gabe*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Gabe - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Recreation**

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Roselee Toane*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Roselee Toane - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Grace Morley*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Grace Morley - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Nathan Gebert*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Nathan Gebert - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Timmy Smith*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Timmy Smith - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Hunter Billings*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Hunter Billings - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.
2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Recreation

Programme: Sports facilities

File Reference: 11.7.2

File Code: 133 *Jac Connolly*

Refer to page 415-419 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jac Connolly - In favour of a New skate park at Maraetotara Reserve - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT a sum of \$50,473 be confirmed in the budget for 2008/09 for the provision of a skateboard park at Maraetotara Reserve to be funded from the Ohope subdividers cash-in-lieu fund and that it be used to match the cost of

such a facility on a \$1 for \$1 basis by the community up to an amount of \$50,473.

2. THAT the project be referred back to the Ohope Beach Community Board for development in consultation with the community with a view to engaging the community in contributing to the funding of the project and determining the size and scale of the skateboard park.

Reason for decision:

The Ohope Beach Community Board approved the use of the \$50,473 from the Ohope subdividers cash in lieu account subject to the community matching the funding dollar for dollar. The Council supports the Ohope Beach Community Board to engage the community in developing the project.

The submitter will be contacted to discuss the next step in the process. The Council acknowledges the petition with 75 signatures.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Swimming pools

Programme: Whakatane Aquatic Centre

File Reference: 10.4.5

File Code: 61 *Bronwen Foxx, Disabilities Resource Centre Trust*

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - (p.64) The Disabilities Resource Centre Trust wishes to acknowledge the exceptional facilities offered at the Aquatic Centre. However, the Disabilities Resource Centre Trust feel that the repairs and maintenance of the disabled changing rooms are not being addressed. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council advises that improvements to the disabled changing facilities are being investigated and costed. Options will be considered early 2008/09.

Bonne/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Halls

Programme: War Memorial Hall

File Reference: 10.2.2

File Code: 141 *Betty Lyon, Awakeri War Memorial Hall Committee*

Refer to page of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Awakeri War Memorial Hall Committee - Object to proposed increase in Hall hireage, should be the same as last year. Needs to be affordable to community.

Question reasoning behind depreciation not being claimed on the Hall. Would like to know whether the Hall is insured. Object to no maintenance being done on the hall in last 12 months. Proposed changes should have been brought to Committee's attention in writing as it affects the way the hall is run. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The change to hall hire charges reflects the Councils funding policy for Community Halls. The existing level charges are not sustainable.

Depreciation is not being charged against the hall as it is unlikely the hall would be replaced at the end of its useful life. The Council is investigating returning full responsibility for rural halls to the relevant communities.

The Awakeri War Memorial Hall is fully insured.

His Worship the Mayor/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Halls

Programme: War Memorial Hall

File Reference: 10.2.1

File Code: 83 *George Chambers, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 624 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - Council should quickly divest itself of responsibility for urban and community halls with the exception of the War Memorial Hall complex. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is open to rural communities taking over responsibility for their community halls. Consultation with hall committees to explore this option took place approximately 3 years ago and most were not interested in taking over the responsibility from Council. However the Council is keen to talk to hall committees and the community about the future of rural community halls. While some local authorities have successfully withdrawn from the provision of small community halls other more rural based local authorities have struggled. The Council intends to actively pursue divestment of community halls over the next twelve months.

A report will be presented to the Council in the next few months and a copy of the report will be sent to the Community Board for your information.

In 2003 the Council consulted with all Community Hall committees regarding

community autonomy for each of the rural halls. The Galatea Hall committee took up the offer and negotiated an agreement with the Council that involves an annual grant of \$5,000. The Council is still responsible for the ownership costs (such as insurance and fire security) however all day to day maintenance is undertaken by the hall committee. The Council also undertakes approved capital works. The Manawahe Hall committee did show some interest in the proposal but following a review of the responsibilities declined the offer. All other rural committees were not interested in the proposal.

The Council undertook a special consultative process to promote having operational services contracts for the three urban halls of Edgecumbe, Murupara and Ohope. Although some works has been completed towards a form of contract (through Opus) it has not yet been tested on any of the three halls.

Many local authorities have struggled with balancing the cost of maintaining these facilities with the limited use most of them now have. The more urban based local authority have halls successfully managed by leisure type companies who use the facilities for their own community programmes and also make the halls available for public use. In these instances the local authority still supports the hall through upgrading and renewal works. The use of rural halls has been more difficult. In Wanganui the Council decided to transfer responsibility to rural communities and stopped funding the maintenance and operation of these halls. It is understood that the hall committees have not agreed to this transfer and hence the matter is still unresolved with some halls not being maintained.

In our District we have two halls which are not council owned and are successfully run by the communities involved (Awakaponga and Nukuhou North). In these situations the Council covers specific costs for the Hall which are limited to insurance and water utilities. These two examples could provide a template for the Council to follow for other rural halls. Should the committees still not be interested in taking responsibility for individual halls it is suggested that the Council undertake discussions with the main users of each of the rural halls to determine whether there are any opportunities for the hall assets to be managed by others and still remain available for community use (e.g. schools, or clubs). It should be noted the majority of halls are located on reserve land.

Alternatively the Council may wish to consider the establishment of special rates to coincide with halls in each rating area. In this way communities will directly fund halls within their rating area. Council halls are currently funded over the whole District.

His Worship the Mayor/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Halls

Programme: War Memorial Hall

File Reference: 10.2.14

File Code: 73 *Hugh Lovelock*

Refer to page 369-370 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Hugh Lovelock - Urgent consideration should be given to an external and internal upgrade and/or expansion of the Whakatane War Memorial Hall. There is ample room on site for a major redevelopment including an auditorium to seat 800 people together with associated conference facilities. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

In 2006 the Economic Development Board funded a study into the feasibility of altering the Whakatane War Memorial Hall into an events/conference centre. The study proposed altering the Theatre and reception lounge areas to cater for conferencing. The estimated cost of doing so at that time based on undeveloped plans was \$925,000. The Council considered the matter at its meeting of August 2006 and resolved;

THAT the APR Consultants report "Whakatane War Memorial Hall Reception Lounge and Foyer Modification Study" be considered as part of the overall study of options for the Library and Museum, Multi Sport Centre and Whakatane War Memorial Hall.

The Council included provision (\$4,000,000) in the draft LTCCP 2004-2014 for a Multi-sport Events Centre in the 2010/11 financial year. The item received support from the community and was published in the final document.

- An indoor multisport centre which will cater for the growing diversity in indoor recreation activity. It is planned for the 2010/11 financial year.

In the draft 2006-2016 LTCCP the Council provided \$4,600,000 in the 2009/10 financial year for the multisport centre. After consultation the Council made the following resolutions;

1. THAT the Museum & Gallery and Library extensions/building renewal project in Whakatane be moved forward to commence in 2008/09; and
2. THAT the development of a Multi-sports Centre be moved back to commence in 2010/11.

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council recognised the strong support from submitters for the Museum & Gallery and Library extensions/building renewal project in Whakatane and decided that this project would receive priority over the Multi-sports Centre in terms of timing and therefore brought this project a year forward in the Long-term Council Community Plan.

2. The Council acknowledged that the Multi-sports Centre had a lower priority rating by submitters and this was pushed back one year in the Long-term Council Community Plan.

Council also resolved

THAT a sum of \$50,000 be included in the 2006/07 for an investigation into and planning for purpose-built facilities for the Museum & Gallery and Library, the Multi-sports Event Centre and the Whakatane War Memorial Hall.

Reasons for Decision:

1. The Council believes there is an economy of scale to be gained from using the same consultant to investigate and plan for the purpose-built facilities for the Museum & Gallery, Library, the Multi-sports Event Centre and the Whakatane War Memorial Hall.
2. The Council believes there is urgency in undertaking the investigations and planning for these facilities.
3. The Council recognizes that additional funding is required to investigate and plan for a Multi-sports Event Centre and that this is inextricably linked with the re-development of the Whakatane War Memorial Hall.
4. The Council was mindful of the submissions requesting modifications to the Whakatane War Memorial Hall and recognizes that the fate of the Multi-sports Event Centre has a major impact on the future development of the hall.
5. The Council recognizes the work undertaken by the Eastern Bay of Plenty Development Board investigating the use of the Whakatane War Memorial Hall as a possible conference centre and believes that it is an opportune time to build on this preliminary work.

The final document for the 2006 - 2016 LTCCP confirmed \$4.6 million for the Multi-sport Event Centre in the 2010/11 year.

His Worship the Mayor/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Public conveniences

Programme: Public toilets

File Reference: 10.4.9

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - Support urgency with the development of toilet, fountain, launching and parking in the Landing Road bridge area. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has included the sum of \$130,000 in the Draft budget for the development of a public convenience in the vicinity of the Rowing Club on Landing Road. A further \$10,000 has been included for the installation of

Drinking Fountains in the Whakatane recreational areas.

The requirement for carparking will be investigated as part of the Landing Road Intersection Project.

Bonne/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Public conveniences

Programme: Public toilets

File Reference: 10.4.9

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - Creation of further toilets in close proximity to current facilities behind Reads Flooring on Council owned land. - be declined.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council advises that the distance from behind Reads Flooring to current toilet facilities is approximately 130 metres. The need for additional facilities in this particular location is not warranted.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Pensioner housing

Programme: Upgrade project

File Reference: 10.3.9

File Code: 61 *Bronwen Foxx, Disabilities Resource Centre Trust*

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - (p.64) The inclusion of the word "accessible" should be included in the pensioner housing paragraph as follows; "...well maintained, 'accessible', independent living environment".

Is there a programme of work in place to make the current pensioner housing accessible? Whakatane District Council will be aware of the aging nature of the population and the increased need for accessible housing. Disabilities Resource Centre Trust are happy to offer their assistance by offering that a Barrier Free assessor looks over any future plans. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has recently made substantial improvements to its pensioner housing stock and continues to improve the standard of housing available. The

design of current facilities provided allow for independent living and would not meet the requirements to be fully accessible. Major modifications would be required to all units.

Jarrett/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Strategic and investment property

Programme: Council-owned property

File Reference: 10.7.1

File Code: 88 *Pourotu Ngaropo, Iwi Liaison Committee*

Refer to page 94-95 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Iwi Liaison Committee - That the Iwi Liaison Committee be fully informed about any future Council owned assets. As part of the consultation process it is necessary that the Tutohinga be referred to before any decisions are made. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council works within the requirements of legislation. In particular the Local Government Act 2002, the Public Works Act 1981 and the Reserves Act 1977. In many cases these pieces of legislation provide the framework for the divestment of land. The Council does bring matters about future Council owned assets to the Iwi Liaison Committee.

The Local Government Act includes the requirement for Council to take into account the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions in regard to any "significant" decisions related land or a body of water.

Section 81 of the Local Government Act requires that the Council establish and maintain processes to provide opportunities for Maori to contribute to the decision-making processes.

The Council undertook a review of property holdings in 1999. At that time four properties were identified as being saleable and five properties were identified being possible sales provided certain process were undertaken. Three of the above properties are still held by the Council as follows

2 Oregon Drive, Murupara Previously leased to WINZ and now vacant Rateable Value is \$52,000

8 Nesbit Street, Matata Stream running through property, only feasible sale to adjoining owners, agreement with owners not able to be reached

White Pine Bush Road, Whakatane Leased for grazing Section 40 offer back

In 2003 the Council again reviewed its property holdings. This time the review was extended to include reserve property. The outcome of the review was; To identify any surplus reserve land with low amenity value but high saleability that could be sold and realise a reasonable return to Council.

An independent review by Property Strategies was completed and reported back

to Council. The review identified 10 reserve properties that could be investigated further for possible sale. The Council included this information in the 2006 – 2016 LTCCP. Each property needs to be considered individually prior to the consultation and decisions required by the Reserves Act 1977. All of these properties are still owned by the Council however \$46,000 was provided in the 2007/08 year to progress these items of which \$40,000 remains and can be carried over to 2008/09.

The Council has an interest in approximately 1120 land parcels/properties which vary in size, value and purpose. Of these approximately 195 are Harbour owned, 98 are road (mainly access ways, or carparking), 446 are reserve owned by Council, 121 are reserve where Council is the trustee, 154 are reserves owned by the crown (or others) where the Council is appointed to administer the reserve, 99 are operational properties (libraries, offices, works depots, halls, toilets, water supply, pensioner units etc), 8 are used by community organisations, approximately 6 are strategic or investment.

The Council will be aware that there are legislative restrictions to the divestment of most Council land such as the Public Works 1981 (for land used as a public work) and the Reserves Act 1977 (for land classified under the act) and the Local Government Act 2002 (for endowment land, or any land used as a park or for social housing, or considered a strategic asset). Most of the legislation requires public consultation.

Jarrett/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Strategic and investment property

Programme: Council-owned property

File Reference: 10.7.1

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Council's proposals for sale or transfer of land within Ngati Awa's area of interest should recognise that such land is confiscated land and should be offered to Ngati Awa in the first instance. All decisions about the sale or transfer of Council owned land must be through a transparent and public process. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council works within the requirements of legislation. In particular the Local Government Act 2002, the Public Works Act 1981 and the Reserves Act 1977. In many cases these pieces of legislation provide the framework for the divestment of land.

The Council undertook a review of property holdings in 1999. At that time four properties were identified as being saleable and five properties were identified being possible sales provided certain process were undertaken. Three of the above properties are still held by the Council as follows
2 Oregon Drive, Murupara Previously leased to WINZ and now vacant Rateable Value is \$52,000

8 Nesbit Street, Matata Stream running through property, only feasible sale to adjoining owners, agreement with owners not able to be reached
White Pine Bush Road, Whakatane Leased for grazing Section 40 offer back

In 2003 the Council again reviewed its property holdings. This time the review was extended to include reserve property. The outcome of the review was;
To identify any surplus reserve land with low amenity value but high saleability that could be sold and realise a reasonable return to Council.

An independent review by Property Strategies was completed and reported back to Council. The review identified 10 reserve properties that could be investigated further for possible sale. The Council included this information in the 2006 – 2016 LTCCP. Each property needs to be considered individually prior to the consultation and decisions required by the Reserves Act 1977. All of these properties are still owned by the Council however \$46,000 was provided in the 2007/08 year to progress these items of which \$40,000 remains and can be carried over to 2008/09.

The Council has an interest in approximately 1120 land parcels/properties which vary in size, value and purpose. Of these approximately 195 are Harbour owned, 98 are road (mainly access ways, or carparking), 446 are reserve owned by Council, 121 are reserve where Council is the trustee, 154 are reserves owned by the crown (or others) where the Council is appointed to administer the reserve, 99 are operational properties (libraries, offices, works depots, halls, toilets, water supply, pensioner units etc), 8 are used by community organisations, approximately 6 are strategic or investment.

The Council will be aware that there are legislative restrictions to the divestment of most Council land such as the Public Works 1981 (for land used as a public work) and the Reserves Act 1977 (for land classified under the act) and the Local Government Act 2002 (for endowment land, or any land used as a park or for social housing, or considered a strategic asset). Most of the legislation requires public consultation.

Jarrett/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Strategic and investment property

Programme: Council-owned property

File Reference: 11.7.3

File Code: 101 *Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board*

Refer to page 628-631 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohope Beach Community Board - (p.104) West End Road land acquisition. Requests that the Whakatane District Council continue its policy to have funds available to purchase land seaward of the road as and when it becomes available. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has allowed for the purchase of coastal land (such as that at West End) which improves public access opportunities to the coast in the Annual Plan. A sum of \$200,000 is included in the budget for continuing purchases of coastal

land.

Hanlen/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Strategic and investment property

Programme: Council-owned property

File Reference: 10.7.1

File Code: 101 *Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board*

Refer to page 628-631 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohope Beach Community Board - (p.17) The Ohope Beach Community Board wishes to be consulted before the divestment of Council owned land in Ohope. There is considerable future value to the Ohope community for a retirement complex in Ohope and the land at Port Ohope would be ideal for such a development. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council would refer any divestment of significant land in Ohope to the Ohope Beach Community Board for input.

The Council undertook a review of property holdings in 1999. At that time four properties were identified as being saleable and five properties were identified being possible sales provided certain process were undertaken. Three of the above properties are still held by the Council as follows

2 Oregon Drive, Murupara Previously leased to WINZ and now vacant Rateable Value is \$52,000

8 Nesbit Street, Matata Stream running through property, only feasible sale to adjoining owners, agreement with owners not able to be reached

White Pine Bush Road, Whakatane Leased for grazing Section 40 offer back

In 2003 the Council again reviewed its property holdings. This time the review was extended to include reserve property. The outcome of the review was; To identify any surplus reserve land with low amenity value abut high saleability that could be sold and realise a reasonable return to Council.

An independent review by Property Strategies was completed and reported back to Council. The review identified 10 reserve properties that could be investigated further for possible sale. The Council included this information in the 2006 – 2016 LTCCP. Each property needs to be considered individually prior to the consultation and decisions required by the Reserves Act 1977. All of these properties are still owned by the Council however \$46,000 was provided in the 2007/08 year to progress these items of which \$40,000 remains and can be carried over to 2008/09.

The Council has an interest in approximately 1120 land parcels/properties which vary in size, value and purpose. Of these approximately 195 are Harbour owned, 98 are road (mainly access ways, or carparking), 446 are reserve owned by Council, 121 are reserve where Council is the trustee, 154 are reserves owned by the crown (or others) where the Council is appointed to administer the

reserve, 99 are operational properties (libraries, offices, works depots, halls, toilets, water supply, pensioner units etc), 8 are used by community organisations, approximately 6 are strategic or investment. The Council will be aware that there are legislative restrictions to the divestment of most Council land such as the Public Works 1981 (for land used as a public work) and the Reserves Act 1977 (for land classified under the act) and the Local Government Act 2002 (for endowment land, or any land used as a park or for social housing, or considered a strategic asset). Most of the legislation requires public consultation.

Mayor and Cnr Byrne absent

Bonne/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Strategic and investment property

Programme: Port Ohope Concept Plan

File Reference: 13.4.3

File Code: 73 *Hugh Lovelock*

Refer to page 369-370 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Hugh Lovelock - Agree with broad concept but look forward to presenting further options for the future reserve protection and community and/or commercial uses. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council will be undertaking a variation to the district plan in the 2008/2009 year for the Port Ohope area and surrounding land. The variation will set out a framework for managing land uses in this area. The public and affected parties will be included in the consultation process and they will have an opportunity to make a submission.

Bonne/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Strategic and investment property

Programme: Sale of non-operational Council assets

File Reference: 10.7.1

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - Council's proposals for sale or transfer of land within Ngati Awa's area of interest should recognise that such land is confiscated land and should be offered to Ngati Awa in the first instance. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council works within the requirements of legislation. In particular the Local Government Act 2002, the Public Works Act 1981 and the Reserves Act 1977. In many cases these pieces of legislation provide the framework for the divestment of land.

Refer also to the comments under the submission point on Council-owned property

Shearer/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Strategic and investment property

Programme: Sale of non-operational Council assets

File Reference: 10.7.1

File Code: 44 *Barry Marshall, Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc*

Refer to page 433-434 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc - Resolve/adopt policy to not dispose of any Council land with potential for parks, reserves etc or WDC land with possibility of involving or facilitating inappropriate subdivision, use or development of such land. This would be of low cost and high benefit to the community. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Local Government Act 2002 provides a specific process around any proposed divestment of land used for parks which includes public consultation. The Reserves Act 1977 also provides for a process around any revocation and disposal of reserve land.

The Council will be reviewing and making decisions within the next year.

The Council undertook a review of property holdings in 1999. At that time four properties were identified as being saleable and five properties were identified being possible sales provided certain process were undertaken. Three of the above properties are still held by the Council as follows

2 Oregon Drive, Murupara Previously leased to WINZ and now vacant Rateable Value is \$52,000

8 Nesbit Street, Matata Stream running through property, only feasible sale to adjoining owners, agreement with owners not able to be reached

White Pine Bush Road, Whakatane Leased for grazing Section 40 offer back

In 2003 the Council again reviewed its property holdings. This time the review was extended to include reserve property. The outcome of the review was; To identify any surplus reserve land with low amenity value but high saleability that could be sold and realise a reasonable return to Council.

An independent review by Property Strategies was completed and reported back to Council. The review identified 10 reserve properties that could be investigated further for possible sale. The Council included this information in the 2006 – 2016 LTCCP. Each property needs to be considered individually prior to the consultation and decisions required by the Reserves Act 1977. All of these

properties are still owned by the Council however \$46,000 was provided in the 2007/08 year to progress these items of which \$40,000 remains and can be carried over to 2008/09.

The Council has an interest in approximately 1120 land parcels/properties which vary in size, value and purpose. Of these approximately 195 are Harbour owned, 98 are road (mainly access ways, or carparking), 446 are reserve owned by Council, 121 are reserve where Council is the trustee, 154 are reserves owned by the crown (or others) where the Council is appointed to administer the reserve, 99 are operational properties (libraries, offices, works depots, halls, toilets, water supply, pensioner units etc), 8 are used by community organisations, approximately 6 are strategic or investment.

The Council will be aware that there are legislative restrictions to the divestment of most Council land such as the Public Works 1981 (for land used as a public work) and the Reserves Act 1977 (for land classified under the act) and the Local Government Act 2002 (for endowment land, or any land used as a park or for social housing, or considered a strategic asset). Most of the legislation requires public consultation.

Jarrett/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Harbour lease properties

Programme: Town Vision

File Reference: 24.8.8

File Code: 52 *Peter McRae*

Refer to page 647-648 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter McRae - Requests the \$300,000 allocated to 'implement' the Town Vision be deleted as its inclusion is premature Page 15 - be declined.

Reason for the Decision:

The Town Vision is a long term plan for the next 20 plus years. The Council believes the Town Vision Planning will provide a framework for continued improvement within the CBD that will meet the needs of the community, business and visitors. Funding is required to implement the strategy. The following work will be required in 2008-09, set up development group, planning for integration of the Town Vision with the District Plan, costs associated with purchases Council has authorised, refine traffic needs.

The Town Vision Consultancy Costs have been included in the Annual Plan 2008/09 totalling \$300,000 as set out below.

Item Description Amount

Traffic Opus report - end of July /August \$15,000 - \$20,000

Development Group (TVDG) Establishment \$20,000 - \$40,000

Integration with District Plan Advice, planning and begin process \$120,000 - \$140,000

Property Consultancy Costs Legal, valuation, advice \$20,000

Property holding costs holding costs \$25,000

Urban Design Update plans, advice to TVDG \$20,000 - \$25,000
Internal costs Management, printing, consultation etc \$30,000

The Council further resolved

THAT a sum of \$840,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan to purchase two properties towards the implementation of the Town Vision Plan to be funded from the Harbour Property Account.

Reason for Decision:

The Council as part of the process of adopting the Town Vision Plan resolved to purchase two properties. The Town Vision decision making process was completed after the publication of the draft Annual Plan and no budgetary provision was included for property purchases.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Harbour lease properties

Programme: Town Vision

File Reference: 24.8.8

File Code: 24 *D. De Raat*

Refer to page 627 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from D. De Raat - The Strand is fine as it is - friendly inviting atmosphere, looks interesting. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Town Vision is a long term plan for the next 20 plus years. The Council believes the Town Vision Planning will provide a framework for continued improvement within the CBD that will meet the needs of the community, business and visitors. Funding is required to implement the strategy. The following work will be required in 2008-09, set up development group, planning for integration of the Town Vision with the District Plan, costs associated with purchases Council has authorised, refine traffic needs.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Harbour lease properties

Programme: Town Vision

File Reference: 24.8.8

File Code: 47 *John Blackwood*

Refer to page 623 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from John Blackwood - Oppose spending further \$200 000 on the Town Vision Plan. - be declined.

Reason for the Decision:

The Town Vision is a long term plan for the next 20 plus years. The Council believes the Town Vision Planning will provide a framework for continued improvement within the CBD that will meet the needs of the community, business and visitors. Funding is required to implement the strategy. The following work will be required in 2008-09, set up development group, planning for integration of the Town Vision with the District Plan, costs associated with purchases Council has authorised, refine traffic needs.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Harbour lease properties

Programme: Town Vision

File Reference: 24.8.8

File Code: 83 *George Chambers, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 624 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - Defer arts and culture centre development project - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is yet to make a decision about the proposed Arts and Culture centre, including its location. On the 19 December 2007, the Council provided funding to engage consultants to develop a Terms of Reference for the scope and design of an Arts and Culture Centre. It stated as a reason that "the Council acknowledged that the Terms of Reference was a prerequisite for providing information on what was proposed, where it was proposed, what external funding agencies would be involved and the funding implications for the community". The Council will then be better placed to make decisions about an Arts and Culture Centre.

The Council resolved:

1. THAT the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis \$ 90,000

Visit to other sites \$ 5,000

TOTAL \$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces \$130,000
Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each \$ 12,500
Presentation and packaging to "sell" to external funders and sponsors \$ 25,000
Contingency \$ 20,000
TOTAL \$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications \$100,000
Costs for further options \$ 85,000
Partner and investment dependent on project cost tba
Associated expense \$ 20,400
Contingency \$ 30,000
TOTAL \$235,400

2. THAT the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and

3. THAT staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Harbour lease properties

Programme: Town Vision

File Reference: 24.8.8

File Code: 90 *Janice Hill*

Refer to page 455 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Janice Hill - Paid parking in the CBD could kill the CBD and benefit other BOP towns and the HUB. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has resolved that staff provide a report on potential locations for the option of introducing Pay and Display parking in the future. As a result of the submissions received from the public against introducing P&D Parking in The Strand and the Kakarohoa Triangle (rear of The Strand) it would be unlikely that these areas would be included in any future investigations as suitable locations for P&D Parking.

Bonne/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Harbour lease properties

Programme: Town Vision

File Reference: 24.8.8

File Code: 73 *Hugh Lovelock*

Refer to page 369-370 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Hugh Lovelock - Agree with the proposal to maintain building at a minimal height at the river rising to a maximum height against the escarpment. In the principles there should not be an issue of building dominance with the current height restrictions. Height is justified up to 15mtrs against the escarpment if the floors above the ground floor are terraced against the escarpment. Agree with the principles of movement and connections however the feature buildings should not be the library, art gallery and museum. The area envisaged should be an open park with grass, low shrubs and seats. Hotel site is excellent but should have full conference facilities. Favour option C - partnering with developers for implementation. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitters is thanked for their comments.

The Council is yet to make a decision about the proposed Arts and Culture centre, including its location. On the 19 December 2007, the Council provided funding to engage consultants to develop a Terms of Reference for the scope and design of an Arts and Culture Centre. It stated as a reason that "the Council acknowledged that the Terms of Reference was a prerequisite for providing information on what was proposed, where it was proposed, what external funding agencies would be involved and the funding implications for the community". The Council will then be better placed to make decisions about an Arts and Culture Centre.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Harbour lease properties

Programme: Town Vision

File Reference: 24.8.8

File Code: 72 *Eileen Bell*

Refer to page 322-330 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eileen Bell - Support investigation to purchase Stewart Motors, 16 George Street. 1992-1995 triennium Council passed that the area should be a carpark. Council already owns remains of closed street. If the carpark building was several stories high it could work alongside widening the Hillcrest Road would avoid need to remove rocks from the hillside. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Preliminary work undertaken indicates that the property at 16 George Street is not suitable for a carparking building. At grade parking would be possible however the number of carparks able to be developed is restricted by the dimension of the site.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Harbour lease properties

Programme: Town Vision

File Reference: 24.8.8

File Code: 52 *Peter McRae*

Refer to page 647-648 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter McRae - The Arts and Culture Centre in the CBD as outlined in the Town Vision is not acceptable to a significant part of the community (page 61). Other options need to be explored including using existing floor space in the CBD.

Request the item amounting \$500,000 be deleted allowing further investigation on alternatives for the centre. - be declined.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitter is thanked for their comments.

The Council is yet to make a decision about the proposed Arts and Culture centre, including its location. On the 19 December 2007, the Council provided funding to engage consultants to develop a Terms of Reference for the scope and design of an Arts and Culture Centre. It stated as a reason that "the Council acknowledged that the Terms of Reference was a prerequisite for providing information on what was proposed, where it was proposed, what external funding agencies would be involved and the funding implications for the community". The Council will then be better placed to make decisions about an Arts and Culture Centre. In regard to the Arts and Culture Centre the Council resolved the following:

1. THAT the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis \$ 90,000

Visit to other sites \$ 5,000

TOTAL \$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces \$130,000

Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each \$ 12,500

Presentation and packaging to “sell” to external funders and sponsors \$ 25,000

Contingency \$ 20,000

TOTAL \$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications \$100,000

Costs for further options \$ 85,000

Partner and investment dependent on project cost tba

Associated expense \$ 20,400

Contingency \$ 30,000

TOTAL \$235,400

2. THAT the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and

3. THAT staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Harbour lease properties

Programme: Town Vision

File Reference: 24.8.8

File Code: 31 *Ted Hipkiss*

Refer to page 399 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ted Hipkiss - Town vision implementation: Oppose \$300,000.50 allocation - be declined.

Reason for the Decision:

The Town Vision Consultancy Costs have been included in the Annual Plan 2008/09 totalling \$300,000 as set out below.

Item Description Amount

Traffic Opus report - end of July /August \$15,000 - \$20,000

Development Group (TVDG) Establishment \$20,000 - \$40,000

Integration with District Plan Advice, planning and begin process \$120,000 - \$140,000

Property Consultancy Costs Legal, valuation, advice \$20,000

Property holding costs holding costs \$25,000

Urban Design Update plans, advice to TVDG \$20,000 - \$25,000

Internal costs Management, printing, consultation etc \$30,000

The Council further resolved

THAT a sum of \$840,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan to purchase two properties towards the implementation of the Town Vision Plan to be funded from the Harbour Property Account.

Reason for Decision:

The Council as part of the process of adopting the Town Vision Plan resolved to purchase two properties. The Town Vision decision making process was completed after the publication of the draft Annual Plan and no budgetary provision was included for property purchases.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Harbour lease properties

Programme: Town Vision

File Reference: 24.8.8

File Code: 59 *Ida Rose*

Refer to page 102-106 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ida Rose - Extensive comments received on the Town Vision proposal. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has considered the Town Vision plan in March and April 2008. The Council resolved on these matters where able and asked for further information

where needed. A copy of the deliberations and resolutions can be provided to the submitter.

The Town Vision Consultancy Costs have been included in the Annual Plan 2008/09 totalling \$300,000 as set out below.

Item Description Amount

Traffic Opus report - end of July /August \$15,000 -\$20,000

Development Group (TVDG) Establishment \$20,000 - \$40,000

Integration with District Plan Advice, planning and begin process \$120,000 - \$140,000

Property Consultancy Costs Legal, valuation, advice \$20,000

Property holding costs holding costs \$25,000

Urban Design Update plans, advice to TVDG \$20,000 - \$25,000

Internal costs Management, printing, consultation etc \$30,000

The Council further resolved

THAT a sum of \$840,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan to purchase two properties towards the implementation of the Town Vision Plan to be funded from the Harbour Property Account.

Reason for Decision:

The Council as part of the process of adopting the Town Vision Plan resolved to purchase two properties. The Town Vision decision making process was completed after the publication of the draft Annual Plan and no budgetary provision was included for property purchases.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Harbour lease properties

Programme: Town Vision

File Reference: 24.8.8

File Code: 122 *GF Van Beek*

Refer to page 405-406 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from GF Van Beek - Opposed to further money being spent on the museum. It should not be relocated to proposed heritage trial near the current Warehouse site. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is yet to make a decision about the proposed Arts and Culture centre, including its location. On the 19 December 2007, the Council provided funding to engage consultants to develop a Terms of Reference for the scope and design of an Arts and Culture Centre. It stated as a reason that "the Council acknowledged that the Terms of Reference was a prerequisite for providing information on what was proposed, where it was proposed, what

external funding agencies would be involved and the funding implications for the community". The Council will then be better placed to make decisions about an Arts and Culture Centre.

The Council resolved:

1. THAT the Council includes a sum of \$282,500 in the 2008/09 Annual Plan for the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project as set out below:

(a) Stage 2 – Part I - Total cost \$95,000

Conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis \$ 90,000
Visit to other sites \$ 5,000
TOTAL \$95,000

(b) Stage 2 – Part II - Total cost \$187,200

Standard architectural fee for Phase 1 concept plan, interior and exterior spaces \$130,000
Expressions of interest from 3-5 selected architects @ \$2,500 each \$ 12,500
Presentation and packaging to "sell" to external funders and sponsors \$ 25,000
Contingency \$ 20,000
TOTAL \$187,500

(c). Stage 3 to be included in 2009/10 financial year - Total cost \$235,400

Standard architectural fee for Phase 2 technical specifications \$100,000
Costs for further options \$ 85,000
Partner and investment dependent on project cost tba
Associated expense \$ 20,400
Contingency \$ 30,000
TOTAL \$235,400

2. THAT the Council will consider each of the above stages sequentially and approval will be required by the Council at each stage and each step of each stage before funding is to be spent; and

3. THAT staff report monthly on progress with each component of the various stages.

Reason for Decision:

The Council supports the investigations into the Arts and Culture Centre Development Project in principle. The Council has determined that the project will be undertaken in stages and approval will be required for each component of each stage. The Council will be considering the conceptual, strategic and economical planning analysis report and visiting sites prior to approving any further expenditure

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Harbour lease properties

Programme: Sale of harbour endowment land

File Reference: 10.4.11

File Code: 44 *Barry Marshall, Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc*

Refer to page 433-434 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc - Ascertain, confirm and apply the highest potential status of Council held or vested or endowment land before any changes or sales or divestment are initiated to ensure lands potential attributes are not depreciated. This would be of low cost and high benefit to the community. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has signalled that it may consider divestment of harbour land if doing so assists with meeting the objectives and principles and of the Town Vision Plan.

Orr/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Ports

Programme: Harbour Advisory Board

File Reference: 10.4.11

File Code: 80 *Ruth Gerzon*

Refer to page 429 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ruth Gerzon - Objects to divesting of Harbour Board land until there has been community consultation about the ownership, purpose and use of the lands and monies.

Would like to see community consultation to explore the ramifications of merging funds and responsibilities of the Harbour Board with council general funds. This might enable a more considered use of available funds to benefit the whole community and a reduction in rates required to improve basic infrastructure and work on disaster mitigation.

The Harbour Board legislation may be required to enable this merge. This may take some time, but the community consultation should begin this year. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has signalled that it may consider divestment of harbour land if doing so assists with meeting the objectives and principles and of the Town Vision Plan. This was included in the Councils LTCCP 2006-2016.

The Harbour Account is restricted by the purpose of the endowment and legislation would be required to change this. This Council has considered this possibility a number of times in the past and has decided not to alter the current situation. The Council has previously undertaken consultation in the past as this issue has been widely canvassed and it is the Council's intention to undertake further consultation.

Hanlen/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Ports

Programme: Harbour Advisory Board

File Reference: 13.1.10

File Code: 8 *Heather Thomas*

Refer to page 430-431 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Heather Thomas - Would like a steering group to be formed to address all river and harbour issues.

The group could be comprised of representatives from a cross section of agencies and groups that have an interest in the Whakatane River and Harbour.

This group would identify all the issues involved in the sustainable use and management of Whakatane River and Harbour; to gather existing information; identify and remediate gaps in knowledge; and propose options to WDC and the community.

This approach would lead to a fuller understanding of individual and competing issues around the use and care of the river and harbour. And lead the community towards several of the outcomes identified by the WDC e.g. Clean protected environment, environmentally responsive development, prosperous economy, high-quality affordable infrastructure, strong, transparent and open leadership, healthy people and quality housing and diverse, creative and active community. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Harbour Advisory Board has now been appointed by Council and will provide input into the strategic direction of the harbour activity. A copy of the delegations to the HAB is included for the information of the submitter. Also included is the composition of the HAB.

The Council advises that the Regional Council covers the river and harbour.

Shearer/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Ports

Programme: Harbour lease property

File Reference: 10.4.11

File Code: 35 *Graham Hall, Whakatane Returned Services Association*

Refer to page 381 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Returned Services Association - Referred from Town Vision submission process:

The RSA would like to stay in its current location. The RSA would like to own the land it currently sits on. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council will soon be considering the issue of freeholding harbour leasehold land.

The Council has indicated through the LTCCP and the Town Vision process that it would consider freeholding of Harbour ground leases if such freeholding enabled realisation of the Town Vision plan adopted by the Council. The RSA site is not impacted by the Town Vision plan and therefore does not fall into this category.

Staff have been requested to present a report outlining the arguments for and against the freeholding of harbour leasehold land. The Council will be considering whether to restrict freeholding opportunities to those projects and options associated with the Town Vision Plan or whether there were other situations where freeholding may be appropriate and how it should deal with those situations.

His Worship the Mayor/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Ports

Programme: Harbour lease property

File Reference: 10.4.11

File Code: 122 *GF Van Beek*

Refer to page 405-406 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from GF Van Beek - Charge the Hub comparable rates to the CBD. The rates on harbour lease land has risen in recent years, contributing to the departure of key retail businesses to the hub. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council does not own the land located at The Hub and therefore cannot charge ground rental for that land. Rates for land at the Hub are in keeping with the Councils Rating policies for commercial land.

While the Council can attempt to provide conditions that encourage businesses to remain (or establish) in certain areas, these decisions are ultimately made by

the owners of the business.

Bonne/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Ports

Programme: Harbour lease property

File Reference: 10.4.11

File Code: 2 *Mark Jenkins, Whakatane Squash Club*

Refer to page of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Squash Club - Referred from the Town Vision Submission Process:

Wish to be allowed to purchase the freehold interest in the land. The club is a non-profit incorporated society and has no other activities (e.g. gambling machines) to generate income. The bar is limited to membership and sponsorship is required to cover the cost of running tournaments.

The recent increase of harbour board lease rental has placed club under financial hardship. This is not sustainable. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council will soon be considering the issue of freeholding harbour leasehold land.

The Council has indicated through the LTCCP and the Town Vision process that it would consider freeholding of Harbour ground leases if such freeholding enabled realisation of the Town Vision plan adopted by the Council. The Whakatane Squash Club site is not impacted by the Town Vision plan and therefore does not fall into this category.

Staff have been requested to present a report outlining the arguments for and against the freeholding of harbour leasehold land. The Council will be considering whether to restrict freeholding opportunities to those projects and options associated with the Town Vision Plan or whether there were other situations where freeholding may be appropriate and how it should deal with those situations.

His Worship the Mayor/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Ports

Programme: Harbour lease property

File Reference: 10.4.11

File Code: 36 *Bill Bayfield, Environment Bay of Plenty*

Refer to page of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Environment Bay of Plenty - Referred from the Town Vision Submission Process:

Environment Bay of Plenty has, on numerous occasions, expressed its wish to freehold the site it currently leases at 5 and 11 Quay Street. This town vision presents an opportunity for Environment Bay of Plenty to reaffirm its desire to freehold this land. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has indicated through the LTCCP and the Town Vision process that it would consider freeholding of Harbour ground leases if such freeholding enabled realisation of the Town Vision plan adopted by the Council. The Environment BOP site may fall into this category at some future point, any freeholding would be tied to the future development of the site.

The Council will soon be considering the issue of freeholding harbour leasehold land.

Staff have been requested to present a report outlining the arguments for and against the freeholding of harbour leasehold land. The Council will be considering whether to restrict freeholding opportunities to those projects and options associated with the Town Vision Plan or whether there were other situations where freeholding may be appropriate and how it should deal with those situations.

His Worship the Mayor/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Ports

Programme: Marina

File Reference: 10.7.9

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - Request urgency with development of Piripai residential development and Bennett Block Marina (will accelerate need for second bridge) - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is currently in negotiation with a prospective developer of the Piripai Block.

The Council is in close communication with the Marina Society regarding the proposed location for a marina facility however it is yet to consider any sale of land for Marina purposes. The Marina itself is being proposed by private interests and would be the subject of a comprehensive resource consent.

The location for a second bridge is currently being reviewed by the Council and other agencies as part of the Draft "Alternatives To State Highway Study" and

the "District Transportation Study."

Bonne/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Ports

Programme: Marina

File Reference: 13.5.5

File Code: 44 *Barry Marshall, Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc*

Refer to page 433-434 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc - Don't sell Council land at 60 Bunyan Road for a Marina which will result in huge downstream costs for ratepayer. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved on 25 June 2008

"TTHAT the staff negotiate a Memorandum of Understanding with the Whakatane Marina Society for the sale and development of the land at 60 Bunyan Road and 180 Whakatane River Road and that the Memorandum of Understanding be presented to Council for approval prior to execution.

The Marina itself is being proposed by private interests and would be the subject of a comprehensive resource consent.

Shearer/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Ports

Programme: Port development

File Reference: 11.6.14

File Code: 44 *Barry Marshall, Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc*

Refer to page 433-434 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc - Withhold \$450,000 makeover of Whakatane Heads - not an essential cost but a desirable that the community can not afford - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council included \$ 252,517 in the 2007/08 Financial year for the development of the Heads reserve from the Harbour account. The concept plan and the budget may be adjusted in accordance with the input received from the community as part of the consultation process.

Mayor, Johnston and Byrne absent

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Ports**

Programme: Port development

File Reference: 13.5.3

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - How is cost proportioned WDC/EBOP in harbour dredging and other harbour development? Clarification required. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council advises that the cost associated with maintaining access to its port facilities (such as boat ramps, berths etc) and which included dredging are met by the District Council. The development of new public facilities and improvements to harbour land is the responsibility of the District Council and funded through the Harbour Fund. The responsibilities for EnvBOP are around navigation and safety.

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Ports**

Programme: Swimming hole relocation

File Reference: 13.5.8

File Code: 78 *Michaela Frew*

Refer to page 435 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Michaela Frew - Swimming in our river:

Believe that development of the river for boats and economic enterprises needs to be balanced with the needs of the community of people who have swam and fished in this river over many generations.

Believe it is important that young people are supported to enjoy swimming and to be safe in the river.

Supports Wairaka community's desire to see powered boats use an alternative ramp away from high traffic density areas.

A survey of swimmers and their families at the heads will be presented to Council in the oral submission. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitter is thanked for their comments. Staff will continue to liaise with Ngāti Awa and the Wairaka Community to find a suitable swimming hole solution for both the local community and users.

The Council agrees that all community wellbeings need to be considered with any decisions regarding the river and harbour (social, economic, cultural and environmental).

Bonne/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Ports**

Programme: Swimming hole relocation

File Reference: 13.5.8

File Code: 78 *Karen Herewini*

Refer to page 435 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Karen Herewini - Swimming in our river:

Believe that development of the river for boats and economic enterprises needs to be balanced with the needs of the community of people who have swam and fished in this river over many generations.

Believe it is important that young people are supported to enjoy swimming and to be safe in the river.

Supports Wairaka community's desire to see powered boats use an alternative ramp away from high traffic density areas.

A survey of swimmers and their families at the heads will be presented to Council in the oral submission. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitter is thanked for their comments. Staff will continue to liaise with Ngāti Awa and the Wairaka Community to find a suitable swimming hole solution for both the local community and users.

The Council agrees that all community wellbeings need to be considered with any decisions regarding the river and harbour (social, economic, cultural and environmental).

Bonne/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities**Activity: Ports**

Programme: Swimming hole relocation

File Reference: 13.5.8

File Code: 88 *Pourotu Ngaropo, Iwi Liaison Committee*

Refer to page 94-95 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Iwi Liaison Committee - In principle ILC supports what Council has done to date to support a swimming facility at the heads. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The submitter is thanked for their comments. Staff will continue to liaise with Ngati Awa and the Wairaka Community to find a suitable solution for both the local community and users.

Chambers/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Recreation and Community Facilities

Activity: Ports

Programme: Western Training Wall

File Reference: 13.6.1

File Code: 13 *Allan and Ann Whyte*

Refer to page 618-621 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Allan and Ann Whyte - Opposes wasting any time or money investigating future requirements and methods of maintaining a navigable channel i.e. Whakatane bar/river entrance. Past investigation have already been completed and show a high cost with no guaranteed solution. Any modifications could result in increased flood risk for the town and an unknown risk, such as erosion, to beaches. No further ratepayer funding should be wasted on further investigation. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Harbour Advisory Board has now been appointed by Council and will provide input into the strategic direction of the harbour activity. The Council has yet to decide whether it will proceed with the western training wall proposal and will undertake a comprehensive review of options before making any decision. The funding for any western training wall would come from the harbour fund and not from the general rate.

Chambers/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Service delivery contractors

Programme: Contractors

File Reference: 11.2.2

File Code: 74 *Shirley Gooch*

Refer to page 436 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Shirley Gooch - The only service submitter feels council provides is rubbish removal and water.

Submitter feels this because the park reserve is rarely mowed, paths are under water and muddy, glass and rubbish is just mowed over and paths are broken. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Councils operations business unit and other external contractors are required to respond to requests for service within defined timeframes. The submitter is invited to refer any items that may come to her attention through the Councils Customer Services section immediately upon discovery. The Council is committed to improving its maintenance contracts and the management of these to meet levels of service.

Orr/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Service delivery contractors

Programme: Contractors

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 52 *Peter McRae*

Refer to page 647-648 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter McRae - Request the item amounting \$502,000 (page 18 Service delivery options etc- what is planned) be deleted since it is unsubstantiated.

Page 29 (Groups of activities: Corporate and District Overheads) has a 'capital investment' totalling \$502,000 for the operations of the business unit for systems and resources. Is this the same \$502,000 referred to on page 18? If not it needs to be investigated. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The two figure mentioned by the submitter are the same project. The \$502,000 required is to cover the data collection programme for the Asset Managers

His Worship the Mayor/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Parks, reserves and gardens

Programme: Firework display

File Reference: 1.5.6

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - The Firework display should be funded by the Council through the Annual Plan 2008/09 and into the future. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council does not support the funding of a fireworks display in Whakatane as outlying rural areas are not able to benefit from the event to the same extent as Whakatane/Ohope residents. It is understood that the Whakatane Community Board has assisted with funding the fireworks display, however this is something that will be reconsidered year to year.

Jarrett/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Parks, reserves and gardens

Programme: Heads reserve

File Reference: 11.6.14

File Code: 3 *Linda Conning, Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ Inc*

Refer to page 361-363 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ Inc - The society considers this area, as a key waterfront location, should be managed to enhance its coastal and landscape values for public enjoyment, and is awaiting public consultation. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Public comment on the concept plan for improvements at the Heads reserve has been invited. The submission period for that project closed on the 23rd May 2008. The final concept plan will be approved by the Council prior to any tenders being called and works beginning.

The landscape and cultural values have been taken into account in the plan used for consultation.

Chambers/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Parks, reserves and gardens

Programme: Maintenance

File Reference: 1.5.4

Refer to page 437 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Taneatua Community Board - Request that maintenance items be addressed as they are reported and not left for long periods of time as this creates disharmony in the community. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Councils operations business unit and other external contractors are required to respond to requests for service within defined timeframes. It is important that maintenance items are referred through the Councils Customer Services section immediately upon discovery. The Council is committed to improving its maintenance contracts and the management of these to meet levels of service.

There are a number of initiatives underway to deal with these issues including an appointed liaison staff member with community boards and shortly the works and services staff will be visiting community boards. The Council will be re-tendering the roading and mowing contracts and the specifications in those contracts will be more detailed with the objective to improve service delivery.

Shearer/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries**Activity: Parks, reserves and gardens**

Programme: Maintenance

File Reference: 11.7.5

File Code: 65

Ian Snowsill

Refer to page 385-386 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ian Snowsill - Request that the WDC take appropriate action by the amendment of or deletion/addition, to remedy: Port Ohope Recreational Reserve: Stop all sundry works, other than normal R and M until a management plan is implemented. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has no immediate plans for major changes at the Port Ohope Recreation Reserve. All activity associated with the Reserve is in keeping with the Recreation Reserve Status of the land. The Council plans to review the Ohope Reserves Management Plan in 2009/10 at which time the Port Ohope Reserve will be included in the update.

Hanlen/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries**Activity: Parks, reserves and gardens**

Programme: Maintenance

File Reference: 11.3.2

File Code: 3 *Linda Conning, Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society
NZ Inc*

Refer to page 361-363 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ Inc - Kohi Point and Mokuroa Scenic Reserves should be a high priority for pest management as they are a major biodiversity and kiwi habitat. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council will be completing pest control programmes in both of these reserves during this calendar year which will support the work that Environment BOP are currently completing at Kohi Point. The Council is committed to pest management in these reserves and will continue to monitor the situation and carry out further pest control as required.

Chambers/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Parks, reserves and gardens

Programme: Reserves

File Reference: 11.6.14

File Code: 52 *Peter McRae*

Refer to page 647-648 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter McRae - The heads is to be beautified for \$454,436. Council cannot spend 4454,436 on 'beautifying' an already special place, because people won't be able to afford it. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Public comment on the concept plan for improvements at the Heads reserve has been invited. The submission period for that project closed on the 23rd May 2008. The final concept plan will be approved by the Council prior to any tenders being called and works beginning.

The project will be funded from the Harbour Fund and not from general rates. The budget allocation is \$252,000 for the project.

Hanlen/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Parks, reserves and gardens

Programme: Reserves

File Reference: 2.1.10

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - (p.68) It is noted that Parks and Reserves contribute to the health and vitality of the District, contributing to personal development and quality of life. Some of the parks and public facilities are not accessible by disabled people, which impacts on their involvement in community events. We would encourage the continuation of the Access committee which has proven successful and acknowledge the Council's foresight in supporting its formation.

(p.64) The amphitheatre along River edge Park is totally inaccessible by wheelchair bound residents/visitors which restricts their involvement in community activities. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is committed to improving the accessibility of its facilities and intends to keep supporting the Eastern Bay Access Group. It is noted that the Council has recently installed a path from the Rose Gardens to the top of the stop bank to improve access and a path around part of Sullivan Lake Reserve. Both of these initiatives were recommended by the Eastern Bay Access Group.

Jarrett/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Parks, reserves and gardens

Programme: Reserves

File Reference: 11.1.1

File Code: 119

Aporina Chapman, The Eastern Bay of Plenty Physical Activity and Nutrition Group

Refer to page 314-316 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from The Eastern Bay of Plenty Physical Activity and Nutrition Group -

- Supportive of the proposed ramp access at Landing Road for Waka Ama, rowing and kayaking.
- Supportive of the Edgecumbe Playground
- Supportive of the Maraetotara skate park if the demand still exists
- Supportive of the prioritisation of Parks and Reserves as part of the Annual plan: It is pleasing to see a commitment by council to investigate options for sports field development and the commitment to the management and maintenance of existing sports fields and walking tracks

Considers the user fee for parks and reserves to be too high for volunteer community groups. WDC could address this concern by developing a 'community rate' for hiring fields and facilities for organisations that are not making any profit from the activity they wish to hold - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Public use of recreation reserves is free. The Council does charge where exclusive use of a park or reserve is required. This acknowledges that the facility is not available to the general public for a specific time. These charges for reserves and facilities provide a tiered level of charging which ensures that non commercial users are charged less. The level of income received from fees and charges for reserves is very low in comparison to the cost of maintaining these facilities.

The Council thanks the submitter EBANG for their submission regarding rationalising forms for community groups using Council facilities and advises that staff will be undertaking the following action:

- amalgamating the harbour, reserve hire, mobile stage and road closure forms and include contact numbers and positions where appropriate
- information pack put together to include the above updated mega form plus the additional information needed for liquor licensing and food -to be held by Parks Admin and also at the info centre and customer services
- the possibility of an interactive form on the web site to be investigated that will eliminate repetition of details to different council departments
- the maximum turn around time for traffic management plans with Opus to be confirmed
- debrief with the R4 race organisers.

Staff will be revisiting the process in six months to determine further improvements.

Reason for Decision:

The Council accepted the submitters comments that there was a need to rationalise the process for community groups using Council facilities.

Chambers/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Parks, reserves and gardens

Programme: Reserves

File Reference: 11.12.2

File Code: 105 *John Hauwaho, Youth Coucil*

Refer to page 452-454 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Youth Coucil - Would like the Council to consider funding a skate park in Edgecumbe and include a graffiti wall to discourage graffiti to the skate park and other public places.

Suggests Bill Orr park in Edgecumbe as a suitable location.

Graffiti artists could run workshops - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

In 2003/04 the Edgecumbe Community Board were in support of the development of a skate park in Edgecumbe. The Board requested that a Skate park Committee be established to arrange grants and to discuss a preferred

site. Unfortunately due to lack of support from the youth the project did not proceed.

The Council understands that the Edgecumbe-Tarawera Community Board has heard from youth representatives in Edgecumbe who have received information on how to proceed with funding applications to other organisations such as Trusts. A copy of the skateboard funding criteria is included for your information. Funding from CPU for dealing with graffiti has been received by the Council for a three year period.

No funding has been included in the 2008/09 budget. If a skateboard park in Edgecumbe is to proceed the Council would need to include funding in the 2009/10 budget and this would depend on the scale of the project and funding received from other sources.

Te Kurapa/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Parks, reserves and gardens

Programme: Reserves

File Reference: 2.3.1

File Code: 1 *Ken Dalley, Whakatane Citizens Advice Bureau*

Refer to page 448-450 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Citizens Advice Bureau - Requests an amount of \$15,000 to be approved as a contribution towards the cost of wages and administrative expenses for the 08/09 financial year. Requests that provision be made in the Annual Plan for negotiation of a 3 year period (2009-2012) to provide security and continuity of Council's financial report. Previously the council made a resolution to fund up to \$22,500 per annum cash grant to the CAB for the purpose of employing a part time Bureau manager. Ongoing commitment to long term funding and other contractual arrangements are to be outlined in a further report. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

1. THAT the sum of \$2,000 be provided for annual grants relating to dog control activities to be funded by the Dog Control account.
2. THAT the sum of \$50,000 be provided for the annual grants budget for 2008/09 for general applications to be funded by the general rates and the Council refers all other annual plan submissions requesting financial assistance to the annual grants process which opens in July 2008.
3. THAT the sum of \$60,000 be provided for water safety related annual grant applications to be provided by the Harbour Fund.

Reasons for Decision:

The Council referred the submissions requesting monies to the annual grants

process. These applications are to be considered alongside other applications. The Council is currently reviewing the way in which it provides support and funding to various community and sporting groups in the Whakatane district.

Multiple year agreements are being considered as part of the development of the Community Funding Policy. Three year funding cycles would coincide with the LTCCP.

RESOLVED:

THAT the sum of \$60,000 be provided for water safety related annual grant applications to be provided by the Harbour Fund.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Parks - sports fields

Programme: Parks - sports fields

File Reference: 11.10.2

File Code: 48 *David Kyle, Taneatua Community Board*

Refer to page 437 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Taneatua Community Board - The area around Mitchell Park and the rugby field are very busy sectors of the community and many vehicles parks on the side of the road. This causes minor safety problems for both pedestrians and road users. Suggest that a parking area be provided on Mitchell Park and that bollards be installed along the roadside to stop vehicles parking on the berm. Also request that a footpath be installed from Tuhoe Street to the area past the rugby field so that all pedestrians using the area can do so safely. - be accepted.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council resolved:

THAT \$50,000 be included in the 2008/09 Annual Plan to progress Stage 1 of a carpark on Mitchell Park, Taneatua, subject to a report being presented to Council for approval prior to any expenditure.

Reason for Decision:

The Council recognises that staff have been working with the Taneatua Community Board to provide parking options associated with Mitchell Park and the Taneatua Rugby Grounds. The Council acknowledges that the long term goal preferred by the community would be to provide a separate sealed carpark on adjacent reserve land, bollards to stop vehicles parking on the roadside and a footpath as well as associated toilet facilities. The estimated cost of such improvements is approximately \$300,000. The Chairperson of the Taneatua Community Board in his verbal submission advised that the Board would be keen to see an immediate solution with an informal carpark at a cost of \$50,000 for

grading and loose metal.

The Council wishes to consider a report on the matter prior to any expenditure being incurred to ensure that any works for Stage 1 can be a basis for Stage 2, a sealed car park. The Council has also requested that staff liaise with Clubs in Taneatua regarding toilet facilities to be available for users of Mitchell Park.

His Worship the Mayor/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Parks - sports fields

Programme: Parks - sports fields

File Reference: 11.1.1

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - How come user fees for sporting bodies/ground rents are continuing to increase despite the fact that the usage of the facilities is increasing. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The cost associated with maintaining Council facilities has increased. The cost of resources such as labour and petrol has an effect on these costs.

Public use of recreation reserves is free. The Council does charge where exclusive use of a park or reserve is required. This acknowledges that the facility is not available to the general public for a specific time. These charges for reserves and facilities provide a tiered level of charging which ensures that non commercial users are charged less. The level of income received from fees and charges for reserves is very low in comparison to the cost of maintaining these facilities.

For built facilities the Councils fees and charges currently reflect the Councils adopted funding formula for that activity. For example charges associated with the hire of Community halls recovers only 20% of the associated cost of operating the facilities.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 62 *Don McMorran*

Refer to page 440-442 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Don McMorran - Opposes the crematorium. The original costs of \$720,000 have gone up to 1.2 Million. Asks do we need such an expensive building? Suggests adopting Cr. Hanlen's suggestions. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Councillors Te Kurapa and Shearer wished their vote against the motion to be recorded.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 101 *Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board*

Refer to page 628-631 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohope Beach Community Board - (p.17) Objects to the increase in cost to \$1.2 million to provide a crematorium facility. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 140 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 331-332 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - Support Cr Hanlen's proposal of 'old church' or support stance of Council to revert back to the original \$700,000 = costs for the project - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 47 *John Blackwood*

Refer to page 623 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from John Blackwood - Oppose the amount proposed and believe that there are other options that remain unexplored.

Build a simple crematorium and relocate an unused church at a later date.

Inappropriate theme. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 73 *Hugh Lovelock*

Refer to page 369-370 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Hugh Lovelock - Concept too expensive and there is no need to provide a 50 seat venue. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been

identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 18 *Mousie & Graham Trezona*

Refer to page 373-374 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Mousie & Graham Trezona - We need a crematorium but believe the costs are out of hand. Moving in an old church and upkeep can be prohibitive. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 15 *Jean Eivers, Te Teko Residents Association*

Refer to page 438 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Teko Residents Association - Opposes crematorium in present form. Would like it put on hold until the church is checked out by C Hanlen. Would also like Opus' scale of charges to find out why the original cost had escalated by so much. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Councillors Te Kurapa and Shearer wished their vote against the motion to be recorded.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 67 *Valerie and Walter Parkes*

Refer to page 445 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Valerie and Walter Parkes - Opposes building of the crematorium. With the other essential works needed submitter cannot agree with the 1million cost of the crematorium when the money can be used elsewhere on other essential works. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Councillors Te Kurapa and Shearer wished their vote against the motion to be recorded.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 34 *Bruce Nairn*

Refer to page 444 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Bruce Nairn - Believe that the crematorium should be delayed or cancelled until better costings are shown relating to running costs and proposed usage versus the present system. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Councillors Te Kurapa and Shearer wished their vote against the motion to be recorded.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 39 *Neville Delahunty, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 439 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - That Council rescind the decision to proceed with the spending \$1.2 million on a crematorium and focus on a maximum budget \$708,000 which was the figure agreed to by the community. Look to other options that are more affordable for our rate payers. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Councillors Te Kurapa and Shearer wished their vote against the motion to be recorded.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 138 *Damon Tahu*

Refer to page 614 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Damon Tahu - The crematorium proposal cost is ridiculous - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 31 *Ted Hipkiss*

Refer to page 399 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ted Hipkiss - Crematorium: Oppose \$1.2 Million - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 59 *Ida Rose*

Refer to page 102-106 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ida Rose - Originally established guidelines should be continued for the construction of a crematorium, not the new proposal from staff. The decision should be rescinded and reverted back to the original concept. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 38 *Christina Murray*

Refer to page 443 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Christina Murray - Crematorium - Do something. This should have been done years ago when Brent Willets first tried. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Councillors Te Kurapa and Shearer wished their vote against the motion to be recorded.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 49 *J.J.T Baker*

Refer to page 622 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from J.J.T Baker - Surely the best idea and that of Councillor Hanlen - to purchase a disused church building for the chapel and put the crematorium facility in the back. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been

identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 13 *Allan and Ann Whyte*

Refer to page 618-621 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Allan and Ann Whyte - Opposes rate payers funding the building of a crematorium.

Due to the ongoing financial and maintenance cost and using an approximation of 400 deaths per year that require cremation translates to a user cost of \$3000 per use. This cost excludes the costs of the funeral and operating and maintenance costs of the incinerator.

Cremation facilities in Rotorua or Tauranga already have consent, already exist and are a more cost effective option. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Councillors Te Kurapa and Shearer wished their vote against the motion to be recorded.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 44 *Barry Marshall, Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc*

Refer to page 433-434 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohiwa Harbour Margins Society Inc - Use old church for crematorium building to reduce the increase from \$750,000 to \$1.4m - not an essential cost but a desirable that the community can not afford - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 72 *Eileen Bell*

Refer to page 322-330 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Eileen Bell - Include memorial walls in rural cemeteries for people to place their loved ones ashes in, near their own locality. It should allow space for a plaque to be placed. This was passed by Council during 1992-95 triennium but never activated. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

If there is demand in rural communities for this type of facility, it could be provided in existing cemeteries. Galatea already has this option in the form of an ashes berm. Matata, Taneatua and Waimana currently do not.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 16 *Colin Stringfellow*

Refer to page 446 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Colin Stringfellow - Concerned at rates rises and suggest that there are some controllable costs such as the crematorium. The cost should not have been upped from around \$700,000 to \$1.2m. Lower the costs back to the original options or cancel the project. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Councillors Te Kurapa and Shearer wished their vote against the motion to be recorded.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 122 *GF Van Beek*

Refer to page 405-406 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from GF Van Beek - The current \$1.2m proposal is too costly and a more economical building should be adopted. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 52 *Peter McRae*

Refer to page 647-648 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter McRae - Opposes the crematorium as Whakatane cannot afford a 50 seat venue for service. Requests the crematorium be provided at a cost approximating the original estimate- \$708,000 and that a private provider be invited to provide the facility on the present cemetery grounds. - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being

undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries

Activity: Cemeteries

Programme: Crematorium

File Reference: 11.4.9

File Code: 77 *Geoffrey Neilson*

Refer to page 371-372 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Geoffrey Neilson - Supports the plan for a crematorium at hillcrest. The idea of using an old church building suggests that a church building relates in some way to death, this is not an appropriate image.

Asks was the initial cost estimate realistic anyway? - be accepted in part.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

Group of Activities: Parks, Reserves and Cemeteries**Activity: Cemeteries**

Programme: Cemetery maintenance

File Reference: 11.4.7

File Code: 42 *Stewart Harvey, Historic Cemeteries Conservation Trust of New Zealand*

Refer to page 447 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Historic Cemeteries Conservation Trust of New Zealand - Cemeteries are not mentioned in the heritage protection policies sections, or schedules, of the District Plan.

With the elevation of Historic Heritage to RMA, s6 (in 2003), we expect Councils to give more comprehensive attention to heritage items in their regional policy statements or regional or district plans. The submitters believe it was the intent of Parliament that not only archaeological sites should be protected under the RMA, but that non-archaeological heritage sites also be covered.

Many Councils put up the charade that gravesite conservation is a family matter and Councils have no responsibilities other than mowing lawns. The submitters do not subscribe to this side-stepping philosophy as they believe that protection of heritage is primarily a council and community responsibility.

Submitter requests:

1. Council take immediate steps to comprehensively include all historic cemeteries in the District Plan, and particularly in heritage schedules and in heritage policy.
2. Council initiate a survey programme to ascertain the condition and significance of each cemetery, and prepare an action plan for their ongoing conservation and care. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

There are currently no plans to schedule cemeteries in the Whakatane District Plan, however Cemeteries are reserves under the Burial and Cremation Act 1964 which provides for the management and maintenance of cemeteries (including closed cemeteries). The Council has also recently adopted an amended bylaw for Cemeteries which was publicly notified.

The Council recognises the heritage value of cemeteries and the ongoing responsibility of maintaining them. This is reflected in the Councils funding policy which provides for a 25% public benefit.

There are numerous urupa on Marae and private land which is not the responsibility of the Council.

Group of Activities: Community Safety

Activity: Civil defence

Programme: Warning sirens

File Reference: 5.1.5

File Code: 65 *Ian Snowsill*

Refer to page 385-386 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ian Snowsill - Request that the WDC take appropriate action by the amendment of or deletion/addition, to remedy: Ohope Civil emergency warning: Substandard/non existent - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council have investigated options to implement a warning system (meerkat) for the Whakatane coastline from Ohope to Matata. Infrastructure has been identified where sirens could be installed, specific locations are yet to be approved by Horizon Energy. The Ministry of Civil Defence has formed a working party to ensure there is a consistent warning system throughout the country. This has held up Councils progress while we wait for outcomes from the working party. The Council is watching this closely and will act on any outcomes in conjunction with the Bay of Plenty Emergency Management Group.

Mayor, Johnston and Byrne absent

Bonne/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Safety

Activity: Civil defence

Programme: Warning sirens

File Reference: 5.1.5

File Code: 101 *Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board*

Refer to page 628-631 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohope Beach Community Board - (p.16) Strongly believe provision needs to be made for a civil defence preparedness and natural hazard response mechanism for Ohope. This would include a tsunami early warning system. This may be achieved at minimum cost by working with the local fire service. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council is currently consulting with members of the Ohope Fire Brigade regarding the installation of a bypass switch so that a siren could be operated continuously for the purpose of civil defence warning.

The Council have investigated options to implement a warning system (meerkat) for the Whakatane coastline from Ohope to Matata. Infrastructure has been identified where sirens could be installed, specific locations are yet to be approved by Horizon Energy. The Ministry of Civil Defence has formed a working party to ensure we have a consistent warning system through out the country. This has delayed Council progress while we wait for outcomes from the working

party. The Council is watching this closely and will act on any outcomes in conjunction with the Bay of Plenty Emergency Management Group.

Bonne/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Safety

Activity: Dog control

Programme: Dog registration fee

File Reference: 26.5.2

File Code: 15 *Jean Eivers, Te Teko Residents Association*

Refer to page 438 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Teko Residents Association - Please explain the dog fee increase; what extra service do the fees cover? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Dog control fees and charges have been set at their previous levels for several years. The increasing costs of running the service, particularly overhead costs, has led to an increase in fees this year.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Safety

Activity: Safer communities

Programme: Graffiti

File Reference: 27.1.3

File Code: 138 *Damon Tahu*

Refer to page 614 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Damon Tahu - What's being done about graffiti in Edgcumbe? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

When Council property is tagged or marked with graffiti, it can be reported to Customer Services at the Council by phoning 3060500. The Council will then arrange for the graffiti to be cleaned up. Private persons have to pay for their own clean up. Please contact the Community Constable at the Police Station, Spike Dickey who can assist with clean ups.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Safety

Activity: Safer communities

Programme: Youth

File Reference: 2.1.11

File Code: 105 *John Hauwaho, Youth Coucil*

Refer to page 452-454 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Youth Coucil - Youth council believes young people are unaware of the youth centre and that needs to be promoted. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

THAT the Youth Council be advised that the Council have suggested that Ngāti Awa Social and Health Services Trust (NASH) be invited to make a presentation to the Youth Council which would also be an opportunity for input from youth direct to NASH about types of programmes being run out of the centre and whether these are meeting the needs of young people.

Reason for Decision:

The Council advises that (NASH) occupy the Youth Centre through a Memorandum of Understanding. The purpose of the agreement (which began in 2001) is to co-ordinate the use of the Youth Centre in promoting youth programmes which contribute to strengthening the social and health services in our community. NASH use the facility for their own programmes and purposes for no charge, on the basis that it is used for youth-orientated recreation, leisure and health activities. The Youth Radio 'Taiohi FM" broadcasts from the Cyber Café. (NASH covers cleaning and other operational costs associated with occupying the building).

The youth centre is available for public hire directly from NASH who send the details regularly through to staff for invoicing.

Councillor Byrne absent

Te Kurapa/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Community Safety

Activity: Safer communities

Programme: Youth

File Reference: 2.1.11

File Code: 69 *Matt and Ida Te Pou*

Refer to page 451 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matt and Ida Te Pou - Would like to see young people more involved in decision making. They are the experts on how they experience the world and would feel more connected to contribute. Research claims children and young people do want to participate in decisions that affect

them.

There are various strategies that could be used. Youth forums would be a starting point. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has established a Youth Council comprising 16 representatives from between the ages of 12 and 24 to provide a youth perspective for Council decision making. The Council also receives submissions from young adults on a wide range of topics when it consults publicly.

Shearer/Te Kurapa

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Michael James*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Michael James - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in

Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *David Robertson*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from David Robertson - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were

supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only

funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Rangi Maxwell*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rangi Maxwell - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is

currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Catherine Doull*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Catherine Doull - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Lois Smeaton*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lois Smeaton - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in

Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Luana Hennessy*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Luana Hennessy - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures

will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sharni Hendl - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a

policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Terry Pickering*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Terry Pickering - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that

the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *John James*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from John James - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate

income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for

renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Hine Coffin*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Hine Coffin - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Maggie Blair*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Maggie Blair - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane

may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid

over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Rusty Koroheke*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rusty Koroheke - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding

sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Wendy Stoddart*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Wendy Stoddart - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Tom Collier*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Tom Collier - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an

average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Peter Gurrrian*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter Gurrrian - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Pauline Herlihy - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a

policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Christine Collins*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Christine Collins - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that

the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Matewaka Tihi*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matewaka Tihi - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate

income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for

renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Valerie James*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Valerie James - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Karl Rangiaho*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Karl Rangiaho - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane

may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid

over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Claudia Barnes*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Claudia Barnes - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding

sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Maureen Huish*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Maureen Huish - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Steven Wicks*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Steven Wicks - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an

average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Andrew Stoddart*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Andrew Stoddart - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Hirena Reid - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a

policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Josephine Rondon*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Josephine Rondon - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that

the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Graeme Stratton*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Graeme Stratton - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate

income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for

renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Vladimir Archipow*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Vladimir Archipow - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Mark Powley*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Mark Powley - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane

may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid

over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Maureen Coffin*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Maureen Coffin - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding

sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Ania Oats*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ania Oats - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Ian Oats*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ian Oats - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an

average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Bobette Horne*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Bobette Horne - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Leonard Newdick - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a

policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Joan Newdick*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Joan Newdick - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that

the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Mark Powley*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Mark Powley - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate

income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for

renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *W and MJ Arbuckle*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from W and MJ Arbuckle - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Llew Groshinski*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Llew Groshinski - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane

may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid

over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Donna Timinis*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Donna Timinis - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding

sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Robert Watson*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Robert Watson - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Colleen Watson*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Colleen Watson - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an

average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Helen and Robert Morris, Morris Bros. Engineering*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Morris Bros. Engineering - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

Refer to page 611 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kevin and Linda Jensen - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a

policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

His Worship the Mayor/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Douglas Jenkins*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Douglas Jenkins - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that

the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Peter and Evelyn Howson*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter and Evelyn Howson - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed

rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for

renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Anne Doull*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Anne Doull - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Raymond Coffin*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Raymond Coffin - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane

may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid

over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Tom & Edith Rowan*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Tom & Edith Rowan - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding

sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Rhonda Webb*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rhonda Webb - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Andrew Emms*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Andrew Emms - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an

average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Ruddy Howe*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ruddy Howe - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Anthony Hope - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a

policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Roland Anderson*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Roland Anderson - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that

the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Kathleen Anderson*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kathleen Anderson - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate

income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for

renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Hinera Morunga*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Hinera Morunga - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Warren Magee*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Warren Magee - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane

may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid

over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Carolyn Henwood*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Carolyn Henwood - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding

sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Madeline Chamberlain*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Madeline Chamberlain - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Rachael Smeaton*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rachael Smeaton - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an

average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Grant Webb*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Grant Webb - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Theresa Groshinski - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a

policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Melinda Rangiaho*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Melinda Rangiaho - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that

the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Wendy Lumsden*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Wendy Lumsden - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate

income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for

renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *David Lumsden*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from David Lumsden - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Wendy Holton*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Wendy Holton - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane

may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid

over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Robert Holton*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Robert Holton - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding

sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Robin Cheung*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Robin Cheung - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Tu Kepa*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Tu Kepa - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an

average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Michelle Howson*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Michelle Howson - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sayle Bourk - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a

policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Faye Howe*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Faye Howe - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that

the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Elizabeth Edwards*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Elizabeth Edwards - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate

income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for

renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Marie Marr*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Marie Marr - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Aaron Parker*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Aaron Parker - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane

may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid

over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Samuel Pivac*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Samuel Pivac - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding

sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *R Maxwell*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from R Maxwell - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Kirsty Reid*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kirsty Reid - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an

average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Lyndon Reid*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lyndon Reid - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Francis Hansen - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a

policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Theresa & Maurice Williams*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Theresa & Maurice Williams - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that

the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Diane Harvey*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Diane Harvey - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate

income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for

renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Rangi Maxwell*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rangi Maxwell - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Larisa Grant*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Larisa Grant - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane

may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid

over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Maureen Enevoldsen*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Maureen Enevoldsen - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding

sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Aroha Matchitt*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Aroha Matchitt - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Catherine Lett*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Catherine Lett - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an

average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Kenneth Saywell*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kenneth Saywell - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Paul & Petrina Ryan - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a

policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *James Low*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from James Low - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that

the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Susan Warren*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Susan Warren - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate

income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for

renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *John & Mary Clark*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from John & Mary Clark - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Sheena Wallace*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sheena Wallace - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane

may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid

over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Sharlene Powley*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sharlene Powley - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding

sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Roddy Hendl*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Roddy Hendl - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Rhys O'Brien*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rhys O'Brien - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an

average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Marilyn Chapman*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Marilyn Chapman - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Pamela Clark - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a

policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *David Grindley*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from David Grindley - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that

the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Debbie Sharp*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Debbie Sharp - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate

income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for

renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Kelly Wilson*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kelly Wilson - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Barry and Marie Fry*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Barry and Marie Fry - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane

may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid

over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Stella Drabble*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Stella Drabble - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding

sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *David Salvidge*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from David Salvidge - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Graeme Hendl*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Graeme Hendl - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an

average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *John Davis*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from John Davis - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Margaret Robertson - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a

policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Rebecca Royal*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rebecca Royal - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that

the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Ross Lowe*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ross Lowe - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate

income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for

renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Sylvia and David Walker*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Sylvia and David Walker - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Jeremy Watson*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jeremy Watson - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane

may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid

over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Storm Hale*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Storm Hale - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding

sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Saul*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Saul - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 128 *Graham Smeaton, Edgumbe Tarawera Community Board*

Refer to page 612-613 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Edgumbe Tarawera Community Board - Disapproval of proposal for 40% rate increase for Edgumbe. Demonstrates huge lack of empathy for the ratepayers of Edgumbe and arrogance. Believe this increase is significant with respect to the LTCCP and therefore should have been reassessed. The community Board has always tried to be proactive with the community and the Council. Other issues regarding service levels, standards of delivery and funding policies will be covered at the hearings. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General

Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *E Dredge*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from E Dredge - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Kylie Montrose*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Kylie Montrose - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe

Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Donna Wilson*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Donna Wilson - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in

Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 97 *Fiona Bentley*

Refer to page 610 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Fiona Bentley - The rate increase in Edgcumbe is unrealistic and unreasonable. Please reconsider. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the

Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Dorothy Beatty*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Dorothy Beatty - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe

community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Valerie Needham*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Valerie Needham - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Deborah Mainwaring*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Deborah Mainwaring - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe

Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Jill Payne*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jill Payne - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in

Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Dorothy Watters*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Dorothy Watters - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were

supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only

funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Resident of Edgcumbe*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Resident of Edgcumbe - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is

currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Nazel St George, East Bay Sewing*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from East Bay Sewing - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 138 *Damon Tahu*

Refer to page 614 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Damon Tahu - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in

Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 134 *Evan Harvey*

Refer to page 456-458 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Evan Harvey - Byways stated that revaluation might not equate with an increase in rates rise. However Capital Value rate has increased 234% while rateable value has only increased 57.72%. Increase in sewage rate of 355.95% is repugnant. The Mayor says Edgecumbe have the same amenities as Edgecumbe, but where is Edgecumbe's swimming pool, upmarket cafes, well maintained parks and gardens, cobblestoned footpaths, palm trees and well maintained Council buildings? Much money is being spent on parks, roads etc beautifying Whakatane, Edgecumbe does not receive the same treatment, nor does Matata. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates

and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Paula Skidmore*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Paula Skidmore - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited

amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Graeme Bourk*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Graeme Bourk - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste

water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Jim & John Bergs*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jim & John Bergs - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of

their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next

25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Phyllis Falvey*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Phyllis Falvey - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a

problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Doris Chawick*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Doris Chawick - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan

and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Arthur Bullock*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Arthur Bullock - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that

the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Beth Newton*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Beth Newton - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by,

reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Gavin Paterson*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Gavin Paterson - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this

increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Darren Bushwell James Chadwick*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Darren Bushwell James Chadwick - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates

and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Joanne Warbrick*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Joanne Warbrick - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited

amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Dale Blair*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Dale Blair - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgcumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgcumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgcumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste

water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Jim Blair*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Jim Blair - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes

and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next

25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.1.3

File Code: 90 *Janice Hill*

Refer to page 455 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Janice Hill - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgecumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a

problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *William Watson*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from William Watson - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan

and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *J & L Walker*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from J & L Walker - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgumbe waste water services on the basis that

the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Adele Evans*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Adele Evans - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by,

reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.

2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.

3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgcumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgcumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 56 *Bruce Coppell*

Refer to page 389 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Bruce Coppell - The rate for residential dwelling be aligned with Whakatane, taking into consideration less services and town amenities being provided to Edgcumbe. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgcumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgcumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgcumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgcumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in Edgcumbe.
4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgcumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgcumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.
5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgcumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgcumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgcumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgcumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council

is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Edgecumbe rate increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 124 *Brian Riddiford*

Refer to page 459-609 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Brian Riddiford - Adamantly oppose the 40% rates increase for Edgecumbe. Reasons provided include: Limited or fixed rate income alongside increase in other living costs recently, including rising food costs and petrol prices. The community are struggling to retain ownership of their homes and may have to sell. The standard of services and facilities provided in Edgecumbe are much lower than Whakatane. Upgrade works were supposed to be carried out after the earthquake, what happened to the government money. Providing a bus service from Edgecumbe to Whakatane may help the community. The Council should stop using expensive consultants to carry out reports. The money required should be gathered through a loan and paid off over a long period. The problems with flooding in the area are causing serious health risks. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

1. The Council took into the account the submissions objecting to a 40.10% increase in rates and have sought to reduce this as much as possible by, reviewing the priority of capital projects, loan funding the deficit in the Edgecumbe waste water operating reserve and calculating the rates for water and waste water using connections rather than assessments. These measures will reduce the waste water connection charge to \$422.46 instead of \$594.
2. The Council decision to fund disaster mitigation works will also affect the rates and this decision will be outlined to submitters separately.
3. The Council is mindful of the need to provide a long term solution to the problems with the waste water system in Edgecumbe and is also mindful that the issues facing Edgecumbe are inter-related and require a holistic approach. The Council is therefore planning to do a systematic analysis of the whole waste water network before identifying and prioritising projects. In addition a storm water mitigation project will be implemented to mitigate the flooding issues in Edgecumbe which will further reduce the inflow and infiltration problems in

Edgecumbe.

4. The Council recognises that stormwater ponding and flooding has been a problem for Edgecumbe since the 1987 earthquake and the Council together with Environment Bay of Plenty have put forward a business case which is currently being considered by the government. The Council has further requested that staff investigate the Council's ability to access other funding sources for assistance with Edgecumbe waste water services on the basis that the works are disaster and health related.

5. The Council is aware that other factors have influenced the rate increase in Edgecumbe such as the increase in the capital value of properties from an average of \$132,000 to \$228,854 or 73%. This increase is one of the higher changes in the District. The average increase across the district is 33% and this increases the relative proportion of rates to be paid by the Edgecumbe community. There has also been an increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge by \$50 (an 18.18% increase) and an increase in interest costs related to borrowing for additional waste water capital projects. The Council has had a policy of using reserve funds and there are no reserve funds available to offset the increase in the Sewerage, Water or General rates; however, a limited amount of funds are available to reduce the Works and Facilities Rate for Edgecumbe. An amount of \$70,000 has been applied to reduced the Edgecumbe Works and Facilities Rate requirement from \$207,820 to \$137,820. The Council is now funding depreciation based on the average 25 year requirement for renewals expenditure. This has particularly impacted on sewerage and water in Edgecumbe where there is an extensive capital works programme over the next 25 years and this means that these schemes require depreciation to be 100% funded. In the 2007/08 year the depreciation for these schemes was only funded by 80%. The Council will fund expenditure that can be identified to be of benefit to ratepayers over future years through loans and these will be repaid over 25 years, which spreads the cost of the funding across generations.

Clark/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 14 *M Crawford*

Refer to page 400 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from M Crawford - The Council look into the high rate planned and lower it. Te Teko is a rural area not urban and considerable Te Teko families are only kiwifruit pickers. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate

requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires an increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 101 *Chris Dohrman, Ohope Beach Community Board*

Refer to page 628-631 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ohope Beach Community Board - (p.1) Objects to the overall rates increase of 9.74% on the back of significant increases of the previous years. These levels of increase are not sustainable over the long term. We take exception to the comment that the increases are due to lack of operating reserves to offset rates, surely rates increase is due to increase of expenditure. What is the net effect of the change from fully funded depreciation to funding depreciation over asset renewal requirements on the depreciation fund? Has this led to surpluses or deficits in the respective funds? This has not been made clear in the annual plan.

(p.7) The Ohope Beach Community Board queries whether the rate increases have triggered a significant change that would require a review of the LTCCP.

(p.23) Due to high capital and land values Ohope is still shouldering a high proportion of the rates burden compared to other properties in the district.
(p.23) Submit that a 48.8% increase in the general rate is not sustainable.
(p.23) Support the increase of the UAC to \$325.
(p.23) Would recommend and support an increase to the roading rate UAC.
(p.26) Submit that all endeavours be made to reduce costs.
(p.28) Submit that the WDC prune key capital projects to reduce rate increase. Particular focus on the Warren Cole pedestrian/cycling facility, Arts and Cultural centre, and Crematorium. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires an increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 131 *Robert Lyford, Lyford Partnership*

Refer to page 633-634 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lyford Partnership - Rate rise must be to fuel dreams of the Council, or staff. If increase is because of Government Policy then Council should state rate rise is 3% (in line with inflation) plus 6% for new Government demand. Staff should be told to work within inflation rate, General public cannot cut 6% through submissions. Council is in privileged position being able to charge the ratepayer for staff and Councillors' mistakes, miscalculations and bright ideas. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires an increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 92 *Julie Grindley*

Refer to page 108 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Julie Grindley - Object to the proposed rate increase which is not in keeping with the property market. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires an increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 27.1.3

File Code: 83 *George Chambers, Whakatane Community Board*

Refer to page 624 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Whakatane Community Board - That the proposed amount set aside for depreciation be split in two and half deferred until the

following year - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires in increased rate requirement of 1% or \$284,000. The depreciation component of each activity has been analysed individually to identify the required funded component. In some instances this has seen the requirement to fund depreciation below that rated for in the 2007/08 year, and in some activities, depreciation is required to be fully funded because of the capital expenditure programmes identified in the Asset Management Plans.

Te Kurapa/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 20 *William Simpson*

Refer to page 642 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from William Simpson - Objects to the 9.7% increase in rates. Submitter feels there should be a reduction to 5% and the expenditure 2008/09 be trimmed. Projects removed where they can or cutback. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires in increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and

- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference:

File Code: 15 *Jean Eivers, Te Teko Residents Association*

Refer to page 438 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Teko Residents Association - Asks what extra services or improved services have been given to justify this rates increase? Cancel all unnecessary spending on community wish list make savings in house by reducing overheads. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires an increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each

property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 27.1.3

File Code: 81 *Bryan Nicholson*

Refer to page 640 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Bryan Nicholson - Concerned that the Council is once again coming up with grand ideas on how and where to spend money they don't have yet. An example of this is the crematorium and using consultants when drafting could be done in house or by a local builder. The Maori bury their dead, so the design need not reflect Maori architecture and all we need is a hall type building with a raised platform and seating for about 100 people. It doesn't need a catering area and kitchen.

The majority of residents are struggling to exist on \$500.00 weekly and Council needs to acknowledge this. Money needs to be spent just on the necessities.

Leave the art gallery and museum to cities with a large enough population
Submitter would not like to see the area between the heads and the boat ramp changed - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Crematorium project (capital and operating) will be fully funded by user charges. These costs include the interest costs of any loan funds. The projected utilisation is based on the known number of cremations currently being undertaken in Tauranga and Rotorua for local (Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki) residents. The need for local cremation facilities is one that has been identified by the Community and developed by the Council after extensive community consultation.

The Council will reconsider the project when the tender process is complete.

The Mayor has written to all Church organisations in the North Island asking if they had old churches available to transport to Whakatane. The Council will consider the option of using an old church for the crematorium building prior to making a final decision.

The Chief Executive advises that she has spoken to the Bay Community Trust

and the East Bay Energy Trust regarding community funding towards the facility.

The Council is yet to make a decision about the proposed Arts and Culture centre, including its location. On the 19 December 2007, the Council provided funding to engage consultants to develop a Terms of Reference for the scope and design of an Arts and Culture Centre. It stated as a reason that "the Council acknowledged that the Terms of Reference was a prerequisite for providing information on what was proposed, where it was proposed, what external funding agencies would be involved and the funding implications for the community". The Council will then be better placed to make decisions about an Arts and Culture Centre.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 62 *Don McMorran*

Refer to page 440-442 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Don McMorran - Many people are on fixed incomes and there is a problem of the Government may change at the next election and the rate rebate scheme may cease to exist. At this time the rates are already fixed and ratepayers will have no money to pay their rates.

Recommends the Council postpone all projects and funding until remedial work is completed and paid for only routine maintenance be carried out. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires an increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those

services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and

- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 9.1.1

File Code: 53 *Derek Spratt, Federated Farmers BOP*

Refer to page 335-339 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Federated Farmers BOP - Supports the use of capital value as the basis for the general rate rather than land value. Supports the use of the UAGC. Concerned about the validity of the rates listed under the 30% cap. Suggest that a targeted rate that is set differently for different categories of rating units or does not apply to all rating units does not come under the 30% cap. Supports the use of targeted rates and continued use of capital value to fund rating. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RESOLVED:

THAT the Council makes no changes to the 30% cap in the 2008/09 financial year.

Reason for Decision:

The Council have investigated the interpretation of the 30% rating cap and have received legal advice from Brookfields which agreed with the method that the Council was currently applying to targeted rates. The Council will continue to consider the legal interpretation of the legislation applying to targeted rates presented by Federated Farmers during the Rating Review in the 2008/09 year, for implementation with the next Long Term Council Community Plan.

His Worship the Mayor/Shearer

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 55 *Neville Thompson*

Refer to page 643 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Neville Thompson - Opposed to the rate increases for beautification programmes throughout the district. Rates have been increasing at an alarming rate and believe they are excessive to our needs. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires an increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 51 *Brett Martin*

Refer to page 638-639 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Brett Martin - In response to rates rises; please don't over spend on new ideas, people just can't afford it. Yes the mill workers and Fonterra professionals can but the Eastern bay is renowned for poor wages. Petrol, food and power have all gone up, wages have not changed substantially. Particularly for single income families it is more difficult. Saving is really hard. Keep us in mind. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires an increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference:

File Code: 49 *J.J.T Baker*

Refer to page 622 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from J.J.T Baker - We are all disgusted re high rates! - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires an increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 52 *Peter McRae*

Refer to page 647-648 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter McRae - Opposes the 9.74% rates increase as it will impose a hardship on those on a fixed income. Accepts increase for inflation and hazard mitigation, but not for the luxuries described in the Draft annual plan. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires an increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 57 *Graeme Everett*

Refer to page 615-617 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Graeme Everett - This submission opposes rates increase in excess of inflation. Whakatane District Council needs to review its budget and eliminate all but the absolutely essential projects in order to avoid a rates increase. If WDC continues with rates increases in excess of inflation then it will not be long before only a few people will be able to afford to live in Whakatane. The projection represented in the graph (see graph attached to submission) shows that with rates increasing 5-6% more than the rate of inflation, by the year 2037 a Bunyan Road property will have an annual rates bill of \$57,000 (please note that these are 2007 dollars). Other properties are also included on the same graph. Councillors might somehow try to convince themselves that a rates increase of 9.7% this year is not so bad, but when this increase is compounded over several years the equation is spectacularly ugly. Councillors should set the budget based on rates increases from last year across the board of 3% (or less), and then advise council to decide which projects will proceed. Projects such as crematoriums, beautifying footpaths, wasteful consultants reports and other debatable spending should be eliminated. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires an increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 28 *Peter Craig*

Refer to page 626 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter Craig - Opposes the rates increase for Ohope residents.

Valuation of Ohope properties is too high already. The services will stay the same and Ohope rates should not be used to bolster necessities in the greater Whakatane. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The revised calculations for an average Ohope property demonstrate that there is a decrease of 2.22% on the 2007/08 rate.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 47 *John Blackwood*

Refer to page 623 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from John Blackwood - Oppose the 9.74% increase.

1. Not essential to upgrade the Heads area. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires in increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the

users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and

- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 32 *Rangipaea Paul*

Refer to page 641 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Rangipaea Paul - Opposes the raise in rates.

Why should someone pay for certain services on their rates bill (such as the Whakatane swimming pools) when nobody is staying on that land (i.e. a bare block).

Maybe there should only be one flat charge per year e.g. \$600 for everyone no matter where you stay.

The rates increases need to stop!

As a revenue earner maybe have a toll booth at the Whakatane bridge with a fair price, 50 cents for all cars/ trucks going out of Whakatane. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues

of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 30 *Katherine Craig*

Refer to page 625 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Katherine Craig - Opposes the rates increase for Ohope residents.

Valuation of Ohope properties is too high already. The services will stay the same and Ohope rates should not be used to bolster necessities in the greater Whakatane. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The revised calculations for an average Ohope property demonstrate that there is a decrease of 2.22% on the 2007/08 rate.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 26 *Ian Lysaght, Lysaght Developments*

Refer to page 635-637 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Lysaght Developments - Request advice to why Coastlands has one of, if not the highest, rate in the District. Please bear in mind that the cost to the Council of the development is negligible and that by contrast, the Council is undertaking capital works upgrades in other areas in Whakatane. Council is requested to revise its annual rate for Coastlands in line with the settlement reached last year in respect of our application to the High Court for Judicial Review of the Council's Development levies for Coastlands. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference:

File Code: 13 *Allan and Ann Whyte*

Refer to page 618-621 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Allan and Ann Whyte - This submission is to put on hold all non essential infrastructure improvements and new projects proposed in the 2008/09 year and for Council to run the 2008/09 year with a rate increase no greater than CPI.

The Council needs to stop spending money on new projects and improvements to non essential infrastructure (such as new library and museum) and focus on maintaining and improving critical infrastructure such as water.

There is no correlation between property values and costs of supplying the district services.

Rates increases in recent years have been disproportionately high compared to CPI and wage increases.

The Council needs to become more efficient and cost effective at maintaining existing infrastructure within a budget that does not greatly increase from year to year. Until this can be proven, the Council should not spend money on non essential projects. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct

impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires an increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 24 *D. De Raat*

Refer to page 627 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from D. De Raat - First provide for the necessary water, roads, drains etc. Council should budget for cost of inflation plus 1%, no more. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to

fund depreciation requires in increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of 0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 23 *Erin Mekerei Tioke*

Refer to page 280 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Erin Mekerei Tioke - Oppose the annual increase in rates and believe that Council is using the 2005 disaster as an opportunity to raise rates. Council is spending money on hazard mitigation projects when Matata needs more street lights, improved rain water drainage, improved mowing and rubbish collection. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATE INCREASE

The average rate increase across the district is 8.9%. Of this increase, 4.2% is related to the use of reserves and prior year's surpluses to reduce the rate requirement in 2007/08. These reserves are no longer available which has direct impact on the 2008/09 rate requirement. In addition, Council has amended its assumption on fully funding depreciation costs. Depreciation has been funded on the average of the next 25 years renewal requirement. Depreciation was only funded at an 80% level in 2007/08, and the assumption adopted by Council to fund depreciation requires in increased rate requirement of 1%. Other factors contributing to the 8.9% increase are an increase in the cost of interest of

0.7%, owing to the increase in interest rates and inflation of 3%.

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Finance

Programme: Rates increase

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 22 *Douglas Weeks*

Refer to page 644 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Douglas Weeks - Land value. Now we are faced with property being in decline, surely the rate will be more focused. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

RATING PHILOSOPHY

Council have adopted the following philosophy for the apportionment of rates:

- Where services and the users of those services can be clearly defined, the users should contribute towards the cost of providing those services in proportion to their level of use; and
- Where services are provided to particular parts of the District, the cost of those services should be met through rates charged to that part of the District the services are provided to; and
- Where the users or the level of use of services cannot easily be defined then everyone should pay the same.

The basis Council have adopted to charge rates is on the Capital value of each property, where a Uniform Charge is not levied, or where the users of services cannot be clearly defined. The Council's philosophy endeavours to address issues of fairness in rating however the Council is bound by legislation. The Council will

be undertaking a rating review prior to the LTCCP.

The decision to proceed with the new Arts Cultural Centre has yet to be made and the Council is aware of the impact of new projects.

Council have adopted Capital Values (Land Value plus Improvements) as a tool for rating. Rating valuations are reviewed every three years by Council and applied as the basis for apportioning rates where fixed charges are not charged. The last revaluation of the district was undertaken in September 2007 and the value of the district rose by an average 33%. Whilst properties rise in value, this does not automatically mean that individual properties will pay more rates. For those properties whose value decreased, or didn't increase as much as the average district value, the proportion of capital value rates charged will be on average less than those properties which did increase in line or above the average.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Corporate Services

Programme: Communications

File Reference: 3.4.3

File Code: 61 *Bronwen Foxx, Disabilities Resource Centre Trust*

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - (p.31) Improvement of decision making models with respect to communication and the provision of information to and for the public. Disabilities Resource Centre Trust wish to highlight the need for accessibility to information and that it be in formats that are easily accessed and understood, and free of charge to ensure access by the widest audience.

(p.33) To ensure that the Council is visible and its decision making processes are open, transparent and inclusive also follows the comments regarding web standards. To communicate the visions and policies in a "user friendly" manner means the information must be easily accessed understood. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council newsletter is delivered free of charge to every household in the district and mailed to ratepayers who reside outside the district. The use of plain language is promoted in all council communications materials and the in-house style guide takes into account accessibility requirements for all groups e.g. people with visual impairments. The Council is continuing to develop its website and will be endeavouring to cater for all people's including those with visual impairment.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Corporate Services

Programme: Communications

File Reference: 2.1.8

File Code: 79 *Ruth Gerzon, Coalition for the Community & Environment.*

Refer to page 645 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Coalition for the Community & Environment. - Expect consultation with Ngati Hokopu, kaitiaki of Wairaka area, to take place on Te Whare O Toroa marae as part of this annual plan process.

As for wider iwi/hapu participation within the Mataatua rohe re wish to speak to Section four of the Tutohinga Memorandum of understanding regarding consultation.

Council needs to take note of the many sectors not represented in annual planning submissions and actively seek ways to engage with these sectors. Many people find this submission process too formal and do not engage.

Suggest Council hold a regular community forum in which representatives of groups absent from those deliberations are specifically invited to attend and to have a say, and that their feedback and ideas are gathered and incorporated in planning. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Iwi Liaison Committee is developing a "Consultation Policy for Maori/Whanau/Hapu/Iwi". "Consultation on Marae" (Section 4 of the Tutohinga) is a positive process to develop relationships with Maori/Whanau/Hapu/Iwi. It has been of benefit to Council and Iwi alike and has increased Maori capacity to influence Council decision making. The Council acknowledges the suggestion that consultation on Marae should be part of future LTCCP and Annual Plan processes. The Coalition may wish to speak directly with the Iwi Liaison Committee about any concerns it has with the Tutohinga in the first instance. Further consideration will be given to further Marae hui.

The Tutohinga has just been re-signed by representatives of the Iwi Liaison Committee and the Council for the triennium. It is suggested that the Coalition speak directly to the Iwi Liaison Committee about the content of Section Four of the Tutohinga in the first instance.

The Council would encourage the submitters to communicate with the Whakatane Community Board.

The Council does send out letters to all iwi asking if they wish to have meetings with staff and Councillors during the Annual Plan process.

Chambers/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Corporate Services

Programme: Communications

File Reference: 2.1.8

File Code: 79 *Clark Jaram, Coalition for the Community & Environment.*

Refer to page 645 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Coalition for the Community & Environment. - Expect consultation with Ngati Hokopu, kaitiaki of Wairaka area, to take place on Te Whare O Toroa marae as part of this annual plan process.

As for wider iwi/hapu participation within the Mataatua rohe re wish to speak to Section four of the Tutohinga Memorandum of understanding regarding consultation.

Council needs to take note of the many sectors not represented in annual planning submissions and actively seek ways to engage with these sectors. Many people find this submission process too formal and do not engage.

Suggest Council hold a regular community forum in which representatives of groups absent from those deliberations are specifically invited to attend and to have a say, and that their feedback and ideas are gathered and incorporated in planning. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Iwi Liaison Committee is developing a "Consultation Policy for Maori/Whanau/Hapu/Iwi". "Consultation on Marae" (Section 4 of the Tutohinga) is a positive process to develop relationships with Maori/Whanau/Hapu/Iwi. It has been of benefit to Council and Iwi alike and has increased Maori capacity to influence Council decision making. The Council acknowledges the suggestion that consultation on Marae should be part of future LTCCP and Annual Plan processes. The Coalition may wish to speak directly with the Iwi Liaison Committee about any concerns it has with the Tutohinga in the first instance. Further consideration will be given to further Marae hui.

The Tutohinga has just been re-signed by representatives of the Iwi Liaison Committee and the Council for the triennium. It is suggested that the Coalition speak directly to the Iwi Liaison Committee about the content of Section Four of the Tutohinga in the first instance.

The Council would encourage the submitters to communicate with the Whakatane Community Board.

The Council does send out letters to all iwi asking if they wish to have meetings with staff and Councillors during the Annual Plan process.

Chambers/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Corporate Services

Programme: Information technology

File Reference: 27.1.3

File Code: 61 *Bronwen Foxx, Disabilities Resource Centre Trust*

Refer to page 96-101 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Disabilities Resource Centre Trust - (p.18) Suggest that the expansion and development of the Whakatane District Council Website complies with International Guidelines for Accessibility (World Wide Web W3C) web accessibility initiatives, many of which have been included into New Zealand Government web standards (January 2008). - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

WDC will implement the relevant NZ Govt Web standards in its web development as Council's resource provision allows.

Clark/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Corporate Services

Programme: Information technology

File Reference: 27.1.3

File Code: 59 *Ida Rose*

Refer to page 102-106 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ida Rose - The Costs of updating IT systems are escalating, how much has now been allocated? What are the benefits? A rates revolt is being mooted! - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The capital provision for updating the ICT systems is \$1,546,925
The community benefits will be that the Whakatane District has proper records of its activities as required by legislation and as a key element of its fundamental duty of care to its community. The Council will also be able to provide sustainable efficient, effective and accurate services to its community including regulatory and compliance processing along with proper management of its considerable assets.

His Worship the Mayor/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Works and Services Department

Programme: Asset Management Plans

File Reference: 27.1.5

File Code: 59 *Ida Rose*

Refer to page 102-106 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Ida Rose - The Asset Management Plans have increased in cost considerably, were additional funds allocated? And how much of the plans are completed? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The costs of the Asset Management Plans reflect an ongoing commitment to ensuring a robust internal process is in place that supports the Asset Managers in managing our substantial asset base. Improvement plans have been identified and an annual review of capital works plans and renewals projects for example form the basis of these funding requirements. This also gives an opportunity to review any new legislation (e.g. New Zealand Drinking Water Standards) or alternate funding opportunities and the implications that these might have on our activities in Council.

All infrastructural asset management plans have been completed within budget, and are about to be externally peer reviewed at the request of Council.

Hanlen/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Works and Services Department

Programme: Asset Management Plans

File Reference: 27.1.5

File Code: 127 *Bryan Bourke, Matata Residents Association*

Refer to page 139-150 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Matata Residents Association - Was advised in 2006 that "the LTCCP includes prioritisation of projects" and "spending is driven by the Asset Management Plans and debate in Council". Wants to know how Asset Management Plans are arrived at and on what basis, i.e. need, necessity, want, wish and how ratepayers were involved or debated in Council. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Our next LTCCP 2009/19 will be built on a completely new set of up-to-date Asset Management Plans, with quantifiable priorities both within and across all Works and Services Activities. Asset Management Plans are a document that consolidates numerous elements that influence or affect the management of assets. These are then considered within the framework that supports these activities, and planning considerations are made to maintain the infrastructural integrity of our assets on behalf of the community.

Considerable background reporting and expert investigation (e.g. infrastructural modelling, asset condition assessment and proposed growth considerations to

community developments) form the basis for any and all maintenance and capital works proposed with these plans. The capital works plan that eventuates from these is prioritised on considerations such as but not limited to; risk and consequence, benefit, affordability, and demand.

This will be consulted through the next, as it was in the last LTCCP. The community will have an opportunity to comment on the plans proposed at this time. Council will in due course debate these issues and make plans that will reflect the sustainable integrity of our infrastructure.

Chambers/Bonne

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Works and Services Department

Programme: Asset Management Plans

File Reference: 27.1.5

File Code: 17 *Jeremy Gardiner, Te Runanga On Ngati Awa*

Refer to page 354-360 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Te Runanga On Ngati Awa - All asset management plans should recognise and provide for the protection of places of significance to Ngati Awa, Council to consult Ngati Awa in the development or upgrade of water related infrastructure and activities relevant to solid waste management - Consult and provide for assessments and consultation with Ngati Awa in the development of asset management plans. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Consideration to all Ngati Awa sites of cultural significance has been undertaken within Whakatane District Council, alongside other key historic sites within the District. A process is also being developed to improve communications of annual capital works programmes within all activities with Environment Ngati Awa. We will be continuing to work hard alongside Te Runanga o Ngati Awa to further integrate these important cultural elements into our Asset Management Plans to support the appropriate outcome for all parties.

Hanlen/Clark

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Works and Services Department

Programme: Operations and Park Business Unit

File Reference: 1.5.1

File Code: 100 *Colin Groshinski*

Refer to page 646 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Colin Groshinski - Concern that trees are still standing in Puriri Crescent. Would like them taken down as the leaves from the trees cause a lot of trouble. The trees roots are also pushing up the concrete.

Submitter like the mess from the trees cleaned up and the trees cut down. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Staff will investigate this request.

Clark/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Works and Services Department

Programme: Operations and Park Business Unit

File Reference: 27.1.3

File Code: 95 *Neil Flynn*

Refer to page of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Neil Flynn - Stop wasting money on consultants. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Thank you for your comments. The Council does not have all the skills and resources required to deliver its services. In addition, in some situations it is more cost effective to use consultants for specific work.

Clark/Hanlen

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Works and Services Department

Programme: Operations and Park Business Unit

File Reference: 11.12.1

File Code: 93 *Arthur Brett Kelly*

Refer to page 348 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Arthur Brett Kelly - Submitter has noticed over the years that there has been little work done in Edgecumbe and other outlying areas, but work is done in Whakatane.

Would like more work done in Edgecumbe. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

There is a significant focus on the infrastructure within Edgecumbe. This is clearly detailed in the works being undertaken within this Annual Plan. This will also become more apparent with the development of the proposed plan being

constructed for the community to be consulted on in the next LTCCP.

All maintenance contracts have an elevated quality and audit plan, this will support a universal level of service to all communities, in line with the level and associated costs agreed to with each community.

Add explanation break up of works and facilities areas -

Chambers/Orr

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Works and Services Department

Programme: Operations and Park Business Unit

File Reference: 15.9.2

File Code: 122 *GF Van Beek*

Refer to page 405-406 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from GF Van Beek - OPUS consultants work is too costly and much of their work should be done in-house. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The Council made a decision to sell the Professional Services Business Unit (PSBU) in 2006. The PSBU was sold to OPUS and they now act as our preferred supplier per our Engineering Services Contract. We are constantly reviewing this contract in the best interests of the community and audit their performance regularly. Recently we have removed the urban mowing contracts from the OPUS managed suite of contracts, and taken them back within Council control.

OPUS rates are in line with other service providers of this type and continue to provide valuable services to the Council and Community.

Staff will continue to look for further improvements in efficiency and effectiveness with regard to these services.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: Internally Recovered Activities

Activity: Works and Services Department

Programme: Operations and Park Business Unit

File Reference: 7.3.1

File Code: 52 *Peter McRae*

Refer to page 647-648 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Peter McRae - A \$410,000 is required for the

increase in professional fees for managing the Council's road network and capital improvement programme.

Request Council investigate the reason why such a large increase in professional fee is sought and act to bring the area back to working within its budget - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

The professional fees related to the management of the Council's Roding network are a budgeting adjustment to the Annual Plan that reflects the contractual obligations of managing that element of the contract.

The Council will review the fees structure as part of the contract review due in the 2008/09 Annual Plan year. These will be benchmarked to ensure the value of the service is in line with other Councils. The Council will be working closely with its partner New Zealand Transport Authority in this endeavour.

Bonne/Jarrett

CARRIED

Group of Activities: General

Activity: General

Programme: Increase in project costs

File Reference: 27.1.1

File Code: 45 *David Dowd*

Refer to page 649-652 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from David Dowd - Budgeting

When it comes down to basics elected members don't know what's in the whole package, because elected members are consumed by one figure- the percentage rates rise.

Can the elected members –beyond reasonable doubt- be sure that the figure provided by staff are an accurate reflection if the true costs? For example when the sewer collapsed in a "gas attack" money was moved from other projects. What else may have been included in the outstanding projects that need not or should not have been in previous plans?

Many projects in 2007/08 are at risk of being carried forward. Elected members and senior staff should reduce this for the 2008/09 year.

Asks that councillors ask what more can be done? - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

All projects in the Annual Plan have been reviewed carefully, and there are many examples of projects that have been delayed. This careful analysis has been carried out against a significant amount of investigatory work. For the first time, with whole of catchment type understandings, with integrated assessments and the holistic view of the solution being investigated has meant we have chosen to delay certain projects until the next LTCCP in 2009. A reassessment of these priorities will take place at this time.

Council will have a full list of the proposed capital works plans in the next LTCCP, with priorities based on considerations such as but not limited to; risk and consequence, benefit, affordability, and demand.

The funding 'alternate' mentioned above was taken from a project that had already been identified as requiring re-prioritising per the next LTCCP. The objective of such a transfer of funding in this instance was to ameliorate the fiscal impacts of these unforeseen circumstances and costs.

Jarrett/Chambers

CARRIED

Group of Activities: General

Activity: General

Programme: Refer to EBOP

File Reference:

File Code: 93 *Arthur Brett Kelly*

Refer to page 348 of the agenda

RESOLVED

THAT the submission from Arthur Brett Kelly - Suggests a bus service from Whakatane to all outlying areas everyday. Leaving in the morning returning in the afternoon. - be received.

Reason for the Decision:

Thank you for your submission. Your comment will be referred to Environment Bay of Plenty.

Chambers/Jarrett

CARRIED